

Clinical Commissioning Policy: Radiotherapy after primary cancer for breast cancer

Reference: NHS England: 16038/P



NHS England INFORMATION READER BOX

Directorate

Medical Nursing Finance

Operations and Information Trans. & Corp. Ops. Specialised Commissioning Commissioning Strategy

Publications Gateway R	Reference: 05527s
Document Purpose	Policy
Document Name	Clinical Commissioning Policy 16038/P
Author	Specialised Commissioning Team
Publication Date	13 July 2016
Target Audience	CCG Clinical Leaders, Care Trust CEs, Foundation Trust CEs, Medical Directors, Directors of PH, Directors of Nursing, NHS England Regional Directors, NHS England Directors of Commissioning Operations, Directors of Finance, NHS Trust CEs
Additional Circulation List	
Description	NHS England will routinely commission this specialised treatment in accordance with the criteria described in this policy
Cross Reference	This document is part of a suite of policies with Gateway Reference 05527s.
Superseded Docs (if applicable)	N/A
Action Required	N/A
Timing / Deadlines (if applicable)	N/A
Contact Details for further information	england.specialisedcommissioning@nhs.net

Document Status

This is a controlled document. Whilst this document may be printed, the electronic version posted on the intranet is the controlled copy. Any printed copies of this document are not controlled. As a controlled document, this document should not be saved onto local or network drives but should always be accessed from the intranet.

Clinical Commissioning Policy: Radiotherapy after primary surgery for breast cancer

First published: July 2016

Prepared by NHS England Specialised Services Clinical Reference Group for Radiotherapy

Published by NHS England, in electronic format only.

Contents

Introduction	7
Definitions	7
Aims and Objectives	8
Epidemiology and Needs Assessment	8
Evidence base	9
Criteria for Commissioning	. 12
Patient Pathway	. 12
Governance Arrangements	. 13
Mechanism for Funding	. 13
Audit Requirements	. 13
Documents which have informed this Policy	. 14
Date of Review	. 14
References	
	Introduction Definitions Aims and Objectives Epidemiology and Needs Assessment Evidence base Criteria for Commissioning Patient Pathway Governance Arrangements Mechanism for Funding Audit Requirements Documents which have informed this Policy Date of Review

Policy Statement

NHS England will commission radiotherapy after primary surgery for breast cancer in accordance with the criteria outlined in this document. In creating this policy NHS England has reviewed this clinical condition and the options for its treatment. It has considered the place of this treatment in current clinical practice, whether scientific research has shown the treatment to be of benefit to patients, (including how any benefit is balanced against possible risks) and whether its use represents the best use of NHS resources. This policy document outlines the arrangements for funding of this treatment for the population in England.

Equality Statement

Promoting equality and addressing health inequalities are at the heart of NHS England's values. Throughout the development of the policies and processes cited in this document, we have:

- Given due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation, to advance equality of opportunity, and to foster good relations between people who share a relevant protected characteristic (as cited under the Equality Act 2010) and those who do not share it; and
- Given regard to the need to reduce inequalities between patients in access to, and outcomes from healthcare services and to ensure services are provided in an integrated way where this might reduce health inequalities

Plain Language Summary

About breast cancer

Breast cancer is a common cancer in the UK accounting for 30% of cancer in women. In 2011, 41,523 women were diagnosed with breast cancer in England and 9,702 women died of breast cancer (Cancer Research UK). 40% of patients whose cancer is cured received radio-therapy.

About the current treatment

The first treatment option for breast cancer is usually surgery (called 'primary surgery').

NHS England currently authorises radio-therapy after primary surgery for breast cancer, but does not specify how the dose of radiation should be delivered. The dose can be split into a number of doses (called 'fractions') delivered on separate days. There are currently differences in how many fractions patients with breast cancer receive after surgery.

About the new treatment

NICE published guidelines for the treatment of 'early and locally advanced breast cancer: adjuvant (additional) therapy' in September 2013. In this guidance, 15 fractions of radiotherapy are recommended and are known to be highly effective in the majority of patients. The guidance does acknowledge that some patients will have extra needs, including:

- boosts (additional fractions) to the tumour bed, when required
- different treatments for those patients that may present with new symptoms ('clinical presentations').

Delivering the radiation dose in no more than 15 fractions, rather than usual schedules of up to 25 fractions, will prevent unnecessary travel, discomfort and inconvenience for many patients. This does not affect how well the treatment works.

What we have decided

NHS England has carefully reviewed the evidence to treat breast cancer patients with 15 fractions of radio-therapy as standard, after primary surgery. We have concluded that there is enough evidence to consider making the treatment available.

1 Introduction

This document describes the evidence that has been considered by NHS England in formulating a proposal to routinely commission 15 fractions of radiotherapy (excluding boost) after primary surgery for breast cancer.

External beam radiotherapy (EBRT) is a component of standard practice in the treatment of breast cancer.

NICE issued pathway guidance in the treatment of 'early and locally advanced breast cancer: adjuvant therapy' in September 2013, recommending the use of EBRT at a total dose of 40 Gray (Gy) in 15 fractions as standard practice for patients with breast cancer after primary surgery (including breast conserving surgery or mastectomy).

40 Gy in 15 fractions (excluding boost) is recommended for the majority of patients following primary surgery (breast conserving surgery or mastectomy) for breast cancer. Delivering the dose of radiation in no more than 15 fractions, rather than conventional schedules of up to 25 fractions, will prevent unnecessary travel, discomfort and inconvenience for many patients with no compromise to clinical effectiveness.

2 **Definitions**

External beam radiotherapy (EBRT) is delivered by a linear accelerator (linac), which focuses high-energy radiation beams onto the area requiring treatment. External beam radiotherapy is completely painless.

The radiation dose is split into a number of fractions delivered on separate days.

The fractionation schedule describes the number of fractions of the treatment.

Gray (Gy): The new international system (SI) unit of radiation dose. One gray is the absorption of one joule of energy, in the form of ionizing radiation, per kilogram of matter.

Boost: An additional dose of radiation delivered after an initial course of radiation. A boost is usually a smaller treatment in volume and is used to enhance tumour control.

3 Aims and Objectives

This policy aims to define NHS England's commissioning position on radiotherapy fractionation schedules after primary surgery for breast cancer as part of the treatment pathway for patients with breast cancer.

The objective is to ensure evidence based commissioning with the aim of improving outcomes for patients with breast cancer.

4 Epidemiology and Needs Assessment

Breast cancer is a common cancer in the UK accounting for 30% of cancer in women. In 2011, 41,523 women were diagnosed with breast cancer in England and 9,702 women died of breast cancer (Cancer Research UK).

There are higher age standardised prevalence rates in Dorset and South East London, which both have 10 year prevalence rates at over 450 per 100,000 against the national average of around 420 (NCIN, 2006).

40% of patients whose cancer is cured received radiotherapy. In 2013, around 31,000 patients with breast cancer received radiotherapy as part of their treatment (RTDS).

In the first quarter of 2015, 88% of breast radiotherapy episodes received no more 15 fractions as the core treatment (RTDS).

5 Evidence base

NHS England has concluded that there is sufficient evidence to support a proposal for the routine commission of 15 fractions of radiotherapy for patients with breast cancer after primary surgery as standard treatment.

This evidence review looked at effectiveness and safety of use of 15 fractions as the core schedule (excluding boost) compared to other fractionation regimes in breast cancer.

Summary:

There is level 1 evidence that hypo-fractionated radiotherapy (HFRT), with 40 Gy delivered in 15 fractions over 3 weeks:

- has equivalent relapse rates as conventionally fractionated radiotherapy (CFRT), with 50 Gy over 25 fractions;

- has relapse and survival rates comparable to CFRT;

- reduces the risk of skin reactions and changes in breast appearance;

- has lower cost of direct care compared to 25 fractions.

None of the trials directly compare 15 fractions with other HFRT regimes. Hence, it is difficult to form an opinion about comparative efficacy of various hypofraction regimes.

Detailed summary:

Efficacy

Whole breast radiotherapy is used following either breast conserving therapy or a mastectomy with an intention to improve survival and reduce recurrences. The clinical efficacy of such treatments is measured in terms of the relapse rates, survival rates and toxicity rates. For the purpose of comparison, these rates are mapped to Cox proportional hazard regression model, which allows two fractional regimes to be compared with a hazard ratio (HR). With CFRT placed in the denominator, a HR less than 1 favours HFRT.

Majority of evidence base is from the following large randomised control trials comparing different HFRT regimes with CFRT:

• START pilot (comparing 39 Gy in 13 fractions and 42.9 Gy in 13 fractions over 5 weeks)

• START A (comparing 41.6 Gy in 13 fractions and 39 Gy in 13 fractions over 5 weeks)

• START B randomised control trial (comparing HFRT 40 Gy in 15 fractions over 3 weeks with CFRT 50 Gy in 25 fractions over 5 weeks)

• Whelan et al., 2002 (comparing 42.5 Gy in 16 fractions over 5 weeks)

• UK FAST (30 Gy and 28.5 Gy in 5 fractions over 5 weeks).

Haviland et al., 2013 publication on START B randomised control trial (RCT) with 10years follow-up results provides most relevant evidence for 15 fractions. Data from four large RCTs and six smaller 6 smaller RCTs (< 500 participants), have been combined in two meta-analyses (James et al., 2010; Zhou et al., 2015).

All these trials are non-blinded, with the exception of the photo assessors used to determine any change in breast appearance. The study population was largely women with early stage, node negative, operable invasive breast cancer. Whelan et al., 2002 only included women treated with lumpectomy. Clinical equipoise was not maintained in most trials as patients could receive additional boost treatment, at the discretion of treating clinician. During the START B trial 43% of the patients received a 10 Gy boost over 5 fractions. This was evenly distributed over the control and trial arms.

Clinical effectiveness:

This was reported in terms of relapse rates and overall survival rates.

Relapse rates:

Relapse rates are reported as local (relapse in the breast or chest wall) and distance (relapse in non-irradiated organs). After 10 years, START B found no statistically significant difference for local relapse rates between HFRT and CFRT but found the

distance relapse rates were lower with HFRT. This equivalence of local relapse rates was found in all RCTs and meta-analysis on the four large RCTs. The START A trial found that distance relapse rates were equivalent between HFRT and CFRT.

Survival rates:

The START B trial reported a significant improvement in the overall survival rate of HFRT (40 Gy in 15 fractions) with a HR 0.80 (p=0.042) after 10 years (Haviland et al., 2013). The other large RCTs (START Pilot, START A and Whelan et al., 2002) report similar survival rates compared to CFRT (50 Gy over 25 fractions). A meta-analysis of all four key RCTs comparing all hypofractionation schedules compared to CFRT found no statistically significant improvement in overall survival rates at 5 years, HR 0.89 (p=0.16), (James et al., 2010).

Safety outcomes:

Acute and late toxicities are measured by looking for change in breast appearance, skin reddening (telangiectasia) and oedema on the breast or arm. Late toxicities also include rates of rib fractures, lung fibrosis and ischaemic heart disease.

Changes in skin and breast appearance:

START B reported in both their 5 year and 10 year follow up that the risk of skin toxicities and change in breast appearance was lower in HFRT than in CFRT. In particular the hazard ratios for breast shrinkage, telangiectasia and breast oedema all favoured HFRT. Other toxicities demonstrated no differences between HFRT and CFRT. Other HFRT regimes also demonstrated reduced toxicity levels. For example, START A gave evidence for reduced rates of skin toxicities in the 39 Gy arm, but not the 41.6 Gy arm. A meta-analysis of 7 RCTs, comparing fractions of 2.5-3.0 Gy, found a HR for 0.50 (p=0.02) for grade 2/3 skin toxicity.

Other toxicities:

In both the START A and START B trials, there was no statistically significant difference in the rates of rib fracture, lung fibrosis and ischaemic heart disease after 10 years. However, experts have raised concerns about the long term risks, following HFRT. Appelt et al., 2012 mathematically modelled long term risk of mortality from radiation induced heart disease. 40 Gy /15 fractions, 39 Gy /13 fractions and 42.6 Gy

/ 16 fractions appear to have more favourable radiation doses to the heart, than 50 Gy /25 fractions.

Cost effectiveness:

Three studies reviewed in meta-analysis (Zhou et al., 2015) and Rajagopalan et al., 2015 have compared the total costs of 16 fractions HFRT to those of CFRT. They have all concluded that HFRT to be 10% to 30% lower cost than CFRT, depending on assumptions and specifics of the healthcare. None of the studies were based on the UK healthcare system.

6 Criteria for Commissioning

NHS England commissions radiotherapy after primary surgery for breast cancer.

40 Gy in 15 fractions (excluding boost) is recommended for the majority of patients following primary surgery (breast conserving surgery or mastectomy) for breast cancer. At least 80% of patients for whom radiotherapy is indicated as part of treatment for breast cancer should receive no more than 15 fractions (excluding any boost).

Exclusion criteria: under certain clinical conditions, other fractionation schedules may be appropriate.

Reasons for all individual treatments exceeding 15 fractions must be recorded by the trust. Providers should be aware that NHS England may wish to audit any significant variation in the rates of treatment courses exceeding 15 fractions.

7 Patient Pathway

The service specifications for radiotherapy (B01/S/a) describe the detail of the care pathways for this service.

Radiotherapy is part of an overall cancer management and treatment pathway. Decisions on the overall treatment plan should relate back to an MDT discussion and decision.

Radiotherapy in the NHS in England is delivered by 50 centres; all centres provide radiotherapy for breast cancer. If EBRT is indicated, the patient is referred to a clinical oncologist for assessment, treatment planning and delivery of radiation fractions. Each fraction of radiation is delivered on one visit, usually on an outpatient basis.

8 Governance Arrangements

The service specifications for radiotherapy (B01/S/a) describes the governance arrangements for this service.

In particular, it is imperative that the radiotherapy service is compliant with the lonising Radiation (Medical Exposure) Regulations (IR(ME)R) 2000.

Clinical Governance systems and policies should be in place and integrated into organisational governance with clear lines of accountability and responsibility for all clinical governance functions and Providers should produce annual Clinical Governance reports as part of NHS Clinical Governance reporting system.

9 Mechanism for Funding

Radiotherapy planning and delivery is a national tariff service covered by payment by results and referenced in the radiotherapy service specification. It should be funded via the relevant local specialised commissioning team.

10 Audit Requirements

Radiotherapy providers must submit their activity to the national Radiotherapy Dataset (RTDS) on a monthly basis. Reasons for all individual treatments exceeding 15 fractions must be recorded by the trust. Providers should be aware that NHSE may wish to audit any significant variation in the rates of treatment courses exceeding 15 fractions.

The Quality System and its treatment protocols will be subject to regular clinical and management audit.

Audit requirements as defined in the radiotherapy service specifications B01/S/a.

11 Documents which have informed this Policy

NHS England Clinical commissioning policy statement: radiotherapy after primary surgery for breast cancer (B01/PS/d). 2015.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Pathway: early and locally advanced breast cancer: adjuvant therapy.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Clinical Guideline 80 Early and locally advanced breast cancer: diagnosis and treatment. 2009.

12 Date of Review

This document will be reviewed when information is received which indicates that the policy requires revision.

References

Appelt, A. L.; Vogelius, I. R.; Bentzen, S. M.. Modern hypofractionation schedules for tangential whole breast irradiation decrease the fraction size-corrected dose to the heart. Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol) 2013;25(3):147-152.

http://www.cancerresearchuk.org/health-professional/cancer-statistics

Haviland, Joanne S.; Owen, J. Roger; Dewar, John A.; Agrawal, Rajiv K.; Barrett, Jane; Barrett-Lee, Peter J.; Dobbs, H. Jane; Hopwood, Penelope; Lawton, Pat A.; Magee, Brian J.; Mills, Judith; Simmons, Sandra; Sydenham, Mark A.; Venables, Karen; Bliss, Judith M.; Yarnold, John R.; START Trialists' Group. The UK Standardisation of Breast Radiotherapy (START) trials of radiotherapy hypofractionation for treatment of early breast cancer: 10-year follow-up results of two randomised controlled trials. Lancet Oncol. 2013;14(11):1086-1094.

James, Melissa L.; Lehman, Margot; Hider, Phil N.; Jeffery, Mark; Hickey, Brigid E.; Francis, Daniel P.. Fraction size in radiation treatment for breast conservation in early breast cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2010;0(11):CD003860.

http://www.ncin.org.uk/home

Rajagopalan, Malolan S.; Flickinger, John C.; Heron, Dwight E.; Beriwal, Sushil. Changing practice patterns for breast cancer radiation therapy with clinical pathways: An analysis of hypofractionation in a large, integrated cancer center network. Pract Radiat Oncol 2015;5(2):63-69.

Whelan, Timothy J.; Pignol, Jean-Philippe; Levine, Mark N.; Julian, Jim A.; MacKenzie, Robert; Parpia, Sameer; Shelley, Wendy; Grimard, Laval; Bowen, Julie; Lukka, Himu; Perera, Francisco; Fyles, Anthony; Schneider, Ken; Gulavita, Sunil; Freeman, Carolyn. Long-term results of hypofractionated radiation therapy for breast cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 2010;362(6):513-520.

Zhou, Zhi-Rui; Mei, Xin; Chen, Xing-Xing; Yang, Zhao-Zhi; Hou, Jing; Zhang, Li; Yu, Xiao-Li; Guo, Xiao-Mao. Systematic review and meta-analysis comparing hypofractionated with conventional fraction radiotherapy in treatment of early breast cancer. Surg Oncol 2015;24(3):200-211.