



Thursday 9 May 2019

**Statistical Press Notice**  
**NHS referral to treatment (RTT) waiting times data**  
**March 2019**

NHS England and NHS Improvement released statistics today on referral to treatment (RTT) waiting times for consultant-led elective care. The statistics include patients waiting to start treatment at the end of March 2019 and patients who were treated during March 2019.

**Main findings**

- At the end of March 2019, 86.7% of patients waiting to start treatment (incomplete pathways) were waiting up to 18 weeks, thus not meeting the 92% standard.
- The number of RTT patients waiting to start treatment at the end of March 2019 was 4.23 million patients. Of those, 1,154 patients were waiting more than 52 weeks.
- For patients waiting to start treatment at the end of March 2019, the median waiting time was 6.9 weeks. The 92<sup>nd</sup> percentile waiting time was 22.3 weeks.
- During March 2019, 305,356 RTT patients started admitted treatment and 1,095,002 started non-admitted treatment (completed pathways).

**Missing data for March 2019**

The following trusts did not submit any RTT pathway data:

- Mid Essex Hospital Services NHS Trust (RQ8)
- Royal Free London NHS Foundation Trust (RAL)

Calderdale and Huddersfield NHS Foundation Trust (RWY) and Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust (RAE) did not submit completed admitted or non-admitted pathways data.

Factoring in estimates based on the latest data submitted for each missing trust suggests the total number of RTT patients waiting to start treatment at the end of March 2019 may have been 4.3 million patients. See section 5 of 'Notes to editors' for details of the latest data submitted by missing trusts.

## Assessment of 2018/19 RTT commitments

The 2018/19 Planning Guidance for RTT (<https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/planning-guidance-18-19.pdf>) set out ambitions for Commissioners and Providers:

- Numbers nationally of patients waiting more than 52 weeks for treatment should be halved by March 2019, and locally eliminated wherever possible; and
- Ensure the RTT waiting list will be no higher in March 2019 than in March 2018 and, where possible, they should aim for it to be reduced.

During 2018/19, there have been changes in the list of providers unable to report RTT data. This missing data will impact on comparisons of volume measures over time, including the number of patients waiting more than 52 weeks and the size of the RTT waiting list.

Below is a statement of progress against the 2018/19 RTT commitments based on published figures and using a like-for-like comparison which includes providers that reported RTT data for both March 2018 and March 2019:

- Based on the published figures, the number of patients waiting more than 52 weeks fell by 58% between March 2018 and March 2019 and the RTT waiting list increased by 10%.
- The like-for-like comparison, including only providers that reported RTT data for both March 2018 and March 2019, shows that the number of patients waiting more than 52 weeks fell by 63% between March 2018 and March 2019 and the RTT waiting list increased by 5%.

The like-for-like comparison excludes the following trusts that were unable to submit RTT data for either March 2018, March 2019 or both:

- Barts NHS Health Trust (R1H) – March 2018
- East & North Hertfordshire NHS Trust (RWH) – March 2018
- Gloucestershire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust (RTE) – March 2018
- Mid Essex Hospital Services NHS Trust (RQ8) – both March 2018 and March 2019
- Northern Devon Healthcare NHS Trust (RBZ) – March 2018
- St George's University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust (RJ7) – March 2018
- Royal Free London NHS Foundation Trust (RAL) – March 2019

Nuffield Health, Brighton Hospital (NT205) is also excluded from the like-for-like comparison group due to a data validation exercise at the trust which reduced the number of 52+ week incomplete pathways for February 2019 onwards. The trust was not able to validate data returns for previous months (including March 2018).

## Other data issues

We are also publishing revisions for October 2017 to September 2018 today. The release of these revisions was delayed from the usual timetable as a result of the

transfer of the RTT data collection from Unify2 to NHS Digital's Strategic Data Collection System (SDCS).

The revisions are due to corrections received from around 20 providers to their information for various months in the period October 2017 to September 2018. There are no changes to the non-reporting trusts for any of the months affected by revisions. The revisions have not had a significant impact on performance at a national level.

The full set of revised data can be found here: <http://www.england.nhs.uk/statistics/rtt-waiting-times/>. The table below indicates which parts of the RTT publication are affected by revisions:

	Completed admitted pathways	Completed non-admitted pathways	Incomplete pathways	New RTT periods
Oct 2017			x	x
Nov 2017			x	x
Dec 2017	x		x	x
Jan 2018			x	x
Feb 2018		x	x	x
Mar 2018	x	x	x	x
Apr 2018	x	x	x	x
May 2018	x	x	x	x
June 2018	x	x	x	x
July 2018	x	x	x	x
August 2018	x	x	x	x
Sept 2018		x	x	x

We plan to release revisions for October 2018 to March 2019 in July 2019. Following this, we will resume the usual pattern of releasing revisions every six months, usually in January and July.

St George's University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust (RJ7) recommenced reporting of RTT data for January 2019, following a gap in reporting since May 2016. After the release of the January 2019 data, the trust identified that a technical issue had resulted in around 10,000 incomplete pathways being excluded from the reported position for January 2019. The same issue will also have affected the figures for RTT clock starts and completed pathways. The trust has corrected the issue for the February 2019 data submission and will resubmit January 2019 data as part of the revision publication in July 2019.

St George's has informed us that the return to reporting only applies to the St George's Hospital site, with a separate project underway to roll-out a new electronic patient administration system on the Queen Mary's Hospital (QMH) site. RTT pathways at the QMH site were not included in RJ7's monthly RTT data returns prior to the period of non-reporting as the existing Patient Administration System (PAS) at the QMH site is unable to provide robust measurement of RTT pathways. The trust has informed us that incomplete pathways that start and stop at the QMH site will be missing from the RJ7 return (currently estimated at around 6,000 incomplete pathways). Pathways that are

completed at the QMH site will also be missing. A plan has been approved by the Trust Board for the roll-out of a fully RTT compliant PAS at the QMH site and the migration of data. It is estimated that this process will be complete, and pathways from the QMH site will be included in the RJ7 return, within the 2019/20 financial year.

### **Further information**


Detailed tables of incomplete and completed pathway waiting times by treatment function (specialty), commissioner and provider are available at:

<http://www.england.nhs.uk/statistics/rtt-waiting-times/>


## National trends

- Of patients waiting to start treatment at the end of March 2019, 86.7% were waiting up to 18 weeks. This was 0.5 percentage points lower than in March 2018 (chart 1).
- The number of completed RTT pathways per working day in the 12 months to March 2019 increased by 2.0% on the preceding 12 month period, having taken account of trusts not submitting data (chart 2).
- The number of RTT patients waiting to start treatment at the end of March 2019 (incomplete pathways) increased by 5.9% compared to the end of March 2018, having taken account of trusts not submitting data (chart 3).


**Chart 1: % of incomplete pathways within 18 weeks (published figures)**



**Chart 2: Number of patients starting RTT treatment, including estimates for missing data<sup>1</sup>**



**Chart 3: Total incomplete RTT pathways**



<sup>1</sup> Working day weighted figures are the number of pathways completed in that month multiplied by the average number of working days in the reference period (Aug-07 to Mar-18) divided by the number of working days that month.

**Table 1 – RTT pathways by treatment function, March 2019, England**

<b>Treatment function</b>	<b>Incomplete pathways</b>		<b>Completed pathways</b>	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>% within 18 weeks</b>	<b>Admitted Total (all)</b>	<b>Non-admitted Total (all)</b>
General Surgery	314,079	84.7%	35,279	71,642
Urology	236,562	85.1%	19,368	44,309
Trauma & Orthopaedics	498,219	83.3%	51,841	104,283
ENT	304,350	84.5%	14,042	77,220
Ophthalmology	432,827	85.8%	47,308	99,526
Oral Surgery	172,218	83.2%	14,212	33,553
Neurosurgery	33,234	81.3%	2,564	6,542
Plastic Surgery	52,660	82.4%	10,199	9,546
Cardiothoracic Surgery	8,781	82.8%	2,031	1,294
General Medicine	42,512	91.0%	2,564	15,624
Gastroenterology	222,657	88.5%	20,983	41,723
Cardiology	207,407	89.5%	8,789	49,835
Dermatology	201,482	89.6%	8,228	71,022
Thoracic Medicine	100,552	90.4%	1,833	27,617
Neurology	128,155	85.8%	773	30,436
Rheumatology	89,105	89.9%	2,289	27,945
Geriatric Medicine	20,190	95.6%	367	9,136
Gynaecology	274,298	87.5%	18,756	75,639
Other	893,148	89.0%	43,930	298,110
<b>England</b>	<b>4,232,436</b>	<b>86.7%</b>	<b>305,356</b>	<b>1,095,002</b>

**Table 2 – RTT waiting times time series, England**

Month	Incomplete pathways		
	Median wait (weeks)	92 <sup>nd</sup> percentile (weeks)	% within 18 weeks
August 2007	14.3	52.4	57.2%
March 2008	9.8	51.6	66.0%
March 2009	5.6	23.3	87.6%
March 2010	5.2	18.9	91.1%
March 2011	5.5	20.7	89.4%
March 2012	5.2	17.0	93.3%
March 2013	5.5	16.6	94.2%
March 2014	5.5	16.9	93.7%
March 2015	5.6	17.2	93.1%
March 2016	6.4	18.5	91.5%
March 2017	6.2	19.5	90.3%
April 2017	6.8	20.0	89.9%
May 2017	7.1	19.4	90.4%
June 2017	6.6	19.5	90.3%
July 2017	6.6	19.8	89.9%
August 2017	7.1	20.5	89.4%
Sept 2017	7.2	20.3	89.1%
Oct 2017	6.7	20.3	89.3%
Nov 2017	6.6	20.2	89.5%
Dec 2017	7.4	21.3	88.2%
Jan 2018	7.6	21.3	88.2%
Feb 2018	6.6	21.4	87.9%
Mar 2018	6.9	21.9	87.2%
Apr 2018	6.9	22.0	87.6%
May 2018	6.9	21.5	88.1%
June 2018	7.0	21.5	87.8%
July 2018	7.0	21.5	87.8%
August 2018	7.5	22.1	87.3%
Sept 2018	7.6	22.2	86.7%
Oct 2018	7.0	22.0	87.1%
Nov 2018	6.9	22.0	87.3%
Dec 2018	7.6	22.7	86.6%
Jan 2019	7.8	22.5	86.7%
Feb 2019	6.7	22.1	87.0%
Mar 2019	6.9	22.3	86.7%

Notes:

1. Median and 92<sup>nd</sup> percentile times are calculated from aggregate data, rather than patient level data, and therefore are only estimates of the position on average waits.
2. A more detailed time series table is available at: <http://www.england.nhs.uk/statistics/rtt-waiting-times/>

## Notes to editors

### 1. Referral to Treatment (RTT) pathways

Patients referred for non-emergency consultant-led treatment are on RTT pathways. An RTT pathway is the length of time that a patient waited from referral to start of treatment, or, if they have not yet started treatment, the length of time that a patient has waited so far.

The following activities end the RTT pathway:

- first treatment – the start of the first treatment that is intended to manage a patient's disease, condition or injury in a RTT pathway
- start of active monitoring initiated by the patient
- start of active monitoring initiated by the care professional
- decision not to treat – decision not to treat made or no further contact required
- patient declined offered treatment
- patient died before treatment.

Admitted pathways are the waiting times for patients whose treatment started during the reporting period and involved admission to hospital. These are sometimes referred to as inpatient waiting times. They include the complete time waited from referral until start of inpatient treatment.

Non-admitted pathways are the waiting times for patients whose wait ended during the reporting period for reasons other than an inpatient or day case admission to hospital for treatment. These are sometimes referred to as outpatient waiting times. They include the time waited for patients whose RTT waiting time clock either stopped for treatment or other reasons, such as a patient declining treatment.

Incomplete pathways are the waiting times for patients waiting to start treatment at the end of the reporting period. These patients will be at various stages of their pathway, for example, waiting for diagnostics, an appointment with a consultant, or for admission for a procedure. These are sometimes referred to as waiting list waiting times and the volume of incomplete RTT pathways as the size of the RTT waiting list.

Each pathway relates to an individual referral rather than an individual patient so if a patient was waiting for multiple treatments they may be included in the figures more than once. Where we refer to the number of 'patients' waiting or starting treatment, technically, we are considering the number or percentage of 'pathways'.

The Department of Health published the RTT Rules Suite on 28 November 2007. This document was updated in October 2015 and can be found at:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/right-to-start-consultant-led-treatment-within-18-weeks>

Other guidance documents relating to RTT waiting times can be found at:

<http://www.england.nhs.uk/statistics/rtt-waiting-times/rtt-guidance/>

## **2. RTT waiting time rights and pledges**

The NHS Constitution states that patients have the right to start non-emergency consultant-led treatment within 18 weeks of referral, unless they choose to wait longer or it is clinically appropriate that they wait longer, or for the NHS to take all reasonable steps to offer them a range of alternative providers if this is not possible.

## **3. RTT waiting times standards**

The NHS Constitution standard sets out that more than 92% of patients on incomplete pathways should have been waiting no more than 18 weeks from referral.

The standard leaves an operational tolerance to allow for patients for whom starting treatment within 18 weeks would be inconvenient or clinically inappropriate. These circumstances can be categorised as:

- patient choice – patients who choose to delay treatments for personal or social reasons
- co-operation – patients who do not attend appointments along their pathways
- clinical exceptions – patients for whom it is not clinically appropriate to start treatment within 18 weeks.

In addition, NHS England introduced a zero tolerance of any referral to treatment waits of more than 52 weeks in 2013/14.

In June 2015, Simon Stevens accepted Sir Bruce Keogh's recommendations for improvements to the waiting time standards for elective care. The admitted (90%) and non-admitted (95%) operational standards were abolished, and the incomplete pathway standard (above) became the sole measure of patients' constitutional right to start treatment within 18 weeks. On 1 October 2015, the National Health Service Commissioning Board and Clinical Commissioning Groups (Responsibilities and Standing Rules) (Amendment) (No.2) Regulations 2015 came into effect, removing the provision to report pauses or suspensions in RTT waiting time clocks in monthly RTT returns to NHS England and removing the admitted and non-admitted standards.

## **4. RTT waiting times data collection**

RTT data is collected from providers of consultant-led services for NHS patients in England and is reviewed and signed-off by English commissioners.

The data measures RTT waiting times in weeks, split by treatment function. The treatment functions are based on consultant specialties. The data return includes all patients whose RTT clock stopped at any point in the reporting period or whose RTT clock is still running at the end of the reporting period.

For the period to September 2015, there were two main central returns:

- Unadjusted: covering admitted patients, non-admitted patients and patients on incomplete pathways.

- Adjusted: covering admitted patients on an adjusted basis. Adjustments were permitted to admitted pathways for clock pauses, where a decision to admit for treatment had been made, and the patient had declined at least two reasonable appointment offers for admission. The RTT clock was paused for the duration of the time between the earliest reasonable date offered and the date from which the patient made themselves available for admission for treatment.

For October 2015 data onwards, the reporting requirements changed as follows:

- there is no longer a requirement for providers to submit admitted adjusted data
- unadjusted admitted and non-admitted completed pathway data is still required but will no longer be used for monitoring against operational standards
- the requirement to report incomplete pathway data remains unchanged – and has always been an unadjusted submission
- two new data items were added to the monthly data return: incomplete pathways where a decision has been made to admit the patient for treatment and new RTT pathways.

The figures for incomplete pathways with a decision to admit for treatment consist of cases where first definitive treatment has not started and a clinical decision to admit to a hospital bed for treatment has been made and the patient is awaiting admission, regardless of whether a date to admit has been given.

The difference between the values submitted for this data item and for total incomplete pathways equates to the number of incomplete pathways without a decision to admit for treatment. This will include patients where first contact has not yet been made, patients waiting for first definitive treatment as an outpatient and patients where a decision to admit for a diagnostic procedure has been made.

For new RTT pathways, providers are asked to submit the number of new RTT pathways in the reporting month. In other words, RTT pathways where the clock start date is within the reporting month. This will include those where the clock also stopped within the reporting month.

A spreadsheet showing a time series for total admitted, non-admitted and incomplete pathways with and without estimates for missing data accompanies this statistical press notice.

## **5. RTT data availability**

Data for admitted patients (patients whose RTT clock stopped with an inpatient/day case admission) has been published each month since January 2007 on an unadjusted basis, and was published each month between March 2008 and September 2015 on an adjusted basis.

Data for non-admitted patients (patients whose RTT clock stopped during the month for reasons other than an inpatient/day case admission) and incomplete RTT times for patients whose RTT clock is still running has been published each month since August 2007.

RTT waiting times figures are published to a pre-announced timetable, roughly 6 weeks after the end of the reference month. Publication day is typically the second Thursday of each calendar month.

Two acute trusts did not submit data on incomplete RTT pathways for March 2019. Factoring in estimates based on the latest data submitted for each of these missing acute trusts suggests the total number of RTT patients waiting to start treatment at the end of March 2019 may have been 4.3 million patients. The latest figures submitted by missing acute trusts are shown in the table below.

<b>Trust</b>	<b>Latest available incomplete RTT pathway data (rounded to nearest hundred)</b>	<b>Month incomplete pathway data last submitted</b>
Mid Essex Hospital Services NHS Trust	46,100	Dec-17
Royal Free London NHS Foundation Trust	66,000	Jan-19

To estimate the impact of missing data on completed (admitted and non-admitted) pathways, the total number of pathways per working day in each provider in the month prior to the gap in reporting can be applied to all missing months multiplied by the relevant number of working days in each month. Using this approach, the number of completed RTT pathways per working day in the 12 months to March 2019 increased by 2.0% on the preceding 12 month period.

The impact of missing data varies depending on the measure being considered. The biggest impact is on measures of volume, such as the number of completed pathways and the size of the RTT waiting list. The impact of missing trusts on the percentage of incomplete pathways within 18 weeks at England is generally minimal, however, where a large trust that has previously had a particular high or low percentage of incomplete pathways within 18 weeks does not submit data there can be a material impact on the England-level percentage.

For example, Medway NHS Foundation Trust was unable to submit data for October or November 2015. At the end of September 2015, 70.1 per cent of patients waiting to start treatment at Medway NHS Foundation Trust were waiting up to 18 weeks. The impact of removing the figures for this trust from the published September 2015 England-level figure of 92.5% of incomplete pathways within 18 weeks is an increase of 0.25 percentage points to 92.8%. This also caused a discontinuity in the specialty level, commissioner and regional series between September and October 2015. For example, removing Medway from the September 2015 figure for the South of England Commissioning Region would change it from the published 91.0% to 92.0%, an increase of 1.0 percentage points.

## **6. Median and 92<sup>nd</sup> percentile waiting times**

The median is the preferred measure of the average waiting time as it is less susceptible to extreme values than the mean. The median waiting time is the middle value when all

patients are ordered by length of wait, in other words, the midpoint of the RTT waiting times distribution or 50th percentile. For incomplete pathways, 50% of patients were waiting within the median waiting time.

The 92nd percentile waiting time is shown for incomplete pathways to correspond with the 92% operational standard. This is the time that 92% of patients had been waiting less than (and 8% of patients had been waiting more than). For example, if the 92nd percentile is 17 weeks, then 92% of patients had been waiting less than 17 weeks at the end of the reporting period and 8% of patients had been waiting more than 17 weeks.

It should be noted that median and 92<sup>nd</sup> percentile waiting times are calculated from aggregate data, rather than patient-level data, and therefore are only estimates of the position on average waits.

## **7. Interpretation of RTT waiting times**

Care should be taken when making month-on-month comparisons of these figures as measures of waiting time performance are subject to seasonality. For example, adverse weather during winter may change the balance between elective and emergency care. Similarly, the number of patients starting treatment will be influenced by the number of working days in the calendar month.

## **8. National Statistics**

The United Kingdom Statistics Authority has designated these statistics as National Statistics, in accordance with the Statistics and Registration Service Act 2007 and signifying compliance with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics. Designation can be broadly interpreted to mean that the statistics:

- meet identified user needs
- are well explained and readily accessible
- are produced according to sound methods, and
- are managed impartially and objectively in the public interest.

Once statistics have been designated as National Statistics it is a statutory requirement that the Code of Practice shall continue to be observed.

## **9. Feedback welcomed**

We welcome feedback on the content and presentation of RTT statistics within this statistical press notice and those published on the NHS England website. If you have any comments on this, or any other issues regarding RTT statistics, please email [england.rtt@nhs.net](mailto:england.rtt@nhs.net)

## **10. Additional Information**

For press enquiries, please e-mail the NHS England media team at [nhsengland.media@nhs.net](mailto:nhsengland.media@nhs.net) or call 0113 825 0958 or 0113 825 0959.

The Government Statistical Service (GSS) statistician responsible for producing these data is:

Debbie Moon

Performance Analysis Team – Activity and Planning

NHS England and NHS Improvement

Room 5E24, Quarry House, Quarry Hill, Leeds LS2 7UE

Email: [england.rtt@nhs.net](mailto:england.rtt@nhs.net)