



Statistics on Waiting Times for Suspected and Diagnosed Cancer Patients Q2 2019/20 Key Points – Provider Based

Background

The document Improving Outcomes: A Strategy for Cancer¹, and its accompanying Review of Cancer Waiting Times Standards (January 2011) recommended that the current waiting time requirements for cancer should be retained. It was identified that shorter waiting times can help to ease patient anxiety and, at best, may lead to earlier diagnosis, quicker treatment, a lower risk of complications, an enhanced patient experience and improved cancer outcomes. The maximum waiting times requirements for cancer are included in "Delivering the Forward View: NHS Shared Planning Guidance 2016/17 – 2020/21".

These statistics for quarter two 2019/20 (July to September 2019) relate to those waiting time requirements, introduced by the NHS Cancer Plan (2000) and the Cancer Reform Strategy (2007), which are retained in "Delivering the Forward View: NHS Shared Planning Guidance 2016/17 – 2020/21".

As with other waiting times commitments, 100% achievement is not expected. For any given period, there will be a number of patients who are not available for treatment within a waiting time standard because they elect to delay their treatment (patient choice), are unfit for their treatment or it would be clinically inappropriate to treat them within the standard time. Therefore, 'operational standards' account for the proportion of patients that cannot be seen within the identified timeframe. Additionally, variation in results by trust may come about due to different population structures in the different areas, differences in the case-mix of patients' being seen in the area, and varying combinations of patient choice.

National Statistics

Following an independent assessment undertaken in 2010, the United Kingdom Statistics Authority has designated these statistics as National Statistics, in accordance with the Statistics and Registration Service Act 2007 and signifying compliance with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics, meaning the statistics:

meet identified user needs; are well explained and readily accessible; are produced according to sound methods, and are managed impartially and objectively in the public interest.

¹https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-national-cancer-strategy

²http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20130107105354/http://www.dh.gov.uk/prod_consum_dh/groups/dh_digit_alassets/documents/digitalasset/dh_103431.pdf

Once statistics have been designated as National Statistics it is a statutory requirement that the Code of Practice shall continue to be observed.

Analysis

A summary of the cancer waiting times performance in quarter two 2019/20 against published operational standards and for specific cancers is outlined below. Unless otherwise stated, the number of providers stated to have passed or failed a given standard does not include discontinued organisations, unknown providers, or organisations with fewer than five records for that measure. Records for those trusts still count towards figures on national performance. For any other footnotes covering specific data quality issues for given providers, please see the main quarterly provider workbooks.


All cancer two week wait

A patient should wait a maximum of two weeks to see a specialist after being urgently referred with suspected cancer by their GP. The operational standard specifies that 93% of patients should be seen within this time.

In quarter two 2019/20, 614,459 patients were seen by specialists after an urgent GP referral for suspected cancer. 90.1% of these patients were seen within 14 days of referral, compared to 90.2% in Q1 2019/20, and 91.6% in Q2 2018/19.

A graph of the trend in reported performance over time is shown below:

Figure 1: Proportion of cancer patients seen within 14 days of referral




Two week wait for symptomatic breast patients (cancer not initially suspected)

Those patients urgently referred with breast symptoms (where cancer was not initially suspected) should experience a maximum waiting time of two weeks to see a specialist. This maximum waiting time requirement was introduced in quarter four 2009/10, when 92% of patients were seen within 2 weeks of referral. The operational standard for this measure is 93%

In total, 41,959 patients with exhibited breast symptoms, where cancer was not initially suspected, were seen in quarter two 2019/20 after being urgently referred. Of these, 85.3% were seen within 14 days, compared to 77.5% in Q1 2019/20, and 90.2% in Q2 2018/19.

A graph of the trend in reported performance over time is shown below:

Figure 2: Proportion of patients seen within 14 days of referral for breast symptoms, where cancer is not initially suspected



One Month (31-day) diagnosis to first treatment wait

Patients should experience a maximum wait of one month (31 days) between receiving their diagnosis and the start of first definitive treatment, for all cancers. This is measured from the point at which the patient is informed of a diagnosis of cancer and agrees their package of care. The operational standard for this measure is 96%.

In quarter two 2019/20, 77,818 patients began a first definitive treatment for cancer. 96.0% of these patients started that treatment within 31 days, compared to 96.1% for Q1 2019/20 and 96.8% from Q2 2018/19.

This proportion of patients that began first definitive treatment within 31 days was lower for admitted patients (94.7%) than for non-admitted patients (98.5%).

The proportion of patients treated within 31 days of diagnosis at providers which treated at least 5 patients varies from 54.0% to 100.0% by provider – all but 40 providers treated at least 96% of patients within 31 days of diagnosis.




Figure 3: Proportion of patients waiting 31 days or less for first treatment following diagnosis

One Month (31-day) diagnosis to first treatment wait by cancer type

In quarter two 2019/20, 77,818 patients began a first definitive treatment for cancer. The proportion of patients treated within 31 days of diagnosis for various cancer types is as follows:

- o Breast cancers 97.3%
- Lung cancers 97.3%
- o Lower gastrointestinal cancers 96.6%
- o Urological cancers 93.2%
- Skin cancers 95.4%

31-day wait for second or subsequent treatment

Anti-cancer drug treatments


Patients should experience a maximum wait of 31 days for a second or subsequent treatment. Where that treatment is an anti-cancer drug regimen, the operational standard is 98%.

In quarter two 2019/20, 99.2% of patients receiving an anti-cancer drug regimen waited 31 days or less for that second or subsequent treatment, compared to 99.2% in Q1 2019/20 and 99.4% in Q2 2018/19.

The proportion of patients in quarter two 2019/20, waiting 31 days or less for a subsequent anti-cancer drug treatment was lower for admitted patients (99.0%) than non-admitted patients (99.5%)

The proportion of patients waiting for 31 days or less varies from 80.0% to 100.0% by provider. 16 providers did not meet the target of treating at least 98% within 31 days.

Figure 4: Proportion of patients on an anti-cancer drug regimen waiting 31 days or less for second or subsequent treatment



Surgery


Patients should experience a maximum wait of 31 days for a second or subsequent surgical treatment. The operational standard for this measure is 94%.

In quarter two 2019/20, 91.3% of patients waited 31 days or less for their second or subsequent treatment, compared to 91.6% in Q1 2019/20 and 93.5% of patients in Q2 2018/19.

The proportion of patients in quarter two 2019/20 waiting 31 days or less was lower for admitted patients (91.1%) than non-admitted patients (95.0%).

The proportion of patients waiting 31 days or less varies from 68.0% to 100.0% by provider. All but 54 providers treated at least 94% of patients within 31 days.

Figure 5: Proportion of patients receiving surgery waiting 31 days or less for second or subsequent treatment



Radiotherapy


Patients should experience a maximum wait of 31 days for a second or subsequent treatment if that treatment is a course of radiotherapy. The operational standard for this requirement is 94%.

In quarter two 2019/20, 96.2% of patients waited 31 days or less for the second or subsequent treatment, compared to 96.6% in Q1 2019/20 and 96.9% in Q2 2018/19.

The proportion of patients in quarter two 2019/20 receiving treatment within 31 days, was equal for admitted patients (96.2%) than for non-admitted patients (96.2%).

The proportion of patients waiting 31 days varies from 85.0% to 100.0% by provider, and all but 13 treated at least 94% of patients within 31 days.

Figure 6: Proportion of patients waiting 31 days or less for second or subsequent treatment, where the treatment is radiotherapy



Two Month (62-day) urgent GP referral first treatment wait

The operational standard for this requirement specifies that 85% of patients should wait a maximum of 62 days to begin their first definitive treatment following an urgent referral for suspected cancer from their GP.


In quarter two 2019/20, 41,415 patients began first definitive treatment for cancer following an urgent GP referral. 77.7% of these patients were treated within 62 days (two months) of referral, compared to 77.8% in Q1 2019/20 78.6% in Q2 2018/19

The proportion of patients in quarter two 2019/20 waiting 62 days or less was lower for admitted patients (76.5%) than for those were not admitted (79.5%).

The national operational standard was breached in Q2 2019/20 by 7.3 percentage points. This is the twenty-third quarter that has breached in a row since Q4 2013-14 fell below the operational standard by 0.6 percentage points.

Out of 151 providers which treated at least 5 patients, 110 failed to meet the operational standard for this requirement. The proportion of patients commencing their first definitive treatment within 62 days varies from 31.0% to 98.3% by provider.

A graph showing the trends over time is shown below: Figure 7: Proportion of patients receiving first definitive treatment within 62 days of urgent GP referral



Two Month (62-day) urgent GP referral to first treatment wait by cancer type

In quarter two 2019/20, 41,415 patients began first definitive treatment for cancer following an urgent GP referral. The proportion of patients treated within 62 days of an urgent GP referral for various cancer types is as follows:

- Breast cancers 88.6%
- Lung cancers 66.3%
- Lower gastrointestinal cancers 68.1%
- o Urological cancers (excluding testicular cancers) 71.7%
- Skin cancers 93.5%

62-day wait for first treatment following referral from an NHS cancer screening service: all cancers


The operational standard states that 90% of patients would wait a maximum of 62 days to begin first definitive treatment following referral from an NHS cancer screening service.

In quarter two 2019/20, 5,449 patients began first definitive treatment for cancer following referral from a consultant screening service. 86.7% of these patients started treatment within 62 days of referral, compared to 87.4% in Q1 2019/20 and 89.3% of patients in Q2 2018/19.

This proportion of patients in quarter two 2019/20 receiving first definitive treatment within 62 days was higher for admitted patients (87.1%) than for non-admitted patients (82.3%).

53 out of 126 providers which treated at least 5 patients met the operational standard of 90%.

Figure 8: Proportion of patients receiving first definitive treatment within 62 days of consultant screening service referral



62-day wait for first treatment following a consultant's decision to upgrade a patient's priority: all cancers

In quarter two 2019/20, 10,079 people began first treatment following a consultant's decision to upgrade a patient's priority. 82.7% of these patients started treatment within 62 days of upgrade. This is compared to 82.9% in Q1 2019/20 and 86.1% in Q2 2018/19.

The proportion of patients seen within 62 days of an upgrade varies between different providers, from 40.0% to 100.0%.

An operational standard for the maximum 62-day wait for first treatment for those patients who are upgraded with a suspicion of cancer by the consultant responsible for their care has not been developed. This is because the design and implementation of these services was left to local providers and not enough patients have benefited from consistently implemented services to provide the basis for a robust calculation of an operational standard.