

East Midlands Clinical Networks

Modelling renal demand, 2014-2023

Model documentation, May 2014

2020 Delivery

1 Contents

| 2 | 0 | vervie | ew of model | 3 |
|---|-----|----------------|--|----|
| | 2.1 | Ва | ckground | 3 |
| | 2.2 | Inp | outs to the model | 3 |
| | 2.3 | Ou | tputs – for each year from 2014 to 2023: | 3 |
| | 2.4 | Str | ucture of the model | 4 |
| 3 | N | 1odel | at a glance | 5 |
| | 3.1 | Ma | in sheet | 5 |
| | 3.2 | Ot | her sheets | 6 |
| | 3.3 | Со | lour convention | 8 |
| | 3.4 | Pre | esentation mode and developer mode | 8 |
| 4 | U | ser gı | lide, by level of user | 9 |
| | 4.1 | Ru | nning scenarios and adjusting user defined assumptions | 9 |
| | Z | 4.1.1 | Change patients flows for in-centre haemodialysis patients | 9 |
| | Z | 4.1.2 | Dialysis site scenario testing with pre-loaded sites | 11 |
| | Z | 4.1.3 | Changing user defined assumptions | 12 |
| | Z | 4.1.4 | Updating basic dialysis site information | 15 |
| | 4.2 | Up | dating input data (Developer mode) | 15 |
| | Z | 4.2.1 | Update prevalent patient 'snapshot' | 16 |
| | Z | 4.2.2 | Update other historic data | 17 |
| | 4.3 | Ad | ding new sites (Developer mode) | 18 |
| | Z | 4.3.1 | Add new dialysis sites and get travel time data | 18 |
| | Z | 1.3.2 | Add new dialysis sites outside of the East Midlands, or change existing out of area | |
| | C | dialysi | s sites | 20 |
| | 4.4 | Pro | oducing maps from outputs (Developer mode) | 20 |
| | Z | 4.4.1 | Google Fusion maps | 20 |
| | 4.5 | Ot | her options in developer mode | 22 |
| | Z | 4.5.1 | Change population on which to base flows | 22 |
| | Z | 4.5.2 | Change which sheets are hidden in Presentation Mode | 22 |
| 5 | A | dditio | nal information | 23 |
| | 5.1 | Lin | nitations | 23 |
| | 5 | 5.1.1 autho | Main renal providers cannot be changed without updating the list of pseudo-local rities | 23 |
| | 5 | 5.1.2 | Pseudo-local authority population over-state at peripheries of region | 23 |

| 5. | 1.3 Take-on rates and transition probabilities cannot be changed at level of ir | npatient renal |
|-----|---|----------------|
| ur | nit (i.e., Northampton, Lincoln) | 23 |
| 5.2 | Data sources used | 24 |
| 5.3 | Glossary | 24 |
| 5.4 | Further support | 25 |
| 5.4 | 4.1 Changes to the model that require additional support | 25 |
| 5.5 | Contact details | 25 |

2 Overview of model

2.1 Background

- Dialysis provision across the East Midlands accounts for around £45m of costs and over 360,000 patient dialysis contacts each year and has been growing steadily.
- Across the region there take-on rates and clinical practice vary significantly
- The Renal CAG has identified **inequalities in renal dialysis capacity** and variable patient experience and choice as a key priority to be addressed.
- The **geographical location** of future services to reflect changing demand is a key factor in service planning given the frequency of attendance on an on-going basis by patients with end stage kidney failure.
- To support the development of renal service provision, this model was commissioned by the CAG to predict patient activity levels and flows up to 10 years into the future.
- The model is intended to:
 - Allow a 'current state' assessment to be made and thereby confirm the variability and inequality of the current service provision;
 - Allow the option to re-configure the network, and re-apportion patients accordingly;
 - Be owned and updated annually by the Strategic Clinical Network.
- The aim of this document is to demonstrate how to use the model, and answer most technical questions. The methodology of the model is given in a separate hand-over slide pack.

2.2 Inputs to the model

The following are the main inputs to the model:

- GP Practice location, list size and CKD stages 3-5 prevalence data from QOF
- Dialysis sites including type, main provider and location (post code)
- Travel time data between GP practices and dialysis sites
- ONS population estimates and projections
- Raw risk factors (i.e. probability of requiring RRT by demographic category)
- Modality take-on rates for each main renal provider (calculated from provider data)
- Transition rates between modalities for each main renal provider (calculated from Renal Registry data)

2.3 Outputs – for each year from 2014 to 2023:

The model produces the following outputs:

- Incident and Prevalent patient numbers by main renal provider and by modality, both in raw numbers and per million population
- Incident and Prevalent patient numbers by county and by modality, both in raw numbers and per million population
- Expected numbers of haemodialysis patients at each dialysis site, including travel time profile
- Catchment populations of each dialysis site and main provider expressed as population and number of CKD 3-5 prevalent patients

2.4 Structure of the model

The model consists of four main sections as outlined in the wiring diagram below:

- *Catchment* this uses travel time data to define the catchments of the main providers in terms of flows from each local authority
- *Incidence* this combines ONS population data with incident and modality take-on rates to project the number of new patients each year by modality at local authority level for each main provider
- *Prevalence* this combines snapshot data from providers with new patients from incidence modelling and transition probabilities between modalities to project the number of prevalent patients by modality by local authority for each main provider
- *HD flows* this uses travel time data to allocate projected in-centre haemodialysis patients to haemodialysis sites



3 Model at a glance

The model contains a large number of worksheets, many of which are used for calculations and do not need to be accessed by most users of the model. The table below provides a brief description of each sheet. All the main user defined assumptions are in "Main Sheet" which is described in more detail below.

3.1 Main sheet

The sheet entitled 'Main' contains most of the user defined assumptions, switches and outputs of the model. This sheet can be used to:

- Change site configuration (turn individual sites 'on' and 'off')
- Input current capacity and patient numbers by dialysis site
- Switch between patients travelling to nearest site of main provider or nearest site regardless of main provider
- Compare scenarios by "pasting as values" initial scenario and then running a second scenario
- Changing assumptions for: incident rates, take on rates, transition probabilities

It provides a range of outputs for selected scenario and one comparator scenario including:

- Projected in-centre haemodialysis patients by dialysis site for each year
- Travel time and catchment profiles for selected scenario and one comparator scenario
- Network wide incident and prevalent patient numbers by modality, age band and main provider (both absolute number and per million population)

Figure 2: Screen shot of "Main Sheet"



3.2 Other sheets

The model contains a total of 35 sheets, 17 of which are hidden in the standard Presentation mode.

| Sheet name | What sheet does | Inputs | Hidden in standard Presentation mode? |
|---------------------------|---|---|--|
| Main input and outpu | ut sheets | | |
| Index | Index sheet, including details of model creation, links to other sheets | None | Visible |
| 'Main' | Main sheet of model; contains most commonly-used features; blank tables for scenario testing | Transition rates; take-on rates; switches for scenario testing | Visible |
| HistoricIncident | Compare 2014 model outputs to historic data from Renal Registry and Richard Fluck data returns, for incident patient numbers | Renal Registry figures; Richard Fluck figures | Visible |
| HistoricPrevalent | Compare 2014 model outputs to historic data from Renal Registry and Richard Fluck data returns, for prevalent patient numbers | Renal Registry figures; Richard Fluck figures | Visible |
| OutputsIncidentCou nty | Incident patient numbers, by modality, age-band, main renal provider | None | Visible |
| OutputsIncident | Incident patient numbers, by modality, age-band, main renal provider | None | Visible |
| OutputsPrevalent | Prevalent patient numbers, by modality, age-band, main renal provider | None | Visible |
| AccessHubs | Catchment of each inpatient renal unit, both for adult population and stage 3-5 CKD patients; travel time profile for each dialysis site; for travel options 1 and 2 | None | Visible |
| AccessHD | Catchment of each dialysis site, both for adult population and stage 3-5 CKD patients; travel time profile for each dialysis site; for travel options 1 and 2 | None | Visible |
| AccessByCCG | Travel time profile for each CCG, for travel time to nearest dialysis site | None | Visible |
| PopulationPLA | Catchment populations for each | None | Visible |
| TravelTimes | Complete profile of each GP practice, including practice details, nearest main renal provider, nearest inpatient renal unit, nearest dialysis site under all travel options, and travel time to each; also serves as output sheet for purposes for Google Fusion maps | None | Visible |
| GPPractices | Details of each GP practice | Details of each GP practice | Visible |
| Network | Details of each of the renal units (addresses etc) | Icons to be used for Google Fusion maps | Visible |
| Transitions | Restructures the transition matrices for subsequent calculations | None | Hidden |

| Sheet name | What sheet does | Inputs | Hidden in standard Presentation mode? |
|------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Stock | Input sheet: current patients by main renal provider and pseudo-local authority | Current patient numbers by main renal provider and pseudo-local authority | Visible |
| FlowsTravelChange | Matrix of changes in patient flows when travel option changed from 1 (status quo - patients travel to nearest dialysis site belonging to nearest hub) to 2 (patient travel to nearest) | None | Visible |
| FlowsIncident | Flows from each local authority to each inpatient renal unit; defines list of pseudo-local authorities | Switch for whether to base flows on GP lists or CKD population; lower cut-off for ignoring flows | Visible |
| FlowsPLA | Flows from each pseudo-local authority to each dialysis site | Switch for whether to base flows on GP lists or CKD population | Visible |
| TravelTimeMatrix | Matrix of travel times from each GP practice to each renal provider; used to calculate nearest dialysis sites and main renal provider | None | Visible |
| General | | None | Hidden |
| Incident | Calculation sheet | None | Hidden |
| Engine sheets (carry o | out most of the calculations) | | |
| IncidentPatientsByP opSegment | Calculation sheet | | Hidden |
| IncidentPatients | Calculation sheet | | Hidden |
| IncidentPatientsMo dalityAgePLA | Calculation sheet | | Hidden |
| IncidentPatientsMo dalityPLA | Calculation sheet | | Hidden |
| Prevalent | Calculation sheet | | Hidden |
| HD | Calculation sheet | | Hidden |
| Population sheets | | 1 | 1 |
| PopulationTriangula tion | Calculation sheet | | Hidden |
| PopulationSegment ed | Calculation sheet | | Hidden |
| PopulationEthnicity | Calculation sheet | | Hidden |
| Ethnicity | Calculation sheet | | Hidden |
| Lookups | | | Hidden |
| ONSpopulations | ONS population projections to 2021, based on 2011 census | ONS population projections | Hidden |
| ONSpopulations201 0 | ONS population projections to 2035, based on 2009 mid-year estimates | ONS population projections | Hidden |

3.3 Colour convention

The following colour convention is used for the cells in each sheet:

Input cells for scenario testing Input cells Switches Derived quantities/text

3.4 Presentation mode and developer mode

The model has two modes of use:

- **Presentation mode**: by default, upon opening the model, this mode is selected. The user may only change cells designated as input cells (yellow) or switches (purple). This mode encompasses most users of the model, including scenario testing. Only the sheets containing inputs or outputs are accessible to the user.
- **Developer mode**: by entering a password, full access is granted to the user, including access to all sheets, and the ability to change all formulae.

Changing between modes

- To change from user to developer mode: Press CTRL + W together; when dialogue box appears, enter password 2020delivery
- To change from developer to Presentation mode: Press CTRL + P together
- Alternatively, go to the Index sheet,, and press the relevant button in section 1b.

In addition to the two user modes, a further level of protection has been introduced so that only input cells can be changed. To unprotect all cells, navigate to the Index sheet, and click 'Unprotect sheets'. The password is also '2020delivery'. To re-protect sheets, click 'Protect sheets', and choose a password (the user will need to choose a password every time the sheets are protected.

4 User guide, by level of user

This model has been constructed in such a way that most of its intended uses may be undertaken without a detailed understanding of either the mechanics of the model or the coding used to perform the calculations. In "Presentation mode" the model has been protected with a password to prevent the user inadvertently deleting formulae and thus breaking the model logic. More complex operations may be undertaken in "developer mode".

There are four different tasks that are explained below:

- 1. Running scenarios and adjusting user defined assumptions (Presentation mode)
- 2. Updating input data (Developer mode)
- 3. Adding new sites (Developer mode)
- 4. Producing maps from outputs (Developer mode)

Further assistance may be obtained by contacting 2020 Delivery directly (details given at the end of this document).

4.1 Running scenarios and adjusting user defined assumptions

The model provides the end user with functionality to:

Compare scenarios using existing user defined assumptions:

- Switch basis for patient flows for in centre haemodialysis patients between nearest within main provider's 'network' and nearest regardless of main provider
- Change site configuration by adding in pre-defined new sites at and / or turning off existing sites

Changing user defined assumptions:

- Incident rates by age-gender-ethnic group at network level
- Apply inflator or deflator to apply to future years incident rates
- Modality take on rates by age band and main provider
- Transition probabilities between modalities at main provider level

Update basic capacity and patient number data at dialysis site

4.1.1 Change patients flows for in-centre haemodialysis patients

- How the model works:
 - Prevalent patients are calculated at the level of "pseudo" local authority (PLA), using the transition probabilities of the hub associated to that PLA
 - Flows from each PLA to each dialysis satellite are calculated based on the travel time of the PLA's constituent GP practices to each satellite and the number of CKD registered patients at that practice this gives a matrix of flows from each PLA to each satellite
 - GP practices are used as proxy for centroid of population

- There are two options available within the model:
 - 1. Patients go to their nearest dialysis satellite belonging to their nearest inpatient renal unit
 - 2. Patients go to their nearest dialysis satellite (regardless of the parent hub)



How to apply the switch:

• In order to switch between options 1 and 2:

| | | | | | | | | - | | 74 |
|--|---|---|------------------|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|
| East Midlands Strategic Clinical Renal demand projections, 2014 to 20 | Network 23 | | Model tuil | t by 2020 Dell | very Ltd | | | | c | urrent version |
| Nersant-with Artputs and nurputs | | | | | | | | | | |
| Serverung of network | | | | | | | | | | |
| Main resal provider | Dertry | Leicester | | | | 1 too and the | | | | an an an an an |
| inpatient renal unit | Derby | Leicester | | | | Lincoln | | | | Northempton |
| Dialysis site | Derby | Leicester | (Hamilton) | Loughborou an | Peterborou gh | Uncoin | Boston | Skegness | Grantham | Northempt on |
| Include? (set to 0 to ignore) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| rienteralpalais uritz | | | | | | | | | | |
| Where do patients go? | L. | | Option 2 2 | Description Patients go Patients go | to nearest sat to nearest sat | ellite in the ellite, rega | r some newor ralless of whit | k as their nea th hub this bel | rest main rene ongs ta | (provider |
| | Encours of Helmon's Main renal provider Inpatient renal unit Dialysis site Include? (set to 0 to ignore) Heremoniphiles retts Where do potients go? | Concerne of Anthropy of Anthr | | Directory of Anthrony Derity Locenster Main remail provider Derity Locenster Inpaction remail unit Derity Locenster Dialysis site Derity Locenster Include? (set to 0 to ignore) 1 1 Preventing block traits Option 2 | Enrocate of Attinion's Main renal provider Inpatient renal unit Derby Derby Leicester Dialysis site Derby Leicester (Hamilton) Longhborton (Hamilton) Dialysis site Derby Leicester (Hamilton) Longhborton (Hamilton) Include? (set to 0 to ignore) 1 1 1 1 Presenting sites vertex Units or 2 Potients go 2 Potients go | Discussion Derity Leicester Insident renal unit Derity Leicester Dialysis site Derity Leicester Dialysis site Derity Leicester Include? (set to 0 to ignore) 1 1 1 Presenting blow (relits) Include? (set to 0 to ignore) 1 1 1 Where do patients go? 1 1 Derity so to nearest sot 2 Potients go to nearest sot | Discourse of Antinaxis Main remail provider Derity Leicester Inpatient remail unit Derity Leicester Uncoin Distysis site Derity Leicester Uncoin Distysis site Derity Leicester Uncoin Include? (set to 0 to ignore) 1 5 1 5 Presenting blow (rettain) Main remains on the order state of the ignore of the order state of the in the line of the proteints go? 1 1 1 2 Potents go to nearest sate/life in the line of the in the line of the state of the line of the | Concernent of Antinian's Main renal provider Impatient renal unit Derity Loicester Dialysis site Derity Loicester Longhborou Penetron Boston Dialysis site Derity Loicester Longhborou Penetron Boston Include? (set to 0 to lignore) 1 1 3 3 1 1 Vibrer do potients go? 1 1 3 9 1 1 | Concernent of Antineums Malin remail provider Derby Loisester Luncohn Ingelient remail unit Derby Luicester Luncohn Dialysis site Derby Luncester Luncohn Dialysis site Derby Luncester Luncohn Include? (set to 0 to lignore) 1 1 1 1 Processing (seline) resta Gption Description 1 1 | Discourse of Antinany's Main renal provider Impatient renal unit Dertry Leicester Distysis site Dertry Lincoh Betry Lincoh Baston Siegness Brantham Minere dis proteints go? Image: Comparison of the source nework as their nearest main reno 2 Potients go to nearest satellite in the source nework as their nearest main reno 2 Potients go to nearest satellite, regardlesi of which hub this belongs to |

- Go to the 'Main' sheet
- Go to cell D18, and enter either 1 or 2
 - 1 Patients go to nearest satellite in the same network as their nearest main renal provider (represents status quo)
 - 2 Patients go to nearest satellite, regardless of which hub this belongs to

The output can be shown in a variety of ways. Below is the summary of projected patient numbers by dialysis site. This can be 'captured' and used for comparing with different scenarios by clicking in the "Paste values" button in cell W20 (and cleared by clicking on the "Clear values" button next to it). The paste values button then copies the current scenario and pastes it into the salmon coloured cells. A new scenario can then be run (e.g., switching cell D18 from 1 to 2) and the change in numbers is displayed.

| Three and a state | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|-------------|--|--|----------------|--------|-----------|---------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|-------|---------|------|---|------|
| States de automo de l | | | 1 | Description Protects of the America solution Prior the processory and | At in the same | | in martin | | - | | | | | | | | Note online to the | |
| Public Lange | | - | | Contraction in the local division in the loc | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | Service services | |
| | They are | Anapation . | and the second s | | | | - | - | | - | - | - | 1.200 | | - | - | States and | |
| luty. | terry . | - Inthe | 10 | 11 22 | | 200 | 12 | 191 | 12 | - 111 | 18 | 100 | - | 12 | 196 | 12 | 5 5 | 1 |
| anana . | Laborar Thompson | 1 10 | 100 | 4 | | 148 | 10 | 143 | 144 | 117 | 110 | - 22 | 111 | 100 | 127 | - 20 | | - |
| data. | angthering! | - H. | | H 68 | | 44 | | - 36 | 11 | 1.28 | - 18 | | -18 | 100 | 46. | 28 | | - |
| Marra | Party Schungt | | 100 | | | 18. | 101 | 108 | | - 101 | 68 | 100 | 108 | 1.19 | 185. | | | |
| Carlos Carlos | | | | | | | 1.20 | - 22 | - 2 | - 2 | | 12 | - | 100 | | | | - |
| Caralle Caralle | | - | | | | | 2.1 | - 62 | - 21 | - 2 | - 24 | - 2 - | - 22 | 100 | - 12 | 100 | | - |
| Sector . | line and a second | 1.2 | 10 | 11 11 11 11 | | | 1.00 | 100 | 1.2 | 1.00 | - 10 C | 1.20 | | | - W | | · · | - |
| And Municipality | Sold analysis | | 10.00 | AL DESCRIPTION | | 122 | 254 | 242 | 100 | 317 | 644 | 146 | 274 | 178 | 184 | 0.00 | | - |
| Nutheright | Orie . | 1 A 1 | 10.0 | 10 10 | | 34 | | | 82 | 100 | 33 | | 30 | | - 10 | 100 | | |
| (heriturigite) | Arrest. | 1. A. | | A DECK DECK | | | 18 | 196 | 80 | . 80 | | | - MC | | - 100 | | | 1000 |
| answin . | - Buttingfum | | 100 | 100 000 | | 108 | - 194 | | 148 | 388 | 484 | 140 | 10 | 180 | 100 | 100 | | - |
| Normalian - | (Autor) | - | 1000 | | | - | 100 | | | 1.00 | | - 2 - | | 10.27 | 14 | - 48 | · · · · | - |
| (C) | and the | 1.0 | 1 | - 200 Brost | | | | | | - 22 | - 20 | | | 100 | 100 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| (Labora) | Parties Applements | | | | | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 18.1 | 1.5 | | | | | - ini - | 100 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | - |
| 9900 | Name of Conference | | | | | 1.00 | | | | | | | | | | 178 | · · · · | 1 |
| Putere | Salars' Publique sugt | | | | | | | - C. R. | | | | 1.41 | | | | - 19 | | 1. |
| Extent | Advant Aurille value Treat | | | | | - 25 | | - 12 | | 1.2 | | 0.20 | | | | | | - |
| (view | Concern Lands | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | A CANADA AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN | |
| | | 7 1.120 | 5.00 | 1 (1) MM | | 6.407* | 20407 | 100 | 1.001* | 1307 | LINT" | 1.00/* | 1446 | 3.08" | 2.04 | - 29 | - 1.000 | |
| fraging ing insufficient services it | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 100 | 300 | | | 200 | - 10 | 187 | | 107 | 138 | | 481 | - | - | | | 1 |
| Sec. March | | * | * | | | - 22 | 200 | 100 | 100 | | 111 | - 22- | | 100 | 100 | 100 | | |
| No Balance | | 1 | 4 444 | 1000 | | 100 | 141 | 187 | 144 | 3.0 | 100 | 100 | 441 | | 2.4 | | | |
| Particular and a second | | N | | P (10) | | 110 | | | | | | | 80.4 | 144 | 100 | | | |

This can also be used to compare travel times and catchment populations (see below):

| tenal demand projectio | ans, 2014 to 2029 | Mented South for Select In | alkary tel | | | Gam | retariant self-or | th posemular roots | | ingeod | State | 1941 (1997) | Source |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | Sever in | a prefix of part | and a locality | | | | | | | | | - |
| ned the platte end packed on | | COMPANY OF THE OWNER OF | the desire of | | warming have | њо. | _ | Trada antique | C Starte | 491 | | | |
| | | a restrict from | 100 | 1) <u>antiant</u> (| | | | | 1 1 | | | | |
| uebieri nele yet | Balysis sta | | 15 | 34 | 1 1 | 1 ; | Average seel bris | 1 1 | 5 1 | 1 | 1 . | d here | 8 19. |
| anhy . | (Date) | 10 | a (a) | - | | 200 | -10 | | | | | | 1 |
| 100.00 | Constant of Consta | | 1 1 | | 10 10 | 100 | 100 | | | | ****** | | |
| ALC: NO. | Sangharing. | 39 | 83 - E | 12 | 30 11 | 100 | - 10 | | | | | | 1 |
| | Personal | 22 | E 10 | 1.0 | 12 1 | 100 | . 54 | | | | | | |
| LANSING CONTRACTOR OF | - Constant | 10 | | | 10 14 | 5 X20 | | | _ | - | | | |
| (heph | (Program) | | a () a | 1.12 | A 14 | 1.00 | 20 | | | | | | 1 |
| and the second s | Skedren | 1.1 | \$ 1. B | 1.4 | 38 | - M. | - 12 | | | | | | |
| incident and an other | Yorke | | | 1.15 | | 10 | 10 | | | | | ***** | |
| Northangeton | Defining . | 12 | R | 17 | 10 | -10 | 24 | 1. | | 1.1 | 1 | | |
| STORE OF STO | Desirghers. | 11 | A 15 | 2 M | - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 | 118 | 100 | | | - Connector | | | +4 |
| Lating Lat | Name We | 1.1 | i | 1.12 | 34 2 | | 100 | 111 | | 1000 | | | |
| kotoghjo | 3/9.64 | 1.9 | * R | 19 | - 10 - C | 1.00 | 04 | | | | | | |
| | Contract Addressed | | | | | | | | | - | | | - |
| Fakula | Value Willinghoused | | | | | | | | | | | ***** | -1-1 |
| whom . | Makaria Barbon gain Trant | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ryton. | Solon Late. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C East Midlands Str Renal demand project | nategic Clinical Network ctions, 2014 to 2023 | N. M. | * | AN | 88 | AU | M | AQ | 0. | 4 | AE | All | 4X. |
| C East Midlands St Renal demand projec | nategic Clinical Network ctions, 2014 to 2023 | A M. | a. | AN | AN | ΑU | M | AB | 0. | <u>د</u> | AT | All | AX |
| C. East Midlands Str Renal demand project | 0 rategic Clinical Network ctions, 2014 to 2023 | Al AA | a | AN | 248 | AU | M. | A9 | Q | | A | . MI | AX |
| C East Midlands Str Renal demand project | 0 rategic Clinical Network ctions, 2014 to 2023 | A) AA. | al () () mainte () mainte () mainte () mainte () | AN | .08 | ΆΠ | M. | AD | e De | | AT | Al | AV. |
| East Miclands St Renal demand project | rategic Clinical Network tion, 2014 to 2023 | A. M. Sectorer OD on Director De const Director de constantes | | AN | | | , | AD | o | | AT CONTRACT | 10 | NX until break |
| East Midlands St Renal demand project | Patentine Design of the second | Al M. Section OD me Direction OD me Direction of a sector Personal or a sector A sector of a sector of a sector A sector of a sector of a sector of a sector A sector of a sector of a sector of a sector A sector of a sector | | . AN 2.002 | .88 | .AU | N. | All | i) | | A2 | ~ | |
| C. East Midlands Str Renal demand project Contact of the Project Renal Contact of the Project of the Project Renal Contact of the Project of t | 0 rategic Clinical Network ctions, 2014 to 2023 mit Extens to Data Second | Al 44 | | | .48 | AD | 42. | AD | ba | | A | | AN until beach The The The The |
| C East Midlands St Renal demand project Contra Prove Protect Renal Contra Contra Contra Renal Contra Contra Renal Contra Contra Contra Renal Contra Contra Contra Contra Renal Contra Contra Contra Contra Renal Contra Contra Contra Contra Renal Contra Contra Contra Contra Contra Contra Renal Contra Contra Contra Contra Contra Contra Renal Contra Contra Contra Contra Contra Contra Contra Contra Contra Renal Contra | Endph and Endph and | AL 44. | | | AB | AU | N | Artigo Savel Base Special Base 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 | e. Fa | 2835 | A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A | A | AX until besch |
| C East Midlands Str Renal demand project | Description of the second seco | Al 44 | | | A States | A0 | AC | Altinge Good Base 28 23 23 23 23 23 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 | ite | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | × Instru | × | |
| East Midlands Str Renal demand project | Extent of the state | AL 44. | | | | 10 | 44 | Alternapticavi Date Date Date Date Date Date Date Date | n, se | | × | × | |
| C East Midlands Str Renal demand projection (Control of the second projection) (Control of the second projection) (Contro | Decision of the second | A) 46. | | | 88 | AU | AC 81.040 10,122 4.075 10,305 10, | Arenge foxed time 28 21 29 21 29 21 29 21 29 | n | | × | N | AX. |
| C East Midlands Str Renal demand project Control Project Project Renal Control Project R | Extent of the second se | AL 44. | | | 88 80 80 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1 | 44 | Annugr faort teau 28 23 23 24 24 24 24 25 25 | 0 | | A TRANSFER | 2 | |
| C East Midlands Str Renal demand project (m) Company of Project (m) | Delask ats Padas ats Delask | 40. 46. Lintitum 000 mm ⊡inter Private proteiner a teaning regioner 1,000 | Al marts | | 48 47 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10 | IGE REALES | AK NLAM LAM LAM LAM LAM LAM LAM LAM LAM | Arease food these arease food arease areas arease areas arease areas arease areas areas areas areas areas areas areas areas arease areas areas areas arease areas arease areas areas areas arease areas arease areas areas areas areas areas areas arease areas a a a a a a a a a a | 0 | | E EEEEEEEEE | allesesses | AX |
| C East Midlands Str Renal demand project Control of Party of Party Research | Extent of the second se | AL 44. | | AN AND AN | 48 4 600 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 | REGRETER E. S. | AK HAM HAM HAM HAM HAM HAM HAM HAM HAM HAM | Annunge francel 1990 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 | e Pa | | A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A | | |
| C East Midlands Str Renal demand project (Mr 12 yrs. yrs project (Set) Set) Set) Set) Set) Set) Set) Set) | Delah ata Palah ata Palah ata Palah ata Palah ata Safat Saf | Al 44 | 11 months 11 months 14 months | AM | 88 47 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10 | | 44. 11.140 11.14 | Arenge front Data 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 | bu bu | | APPENDING T | | AX UNITED IN THE REPORT OF THE |
| C East Midlands Str Renal demand project Control Processing Research Resear | Extent of the part | AL 44. | | AM | 48 47 107000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 1000000 | AD | 44. 11.449 16.122 1.549 1.549 1.549 1.549 1.549 1.549 1.549 1.549 1.549 1.549 1.549 | All Second Secon | | | A BREAKSER | * | |
| C East Midlands Str Renal demand project (Mr 12 Yr), yr: Project (Set) deman d | Device and a second sec | Al 44 | 10 million | | 88 48 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10 | AU | 44. 11.144 1 | Arenge froot i the second seco | ine Ine | | A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY A REAL | | |
| East Midlands Str Renal demand project Control of Party of Project Renal Control of Party Renal Control of Party R | Extent of the second se | AL 44. | A | AM 2000 10 | 48 47 107 107 107 107 107 107 107 107 107 10 | | 44. 18.1.484 18.1225 14.151 14.151 14.151 14.151 14.151 14.151 16.155 16.141 16.155 16.141 16.155 | All and a second | | | A BESSESSARSSESSESSESSESSESSESSESSESSESSESSESSESSE | a sussessed and a sussessed an | |
| C East Midlands Str Renal demand project (Mr 12 You you project (Set) Cases Ca | Device and | Al 44. | | | 88 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 1 | | 11.440 11.440 13.450 14.4500 14.4500 14.4500 14.4500 14.4500 14.4500 14.4500 14.4500 14.45000 14.4500000000000000000000000000000000000 | Arenge foort Taxa 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | in and a second s | ************************************** | SHERRAR SERVICES | a sissistante and a sister of the sister of | AV. |
| C East Midlands Str Renal demand project Control of the second second Control of the second second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second S | Extends of the second s | AL 44. | Al month all month a | AM | 48 6000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100 | | 44 | All and a second | | | A MARKEN STREET | a and a second a se | AV |
| C East Midlands Str Renal demand project film 12 million and project film 12 million and film demand | Environ and a second and a seco | Al 44 | 4 1 minutes interference 2 minutes 2 minu | AN 2.410 1.410 | 88 628 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10 | | M 11.449 10.120 10.100 10. | Arenge toort Inter 28 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 | 17 m | | SHREEN STREET | | AV. |

4.1.2 Dialysis site scenario testing with pre-loaded sites

One of the purposes of the model is to test various network configuration scenarios, such as closing an existing dialysis site and opening new ones.

A number of potential future sites have been pre-loaded into the model, and have been chosen as they represent likely possibilities for development of the network (or theoretical 'in fill' sites where access is poor). The post codes used to generate travel time data are based on current NHS sites.

- Close an existing dialysis site In order to close a dialysis site that already exists,
 - Go to the 'Main' sheet
 - Find the site in the table from row 8 onwards (see image below)
 - Set the corresponding switch in row 13 to '0'.

| (K. C. | 10 | 1 | ADDEL HOLD | Q: | | 1.1 | | . R. | - L. | M | | 0 | | - 0 | - R. | - E . | 1 |
|--|------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------|----------|---------|--------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------------------|-------------|----------|------|
| East Midlands Strategic | Clinical Network | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Renal demand projections, 2 | 914 to 2023 | | | Model Ind | 1 ing 2020 Davi | Ivery List | | | | - | arterit versio | · vill with a | eniestatus e | wite . | | Legend | i se |
| Automotive State and a state of a | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A 12 Property lies and the lies of the lie | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 Brownik of Scheme 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Main weat provider | | Oetty | Lecenter | | | | | | | | | | | Somighum | | | |
| Main result provider Ingatient neual provider | | Certiy Certiy |).ecenter Sacenter | | | | Lincon | | | | Nettional | ¥. | | koti qilar Asti qilar | | | |
| In an and provider Angeler Ang | | Centry Centry Centry Darky | Lacestor Sacisster Sacisster | Lausster | Lauge Borra | (Americana) ph | Lincopy Lincopy | Rodon | Beginess | -Esimae | Assitucios Assitucios an | ur Türkler | proving | Artigue Artigue |) Nettin | Nigo Mil | 12-g |

- Open new dialysis sites in pre-determined locations
 - Pre-loaded locations
 - Burton: Peel Croft Surgery, Lichfield Street, Burton upon Trent, Burton-on-Trent, Staffordshire DE14 3RH
 - Wellingborough: Isebrook Hospital, Irthlingborough Road, Wellingborough NN8 1LP
 - Louth: County Hospital Louth, High Holme Rd, Louth, Lincolnshire LN11 0EU
 - Ashbourne: St Oswald's Hospital, Clifton Road, Ashbourne, Derbyshire DE6 1DR

\circ In order to see what happens if a dialysis site was opened in one of these locations:

- Go to the 'Main' sheet
- Find the site in the table from row 8 onwards (see image below)
- Set the corresponding switch in row 13 to '1'.

| 100 | 5 | T | U | V | W | X | Y |
|-------------------------|------------|-----------|---|----------------------|--|--|-----------------------------|
| 1 | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Legend | Inputs | Switches | Outputs | Scenario test | ting - paste v | alues |
| 3 | | | | 10 - 11 | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 1 | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | |
| 7 8 | - | | Euture | | | | |
| 7 8 9 | | | Euture Derby | | Northampt | Derby | Lincoln |
| 789 | Kings Mill | Lings Bar | Future Derby Future: Ashbourne | Future: redundant | Northampt on Future: Wellingboro | Derby Future: Burton | Lincoln Future: Louth |
| 7 8 9 10 | Kings Mill | Lings Bar | Future Derby Future: Ashbourne | Future: redundant | Northampt on Future: Wellingboro ugh | Derby Future: Burton upon Trent | Lincoln Future: Louth |
| 7 8 9 10 13 | Kings Mill | Lings Bar | Future Derby Future: Ashbourne | Future: redundant | Northampt on Future: Wellingboro ugh | Derby Future: Burton upon Trent | Lincoln Future: Louth |

It is also possible to add new dialysis sites in any other location, but this requires additional travel time data to be generated and requires the user to be in 'development mode'. Instructions are given in subsequent sections of this document.

4.1.3 Changing user defined assumptions

The model is loaded with user defined assumptions which have been derived from a variety of sources. Each is easily changed where a user wishes to test different rates or where new information leads to an updating of the assumptions. Assumptions can be changed by typing over the existing assumptions in the relevant yellow cells. The following assumptions can be updated in the "Main sheet"

• Incident rate risk factors: These are defined for three broad age bands, for males and females and for three patient groups based on ethnic origin. This is shown in the screen shot below. In addition, there is an 'annual inflator' (which can also be negative or deflator) which can be used to model an increasing or decreasing incident rate.



• **Modality take on rates:** These are defined for each of the three broad age bands, for each modality for each main provider. This is shown in the screen shot below. Each main provider by age band block must add to 100%.

| - + | A B | C | D | E | F | G | н | |
|-----|-----|-----------------------------------|------------------------|----------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1 | | East Midlands Stra | ategic Clinical Netw | ork | | | | |
| 2 | | Renal demand project | ions 2014 to 2023 | | 84 | Model built | by 2020 Dell | warw 1 td |
| 3 | | nenar demand project | 10113, 2024 10 2023 | | | violet punt | of some bei | age I cro |
| 103 | | | | | | | | |
| 104 | 20 | Take-on | | | | | | |
| 105 | | | | | | | | |
| 106 | | Take-on rates are set at the leve | of main renal provider | Updated | 13/3/2013 | | | |
| 107 | | | 3 | | | | | |
| 108 | | | | | | Ma | ain renal prov | vider |
| 109 | | Modality | Age band | Modality | short form | Derby | Leicester | Nottingham |
| 110 | | Haemodialysis home | 18-64 | HD-H | 18-64 HD-H | 9% | 1% | 2% |
| 111 | | Haemodialysis in centre | 18-64 | HD-IC | 18-64 HD-IC | 41% | 59% | 40% |
| 112 | | Other (e.g. palliative) | 18-64 | 0 | 18-64 O | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| 113 | | Peritoneal dialysis | 18-64 | PD | 18-64 PD | 41% | 24% | 38% |
| 114 | | Transplant | 18-64 | TP | 18-64 TP | 9% | 16% | 19% |
| 115 | | | | | and the second second | at well-lite or i | | and the second |
| 116 | | Haemodialysis home | 65-74 | HD-H | 65-74 HD-H | 20% | 2% | 0% |
| 117 | | Haemodialysis in centre | 65-74 | HD-IC | 65-74 HD-IC | 50% | 86% | 53% |
| 118 | | Other (e.g. palliative) | 65-74 | 0 | 65-74 O | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| 119 | | Peritoneal dialysis | 65-74 | PD | 65-74 PD | 30% | 8% | 37% |
| 120 | | Transplant | 65-74 | TP | 65-74 TP | 0% | 3% | 11% |
| 121 | | | | | | | | |
| 122 | | Haemodialysis home | 75+ | HD-H | 75+ HD-H | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| 123 | | Haemodialysis in centre | 75+ | HD-IC | 75+ HD-IC | 60.0% | 88.7% | 89% |
| 124 | | Other (e.g. palliative) | 75+ | o | 75+0 | 10% | 0% | 0% |
| 125 | | Peritoneal dialysis | 75+ | PD | 75+ PD | 30.0% | 11.3% | 11% |
| 126 | | Transplant | 75+ | TP | 75+ TP | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| 127 | | | | | | 100% | 100% | 100% |

• Transition probabilities: These are set out in matrices with the 'from' (current) modality shown across the page and the 'to' (future) modality shown down the page. Each 'from' column must add to 100% so that all prevalent patients transition to a future modality (including no change which are represented along the diagonal of the matrix). The transition probabilities are defined at main provider level (as shown in screen shot below). The probabilities for Nottingham can be found from cell V241 to the right of the Derby probabilities.



The impact of changing these user defined assumptions can be observed in the main sheet which also allows two scenarios to be compared using the same 'paste values' approach outlined above. The screenshots below show how the incident patient and prevalent patient changes over time are displayed on "Main sheet".

| Renal demand projections, 2014 to 2023 | * | Model Include | n Hill Indea | TY 120 | | | | Car. | | divition | orderitert prosilie | lagord | - |
|--|-------|-----------------|--------------|-------------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|------------|----------|---------------------|---------|----------------|
| Autoritic services; | | | | | | | | | | | | | Service 1 and |
| CANTAGANTI INCOMPANY | | Cartest scen | - | | | | | | | | | | Scenario for |
| Persynatian Charryshand | | 104 | 8115 | 1814 | 107 | | | | - 641 | 1022 | 8411 | GAGH | 3014 |
| The birth of the second state from the second state of the | | 4 104 003 | | ********* | | - | | | | | 4 100 100 | | 1.000 |
| United Security sets being the sets | | 300 | 113 | 10 | 111 | 101 | 642 | 288 | 802 | 128 | NYT. | 218 | Partner 1 |
| maideet patterns each year PMP | | 122 | 123 | 128 | 128 | 124 | 186 | 141 | 121 | 135 | 126 | 258 | |
| Provident potiants auch year | | 3.011 | 4.116 | 4.89 | AME | 4.741 | 4343 | 6.05 | 1.017 | 6,907 | 1,098 | ~ | E.C. |
| Provubent particults such your PMP | | 300 | 141 | 7953 | 1.068 | 7381 | 1,198 | 1,174 | 1,288 | 1,116 | 1,359 | 29 | 24-11-11-1 |
| Seattle per year | | 215 | 236 | 81 | 110 | 120 | 444 | 812 | 100 | 112 | 1912 | | E |
| Seals ar par PVF | | 44 | 10 | 12 | - 24 | (1 | - 11 | | 12 | 81 | 81 | 38 | 1111111 |
| sub-righters, is not recently | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Party values | | Cartest some | rie . | | | | | | | | | | Sumaris for |
| Indiana palana, by main-anal presider | | | 2015 | 2018 | 380 | 318 | 710 | 388 | EVI | 3612 | 20211 | CAUS . | 224 |
| and a state of the | | | | | - | | | | 1.00 | 100 | with 1 | 10 | |
| winneter | | 285 | 285 | 290 | 200 | 384 | 85 | 80 | 813 | 110 | 412 | 116 | 31 |
| Norsigham | | 589 | 131 | 1.14 | - 194 | 138 | 336 | 100 | 138 | 348 | 143 | .156 | · · · · · |
| Tainet | | · | - 100 T | 420 | 107 | 100 | int' | 144 | | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Incident patients per relifier total population (A0UUS + DRUBMEN) | | ST 8004 | ###5 | 1010 | - 101 | | | | 2011 | - | 1011 | 2404 | 8.8.4 |
| | | 1000 | 1.00 | | | 100 | | | | | 222 | | |
| (university) | | 525 | 128 | 10 | 117 | 121 | 110 | 138 | 128 | 119 | 130 | 25 | 1 |
| Artigher | | -134 | 529 | 129 | 121 | 128 | 118 | 141 | 121 | 138 | 138 | 08 | 1000000 |
| Average | | 115 | 123 | 125 | | 128 | 324 | 128 | 124 | 120 | 2.8% | -204 | |
| The second state of the se | | 10 | 1987 | - 2114 - | | | | | | 200 | - 7) | 10 | |
| and division 1 | | Constant of the | | | | | | | | | | | Electronic for |
| and the second sec | | | 1975 | 1010 | - | | | | 201 | | 1011 | TANK . | |
| | 02228 | | | 1.1.1 | | 1.33 | 1.1.1.1.1 | | | | | | |
| Aprofaty Markets | 63-34 | 213 | 140 | 218 | 128 | 151 | 218 | 104 | 120 | 111 | 202 | 208 | 1 |
| | 35a | 134 | 116 | 118 | 141 | 128 | 100 | 140 | 540 | 148 | 235 | 28 | |
| | 100 | · | | 100 | 1117 | 840 | 100 | 447 | 807 | 101 | - <u>22</u> | 10 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| incluent patients by age band (indicated to 2004). | | | 205 | 1014 | 100 | 218 | | 3000 | 201 | | 1011 | Experts | 204 |
| indexed to include of a party case | 18.62 | 500 | 1 100 | 100 | 100 | 107 | - 500 | 100 | 510 | 100 | 104 | | |
| Edd - year and incidence | 63-04 | 500 | 100 | 305 | 107 | 100 | 108 | 109 | 189 | 108 | 108 | | 1 |
| | Str | 540 | 102 | 304 | 104 | 338 | 113. | 139 | 129 | THE. | 1M | M | 1. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Renal demand projections, 2014 to 2023 | | and the life in a | till bellen | 144 | | | | Cores. | e contains int | t will prove | Artes made | | Internet | - Inter | The state |
|--|----|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|-------|--|--|---------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Sector Sector |
| Enclard patients and well with | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 12 | ***** | | | | | | | | | | | | Same in the | tering |
| Prevalent patients, by state word provider | | - | | 2014 | 207 | | 1013 | 101 | 1901 | MIL | | 0.0 | | The state of the s | |
| | | | 100 | 1000 | - | 1224 | | | 11 | | 102 | | 100 | and in the second se | |
| Betty . | | 476 | 788 | TIQ | 778 | 806 | 100 | 408 | 885 | 992 | 507 | | 3.7% | 478 | |
| A distant | | 1.010 | 1.041 | 1.1.00 | 1.101 | 1,310 | 1,340 | 1,000 | 1.411 | 1,477 | 1.101 | | 4.75 | 4.000 | |
| | | 1.22 | | 11.00 | 091X | | | 10110 | 10.000 | -27YA - | 1000 | | | Contra Parto | land T |
| Dated | | "Yest, | 4114, | 4.00* | 4.547* | 436* | 4,947* | 218. | 5.812* | 100. | 5,680 | | 4.96 | 5.85 | 4 |
| Prevalent periods per million total population (ADM/TS - ORLIND) | | | ant. | 1011 | 1007 | | 201 | MI | - 200 | ALC: NO | 1002 | Dist. | | Contraction of the local division of the loc | |
| | | Area - | inter- | - | - | | 1.000 | | 1 100 | 1.000 | | | 144 | in the second second | |
| period the second | | | 1.213 | 1.006 | 1.207 | 1.116 | 1.174 | 1.278 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1.000 | | | | |
| Soziagham | | 1874 | 5.624 | 1.078 | 1.530 | 5,367 | 5.253 | 1,259 | 1,819 | 1,948 | 3,264 | | 45 | | |
| | | | | in the second | 10.000 | 1000 | 1.1.1.1 | 1.1 | 1000 | 1.1.1 | 1.111 | | 1.2 | | |
| | | | | 1.000 | (east. | | a see | | 1.040 | | These and the second | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Present patient extract with | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Property printers and not involve | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | COLUMN 1 | HEIR |
| President performs, try metallity | E | | 200 | | 380 | DH | -80 | .01 | 100 | . 201 | 2002 | 175 | | | 1462ig |
| Pryoudjutt garliont, try modulity | E | 104 104 | 100 | - | 200 | 201 | 100 | 101 | 11000 | | 100 | 123 | 175 | | 14621 |
| Propulset performs by matality (0.0 + 10.0 - | E | in Lar | | 214 210 2,400 | 200 284 1.502 | 2014 200 1549 | 100 100 100 | ACTA Jan Jan | 1000 174 1,618 | | 1000 827 1.000 | 123 | 12 | | hefing |
| President partients, tay modulity 00 + 00 + 00 | | | | 111 101 141 | 201 281 1517 1 | 150 150 | HID LIN LIN L | ici Jat | 100 140 1 | #t 1,016 3 | 100 100 1 | | 10 | Directo la Directo la Line Line | |
| Presultant performs by modulity mit = 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | | | in the second | 11 11 11 11 11 | 201) 281 1.512 323 1.211 | 159 159 1111 | 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 | an lan lan lan lan lan lan lan lan lan l | 100 101 101 101 100 | #1 1,08 3 1,09 | 100 100 100 100 | | 4.75 2.05 3.75 1.05 | | |
| Prevalence 2 reference a solution for every Prevalence performs, high modulity Biology Discover Discovery Discover Discover Discover Discover Discover Discover Discover Discover Discover Discover Discover D | 2 | | la, tu | 10 10 10 10 10 10 | 201) 281 1.512 393 1.211 | 139 139 1 310 1 1 | 100 100 1,570 2,570 2,570 | 101 101 101 101 101 | 100 141 1,411 159 1,701 | 301 1,006 2,00 1,00 1,00 | 427 Libi J Libi Libi Libit | | 8.75 2.25 3.75 1.05 1.45 | | |
| Prevalent particity, hy modulity Report particity, hy modulity 80 % 10 17 From: | | Test Test Test Test Test Test Test Test | an Tay an Tay | 100 100 100 100 100 400 400 | 253) 281 1512 393 1281 4542* | 800 1549 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 | 800 888 2,376 2,879 2,679 4,940 [#] | 100° | 110 1411 1412 13 159 1,007 | 2011 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 | 221 L080 J L080 L080 L080 | | 8.7% 2.5% 3.7% 5.6% 6.4% | | |
| Prevalent performs to non-house Prevalent performs to non-house Pro- | | THE, THE, THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE | т 190 190 190 190 190 190 190 190 190 190 | 104 105 100 100 400 400 400 400 400 400 400 400 | 2007 201 1502 1 200 1200 4500 ⁴⁷ | 150 150 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 100 101 2,172 1 102 2,072 4,960 ²⁰ | ICH LIM LIM LIM ICH | 100 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 | | Lini Lini Jan Lini Lini Lini Lini | | 8.75 2.05 3.75 2.05 6.45 | | - |
| Provalent performs by matality 00 + 100 - 0 10 - 0 10 - 100 - 0 10 | 2 | | 4114 4117 411 411 411 411 411 411 411 41 | 100 100 100 100 100 100 400 100 | 201) 201 1501 1201 1201 450 ⁴ | 1011 150 101 11 101 101 101 101 101 101 | 100 101 107 107 107 107 107 107 | | | 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1 | age List J Goo List List List | | 47% 22% 3.7% 1.0% 1.0% | Linear Control of Cont | |
| Prevalent participa, hy restainty Prevalent participa, hy restainty 100 H 100 H 10 | | a inter i in | | 200 1.481 1.481 1.481 1.481 1.481 4.303* 2.110 4.303* | 2007 201 150 201 201 450 ⁴⁴ | 1011 1543 1 301 12117 4.345* 4.345* | ж0 ши 137 1490° 1490° | | 1102* | #1 108 108 108 108 108 108 | AUT LAN J SAN LANT LANT LAN | | 8.7% 2.0% 2.0% 2.0% 2.0% | | |
| Presedent performs by metality 100 = 100 = | | | 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 11 | 100 200 340 100 400 400 * | 2007 201 150 301 1201 1201 450° - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - | 200 250 1 3 3 3 3 3 4 207 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 1 3 1 | ни 1307 12 1407 1400° 1400° | Int Lint Jan Lint Jan Lint Ket Mi Mi | 1000 1418 1418 13 1500 1.102* 1102* 1102* 1102* 1101 | 100 m 100 m | Ling Ling Ling Ling Ling Ling Ling Ling | | 87% 2.0% 3.7% 2.0% 6.0% | | |
| Prevalent partiests, hy realisity Hyperbolist partiests, hy realisity 107 H 107 107 107 107 107 107 107 107 | | Lat Lat Lat Lat Lat Lat Lat Lat Lat Lat | | 100 100 140 140 140 140 400* | 201) 201 1501 1501 1501 1501 1501 4500 ⁴ 4500 ⁴ | 2011 2543 1 21 21 21 21 4,345 * | 100 101 102 102 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 | 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 | 814 1.488 30 1.409 1.400 | | Line Line Jann Line Line Line Line Jann Line Jann | | 87% 2.0% 3.7% 2.0% 6.4% 8.4% 2.4% 2.0% | | |
| Prevalent performs by metality 100 + 100 + | | in Lin Lin I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I | 111 112 1447 113 113 113 113 114 114 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 | 100 100 140 140 140 140 400* 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 | 201) 201 1501 1200 1200 4500* 80 80 10 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10 | 2011 250 1513 217 4385 4385 7 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 | 100 101 102 102 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 | 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 | 1001 1488 1488 1499 1499 1499 1499 1499 149 | 2011 302 1,0000 1,0000 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000 1 | 800 400 1 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 3 4 5 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 | | 8.7% 2.0% 3.7% 0.0% 6.4% 8.9% 1.1% 2.0% 6.0% | | |
| Presedent performs by metality 10 = 100 = | | LIT LAT LAT LAT IN IN IN IN IN IN IN IN IN IN IN IN IN | 1011 111 140 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 | 200 200 200 200 400 400 50 600 50 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20 | 530() 201 1501 1501 1501 1501 4500 ⁴⁴ 150 150 150 150 150 150 | 100 150 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 | ни 1311 1312 1312 1312 1313 132 132 133 133 | 1214 1411 1 1 144 144 144 144 144 144 14 | 800 1.410 1.410 1.000 1.002 1.002 81 1.102 81 1.102 81 1.1000 81 1.100 81 1.100 81 1.100 81 1.100 81 1.100 81 1 | 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 | 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 | | 475 225 375 205 205 205 205 205 205 205 205 205 20 | | |

4.1.4 Updating basic dialysis site information

Users can update basic capacity and patient numbers at each current dialysis site to allow comparison with modelled numbers. This is done by typing over the current values in the yellow cells shown in the screen shot below (Main Sheet cells E25 to F40).

| IA 8 | E | 0 | E | - F | 6 н | 1 |
|------|---|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| 1 | East Midlands St | rategic Clinical Networl | k | | | |
| 141 | Repair demand project | tions 2014 to 2022 | | | | 0.0022.0 |
| | Kenai demand proje | ctions, 2014 to 2023 | | | Wodel built by 2020 Dep | very Ltd. |
| 3 | | | | | | |
| 20 | The second se | | | | Constant of the local division of the local | NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY. |
| 22 | mathemit numbers | | | | Content ace | 18/10 |
| 22 | | | | - | | Entre . |
| 23 | Ingatient renal unit | Dialysis site | 2013 snapshat | 2013 capacity facture | Difference 2014 to suspector | demand as % of resetty |
| 24 | | | | Instantial Control of | | the second data |
| 25 | Derby . | Derby | 210 | 222 | -10 | 90% |
| 26 | Leipester | Leicester | 173 | 174 | 34 | 107% |
| 27 | Leicester | Leicester öHamiltorð | 112 | 114 | | 95% |
| 28 | Leibesber | Loughborough | 75 | 84 | -41 | 41% |
| 29 | Leicester | Peterborough | 86 | 102 | 34 | 100% |
| 30 | Lincoln | Lincoln | 67 | 54 | 7 | 88% |
| 31 | Lincoln | Scilon | 72 | 72 | | 95% |
| 32 | Lincoln | Storgmenus | 80 | 50 | 9 | 78% |
| 33 | Lincoln | Grantham | 19 | 27 | 15 | 127% |
| 34 | Northampton | Northampton | 58 | 65 | 64 | 185% |
| 35 | Northampton | Corby | 94 | 115 | -70 | 22% |
| 36 | Northampton | Kettering | 4.8 | 54 | 29 | 124% |
| 37 | Nattingham | Nottingham | 184 | 190 | -56 | 67% |
| 3.8 | Nottingham | likestan | 52 | 64 | 30 | 98% |
| 39 | Nottinghum | Kings Mill | 74 | | 1000 | 85% |
| 40 | Nattingham | Lings Bar | 37. | - 44 | 40 | 175% |
| 41 | Future | Future: Ashbourne | | | 6 | 1 |
| 42 | Future | Future: redundant | | | (C | £ |
| 43 | Future | Future: Wellingborough | | | 0 | £. |
| 44 | Future | Future: Burton upon Trent | | | 0 | 12 C |
| 49 | Future | Future: Louth | | | | |
| 46 | | | 1.9 | 6a | | |
| 47 | | | 1.35 | 1.557 | 23 | 91% |

4.2 Updating input data (Developer mode)

A number of data sources have been used to populate the model, many of which can be updated annually to allow the model to project demand based on latest available data. In addition to updating user defined assumptions outlined above, further updates can be made to:

- Update prevalent patient 'snapshot'
- Update historic data

It may also be necessary to update GP practice details and refresh ONS population data but these changes are more complex and beyond the scope of this document.

4.2.1 Update prevalent patient 'snapshot'

The model uses provider 'snapshot' data to define the prevalent patients in Year 0. This is input to sheet "Stock" as shown below. Some adjustments of provider data may be necessary where there are patient flows to main provider which are not included within the expected set of Local Authority-Main provider "pseudo-local authorities" (PLAs). For example, there may be Derby transplant patients included within Nottingham snapshot and for local authorities with a low level of split flows (e.g., Amber Valley) where any patients recorded at the 'minority' main provider should be added to the 'expected' main provider.

| | AB | C | D | E | F | GH | I J K | L | M |
|----|-----|---------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-------------------|---|-------|
| 1 | ToC | Stock | | | Cur | rrent version | v38 with t ###### | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 1 | Inputs | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | Phone in a standard and a | | | | | | _ | |
| 7 | 18 | Stock patients | | | | | | | |
| - | | Year "zero" (year | | 1 | | | | | |
| | | before first year of | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | model) | 2013 | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | - | | | | | |
| 10 | | Stock patients by local a | authority, hub and modality | | | | | | |
| 11 | | | Death ('X') will always be zero, but | is included because of the way the | he formulae work | | | | |
| 13 | | | This table is for natients in the year | r before the projections begin - w | ear one is to be set | | | | |
| 14 | | | Updated 13/3/2013 | berore the projections begin - p | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | | Local authority code | Local authority name | Inpatient renal unit ('hub') | Pseudo local authority name | HD-H HD-IC | O PD TP | X | |
| 20 | | E06000015 | Derby | Derby | E06000013 Lincoln | 10 100 | A 37 122 | | |
| 21 | | E06000016 | Leicester | Leicester | E06000016 Leicester | 8 211 | 0 20 231 | ŏ | |
| 22 | | E06000017 | Rutland | Leicester | E06000017 Leicester | 2 2 | 0 4 10 | 0 | |
| 23 | | E06000018 | Nottingham | Nottingham | E06000018 Nottingham | 7 118 | 0 : 28 : 135 | 0 | |
| 24 | | E06000031 | Peterborough | Leicester | E06000031 Leicester | 4 90 | 0 15 82 | 0 | |
| 25 | | E07000032 | Amber Valley | Derby | E07000032 Derby | 8 24 | 3 9 57 | 0 | |
| 20 | | E07000033 | Chesterfield | Nottingham | E07000033 Nottingham | 1 12 | | | |
| 28 | | E07000035 | Derbyshire Dales | Derby | E07000035 Derby | 3 9 | 0 3 19 | ŏ | |
| 29 | | E07000036 | Erewash | Nottingham | E07000036 Nottingham | 2 40 | 0 10 44 | 0 | |
| 30 | | E07000038 | North East Derbyshire | Derby | E07000038 Derby | 0 0 | 0 0 1 | 0 | |
| 31 | | E07000039 | South Derbyshire | Derby | E07000039 Derby | 5 13 | 0 4 37 | 0 | |
| 32 | | E07000129 | Blaby | Leicester | E07000129 Leicester | 1 28 | 0 4 40 | 0 | |
| 34 | | E07000130 E07000131 | Harborough | Leicester | E07000130 Leicester | 2 41 A 12 | 0 3 /4 | | |
| 35 | | E07000132 | Hinckley and Bosworth | Leicester | E07000131 Leicester | 2 16 | 0 4 43 | | |
| 36 | | E07000133 | Melton | Leicester | E07000133 Leicester | 2 9 | 0 0 22 | 0 | |
| 37 | | E07000134 | North West Leicestershire | Derby | E07000134 Derby | 2 29 | 0 6 51 | 0 | |
| 38 | | E07000135 | Oadby and Wigston | Leicester | E07000135 Leicester | 1 19 | 0 3 25 | 0 | |
| 39 | | E07000136 | Boston | Leicester | E07000136 Leicester | 0 17 | 0 4 32 | 0 | |
| 40 | | E07000137 | Lindsey | Lincoln | E07000137 Lincoln | 6 50 | 0 14 37 | | |
| 42 | | E07000138 | North Kesteven | Lincoln | E07000139 Lincoln | 4 35 | 0 7 27 | ŏ | |
| 43 | | E07000140 | South Holland | Leicester | E07000140 Leicester | 0 31 | 0 4 18 | 0 | |
| 44 | | E07000141 | South Kesteven | Leicester | E07000141 Leicester | 5 28 | 0 10 52 | 0 | |
| 45 | | E07000142 | West Lindsey | Lincoln | E07000142 Lincoln | 0 22 | 0 8 19 | 0 | |
| 46 | | E07000150 | Corby | Leicester | E07000150 Leicester | 2 20 | 0 2 22 | | |
| 47 | | E07000151 E07000152 | Daventry East Northamptonshire | Northampton | E07000151 Northampton | 5 10 | 0 2 15 | | |
| 49 | | E07000152 | East Northamptonshire | Leicester | E07000152 Leicester | 0 0 | 0 0 0 | ŏ | |
| 50 | | E07000153 | Kettering | Northampton | E07000153 Northampton | 5 25 | 0 4 54 | 0 | |
| 51 | | E07000154 | Northampton | Northampton | E07000154 Northampton | 5 70 | 0 : 17 : 73 | 0 | |
| 52 | | E07000155 | South Northamptonshire | Northampton | E07000155 Northampton | 2 8 | 0 0 16 | 0 | |
| 53 | | E07000156 | Wellingborough | Northampton | E07000156 Northampton | 3 24 | 0 8 23 | 0 | |
| 55 | | E07000170 | Broxtowe | Nottingham | E07000170 Nottingham | 3 37 | 1 9 54 | | |
| 56 | | E07000173 | Gedling | Nottingham | E07000173 Nottingham | 3 24 | 0 8 30 | 0 | |
| 57 | | E07000174 | Mansfield | Nottingham | E07000174 Nottingham | 0 28 | 0 5 48 | 0 | |
| 58 | | E07000175 | Newark and Sherwood | Nottingham | E07000175 Nottingham | 7 26 | 0 13 59 | 0 | |
| 59 | | E07000175 | Newark and Sherwood | Lincoln | E07000175 Lincoln | 1 2 | 0 1 2 | 0 | |
| 60 | | E07000176 | Rushcliffe East Staffordshire | Nottingham | E07000176 Nottingham | 3 33 | 0 2 54 | | |
| 62 | | E07000193 | Lichfield | Derby | E07000193 Derby | 4 20 | 0 6 6 | | |
| 63 | | X00000001 | Redundant LA 1 | Derby | X00000001 Derby | 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 | |
| 64 | | X0000001 | Redundant LA 1 | Leicester | X00000001 Leicester | 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 | |
| 65 | | X0000002 | Redundant LA 2 | Leicester | X0000002 Leicester | 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 | |
| 66 | | X0000002 | Redundant LA 2 | Lincoln | X0000002 Lincoln | 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 | |
| 67 | | X00000003 | Redundant LA 3 | Leicester | X00000003 Leicester | 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 | |
| 69 | | X00000004 | Redundant LA 4 | Leicester | X00000004 Leicester | 0 0 | 0 0 0 | | |
| 70 | | X00000004 | Redundant LA 4 | Nottingham | X00000004 Nottingham | 0 0 | 0 0 0 | ŏ | |
| 71 | | | | | | ····· | | | |
| 72 | | | | | | 136 1,374 | 9 306 1,839 | 0 | 3,664 |

4.2.2 Update other historic data

To help triangulate the outputs of the model with known 'actuals', the model also includes historic data for incident patients by modality and main provider and also for prevalent patients since 2007. This can be updated when the starting year is changed by overwriting existing data and adding latest year to sheet "HistoricIncident" and "HistoricPrevalent"





4.3 Adding new sites (Developer mode)

4.3.1 Add new dialysis sites and get travel time data How to add new sites

The model allows for one further site to be added (more may be added, but this would require replacing one of the existing pre-loaded scenarios). Enter developer mode

Hit CTRL + F to bring up the "find and replace" dialogue box. Click the 'replace' tab at the top. Replace "Future: redundant" with "Future: site name". It is essential to write "Future: site name" and not just "Site name", as the site name may be similar to an existing county, site, or hub, which may cause problems. Choose "Workbook" from the "Within" drop-down menu. Click "Replace All".

| Find | Reg | giaca | | | | | |
|---------------------|------|--------|-------|------------|---------------|-----------------|------------|
| Figd what | t: | Puture | s red | Indent | 10 | filo Formet Set | Forget |
| Replace with Future | | s site | nane | • | No Format Set | Formet | |
| weten We | | kbook. | | Match glee | | | |
| Search: | ByA | (good) | | Match | entre o | el contenta | |
| ook in: | Port | riden | | | | | Options << |

 Go to the 'Network' sheet, and enter the details of the new site in cells U8 to U15 (coloured as 'input' cells). All details are required, including which IP renal unit the new site would belong to, and the postcode. If the full address is not available or known, the postcode will suffice (see figure below)

| - A 1 | 833 - AS | W.; |
|--------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| al tac | Network | |
| 2 | | |
| 1 | | |
| 41 1 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 81 T | - t | 1 |
| 9 | | |
| | Fegios | East Widtands |
| | Male renat provider | Future: reductions |
| | A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR | |
| | Ingustieve renal well | FLEXIE: IEEE/FEARI |
| 11 | | |
| | Distysis she treated names | FLATER INFORMATION |
| 11 | | |
| | Diatysis sits full same | Rungen restandant |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 12 | | |
| 11 | Thatysis she postsude | |
| | Disturble city and militations | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 14 | North State Country II | |
| | Distant site hall address | |
| | and the second second | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 44 | | |
| | Course Course of the state | and bringht |
| 10 | readle a serie be a gradient | D.C. mark |
| | A CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR | Concerns and the second second |
| | congre r-aven pin - GP | such ("Trans |
| 1. C. | rabitament | |
| | Random number (yead to | 1 |
| 18 | ansign lawest | |

3. Go to the TravelTimeMatrix sheet, and in column AT (coloured as 'input' cells), enter the



same details.

- 4. The model includes a macro to obtain travel time data from Bing Maps. To use this, the user will need to register for a key (a string of letters and numbers) which must be inserted into the macro code. For instructions on how to do this, please see below. Once this has been done, travel time data may be obtained for the new site by navigating to cell AT19 and typing =GetTimeInMins(AT\$13, \$D19), and then dragging this formula down to cell AT959 (or the last row with a postcode in column D).
- 5. Activate the new site by navigating to cell V13 on the 'Main' sheet; predicted patient numbers should appear in the table below.

How to add the Bing maps API key

- The model includes a macro to obtain travel time data from Bing Maps, but does not include a key, which the user must obtain directly from Microsoft at <u>https://www.microsoft.com/maps/choose-your-bing-maps-API.aspx</u>.
- 2. Once the key has been obtained, this needs to be added to the Macro. To do this, enable the Developer ribbon (see Excel Help for instructions on how to do this), and click the "visual basic" icon. This will open the VBA editor.



3. On the left-hand side of the window, there will be a series of objects, relating to each of the sheets in the model. Below these there will be a series of 'modules'. Double click

| | - The Workbook |
|----|----------------------|
| 36 | Modules |
| | - sit Hatting |
| | 42 MdDisplayMode |
| | - 42 McScenario Test |
| | At Module1 |
| | |

MdBing.

4. Within this module, there are two macros, one, GetDistance, for obtaining the distance between two points (not used in the model), and another, GetTimeInMins, for obtaining the travel time (driving) between two postcodes. Within this there is a variable called apikey. Insert the Bing Maps API key between the speechmarks. Do not change any other

lines in the code.

Function GetTimeinHins(sPCode As String, ePcode As String) As Double
'Define variable types
Dim t As String
Dim te As MURITP
'det variable t to be Bing maps key
apikey = **
t = "http://det.virtualsartn.nst/EEST/VI/Boutes/DrivingTowanloop.D+" & sPCode & "Keyp.1+" & ePcode & *Kevoid=minimizeTollsidu=miKey=" & epikey
Set re = Bev XNINTTP
re.Open "get", t, false
re.send
|
Do
Defvents
Loop Ontil re.readyStats = 4
Rich re
s = Tplit(.responseText, "<TravelDurations")
End Rich
BetTimeinHins = Val(s(1)) / 60
End Function</pre>

5. Exit the Visual Basic Editor. There is no requirement to save the code.

4.3.2 Add new dialysis sites outside of the East Midlands, or change existing out of area dialysis sites

Turning 'on' and 'off' existing out-of-area providers

The switches for toggling out-of-area providers on and off are not on the 'main' sheet, as is the case for the East Midlands providers. To turn these on and off:

- 1. Enter developer mode
- 2. Navigate to cell AX16 on the 'TravelTimeMatrix' sheet, and change the relevant cell to '0' or '1' to turn the desired site off or on, respectively.

Adding new out-of-area dialysis sites

To add new providers, follow the same procedure as for adding East Midlands dialysis sites, with the exceptions that in the 'Network' sheet, a new column will need to be added between columns X and Y, and in the TravelTimeMatrix sheet, a new column will need to be added between columns AW and AX.

4.4 Producing maps from outputs (Developer mode)

4.4.1 Google Fusion maps

One of the strengths of the model is to be able to predict demand on a geographical basis. Outputs may be visualised through Google Fusion Tables, which allows for the user to generate maps demonstrating catchments.

In order to generate maps, the user will need an account on Google Drive. This may be obtained free at <u>http://drive.google.com/</u>.

- 1. Enter developer mode within the model
- 2. Go to the TravelTimes sheet and click 'Copy output':

| - 4 | A | в | С |
|-----|-----|----|-------------|
| 1 | Ict | | TravelTimes |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | 1 | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | 1s | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | | | Copy output |
| 9 | | | |
| | | | |

- 3. Open a new workbook, and paste values into this document. Save this file.
- 4. Log in to Google Drive.
- 5. Click Create >> Fusion Table (experimental)



- Contraction of Contraction
- 6. Click Choose File >> navigate to the file >> click Next
- 7. Ensure the field "full address" is marked as a location
- 8. Add map by clicking + >> Add map
- 9. Click *Map1* >> Change Map
- 10. From the Location drop-down menu on the left-hand side, choose "Practice full address"
- 11. Click Change Feature Styles
- 12. Click *Column* >> select icon type this allows the user to change what is being plotted; the options available are as follows:
 - a. Map icon (coloured by NEAREST INPATIENT RENAL UNIT)
 - b. Map icon (coloured by travel time to NEAREST INPATIENT RENAL UNIT)
 - c. Map icon (coloured by nearest SAME PROVIDER dialysis site)
 - d. Map icon coloured by travel time to nearest SAME-PROVIDER dialysis site travel option 1)
 - e. Map icon (coloured by NEAREST dialysis site)
 - f. Map icon (coloured by travel time to NEAREST dialysis site travel option 2)
 - g. Map icon (coloured by NEXT NEAREST dialysis site)
 - h. Map icon (coloured by travel time to NEXT NEAREST dialysis unit)
- 13. In order to generate images of the maps, the user may take a snapshot of the map by using the Windows snipping tool, and pasting the resulting image into a document.

4.5 Other options in developer mode

4.5.1 Change population on which to base flows

The flows for both incident (local authority to inpatient renal unit) and haemodialysis patients (local authority to dialysis site), can be based on either the GP list sizes, or the stage 3-5 CKD population for each catchment. The default option is to bas e flows on the CKD stage 3-5 registered list 2013, as this will reflect demand more accurately (using CKD prevalence as a proxy for renal patient numbers).

4.5.1.1 For incident patients

Incident patients flow to their nearest inpatient renal unit for take-on, and therefore have the takeon probabilities of this unit applied to them.

- Go to the sheet FlowsIncident
- Change cell D15 (should look like the cell below) to either 1 or 2
 - $\circ~$ 1 default this bases the flows on the CKD 3-5 registered list 2013
 - 2 this bases the flows on the registered GP list size 2013

| 1. | Secularia | | |
|----|------------------|----------------|-----|
| | Base flow on whi | ch population? | |
| | | | 100 |

4.5.1.2 For haemodialysis patients

The flows from each pseudo-local authority to each dialysis site can be based on either the GP list sizes, or the stage 3-5 CKD population for each catchment. The default option is to base flows on the CKD stage 3-5 registered list 2013. In order to change this:

- Go to the sheet **FlowsPLA**
- Change cell D12 to either 1 or 2
 - o 1 default this bases the flows on the CKD 3-5 registered list 2013
 - o 2 this bases the flows on the registered GP list size 2013



4.5.2 Change which sheets are hidden in Presentation Mode

In presentation mode, only the main input and output sheets are visible; however, in developer modes, all sheets are visible.

- 1. Enter developer mode
- 2. Navigate to the sheet Sheet_Hiding
- 3. Find the relevant sheet in column C, and change the corresponding cell in column D to the desired value: 'TRUE' for hidden in presentation model, 'FALSE' for always visible.

The user should remember to add new sheet names to this table, otherwise they will appear in both presentation and developer mode.

5 Additional information

5.1 Limitations

5.1.1 Main renal providers cannot be changed without updating the list of pseudo-local authorities

The pseudo-local authorities are defined by the main renal provider catchments, and therefore moving the main renal providers is not possible without updating the list of pseudo-local authorities. The details of the main renal providers can be changed in the same way as one would change the details of any dialysis site, but if the location were changed, the flow matrix would be incorrect. In order to change the structure of the network, a new list of pseudo-local authorities would need to be generated, based on the correct new flows, and this list would need to be updated in every calculation sheet. The instructions for this are beyond the scope of this user guide, and require further support from 2020 Delivery.

5.1.2 Pseudo-local authority population over-state at peripheries of region

Local authorities are split into pseudo-local authorities, based on which main renal provider patients are expected to flow to based on travel times. However, at the periphery of the region, some PLAs flow entirely within the region, whereas in reality, there may be some flow out of area. This causes there to be a slight over-estimation of the population in these areas.

5.1.3 Take-on rates and transition probabilities cannot be changed at level of inpatient renal unit (i.e., Northampton, Lincoln)

The take-on rates, and transition cannot be changed at the level of inpatient renal unit, only at the level of main renal provider. This is because there is too much variation between the theoretical patient flows and the actual flows within the Leicester sub-network, which would require too many manual over-rides in order for the patient numbers to accurately reflect the actuals. In addition, the transition probabilities were only supplied by Renal Registry at Main Provider level.

5.2 Data sources used

| Inputs | Source | Issues with inputs |
|-----------------------------|--|---|
| GP practices | 2013 QOF database (list sizes used for flows) List size CKD list size Geographical details | None |
| Travel Times | Bing Maps (GP practice post code to satellite / renal inpatient units) | Two local authorities have 'unexpected' flows but generally catchments are within 5% or better |
| Population | ONS population estimates used for incidence ONS 2011 projections (for 2014 to 2021 figures) ONS 2010 projections (for 2021-22, 2022-23 growth rates) | None |
| Incidence | Towards a Best Practice Tariff slide pack – Department of Health; Renal Registry report (2011) | Overall numbers are above average of last 7 years by more than 2 standard deviations – potential scaling down of incident rates required |
| Take-on rates | Estimated from 2013 Snapshot data provided | Small numbers, particularly for certain age-modality groups |
| Transition probabilities | Renal Registry regional extract (Catherine Byrne) | No data on HD-H (haemodialysis at home): Probabilities from HD-H to other modalities are same as those from PD Probabilities <i>to</i> this modality also based on PD No information on 'other' modality – palliative care |
| Stock patients | • 31 st Oct 2013 Snap-shot data from providers | 'Unexpected flows' and small number of out of area flows |
| In centre HD flows | Modelled prevalent patients allocated to satellite sites based on travel time derived patient flows | Potential locations for future dialysis sites built into model |

5.3 Glossary

| Term | Description |
|-------------------|---|
| PLA | Pseudo-local authorities |
| HD-H | Haemodialysis at home |
| HD-IC | Haemodialysis in centre |
| PD | Peritoneal dialysis |
| ТР | Transplant |
| Х | Death |
| 0 | 'Other' modality – covers palliative care and gaps in provision |
| Main renal | Top-level provider: Derby, Leicester, Nottingham |
| provider | |
| Inpatient renal | Leicester, Lincoln, Northampton; used for take-on calculations |
| unit, or hub | |
| Dialysis site, or | All other dialysis sites; each belongs to a hub |
| satellite | |
| LA | Local authority |
| PLA | Pseudo-local authority (some local authorities' flows are split between different |
| | hubs) |

5.4 Further support

5.4.1 Changes to the model that require additional support

There are a small number of changes that may be made to the model that are complex, and beyond the scope of this user guide. These fall into two categories: refreshing the population data and changing the structure of the model.

- Refreshing the population data
 - o Refresh ONS population data
 - o Refresh GP practice details (such as list size, CKD registered population)
- Changing structure of model
 - Add or remove GP practices
 - o Add new local authorities
 - Update list of pseudo-local authorities
 - Add new main renal providers ('hubs')
 - o Add new inpatient renal units

5.5 Contact details

If these instructions do not answer your query, or you need to make the changes outlined in section 5.4.1, the team at 2020 Delivery will be able to assist.

- Quick queries may be answer via or email and phone calls to:
 - David Seymour: <u>davidseymour@2020delivery.com</u> (07768 463220)
 - o Christopher Hadley <u>christopherhadley@2020delivery.com</u> (07595 062630)

If further assistance is required, a screen-sharing session or workshop may be organised; please contact David or Christopher in order to set this up.