National Quality Board (NQB) Workplan

Background

- Following agreement at the July 2015 meeting of the Five Year Forward View (5YFV) Board that the ALB CEO's should work with the DH to ensure that delivery plans for the 5YFV align with the DH Single Departmental Plan, each of the 5YFV Programme Boards, including the NQB, has been asked to complete the enclosed template (Annex A) to set out their workplan, and its interdependencies to other programmes.
- The aim is to minimise the bureaucratic burden, ensure a shared understanding of success and progress across the 5YFV programme, and consistency of purpose across the service and DH.
- 3. The NQB workplan seeks to both incorporate work that is already going on across the system which is relevant to the objective of 'closing the quality gap', as well as setting out where the NQB needs to initiate cross-system work. The NQB work programme overall seeks to take a pragmatic approach for this first year (2015/16), whilst also ensuring that the foundations are laid for the NQB to support the system to drive real improvement over the five year period.
- It is recognised that the all 5YFV Programme Board workplans will be iterative as a shared understanding about what success looks like and how best to measure it is developed.

Developing the NQB workplan

5. An initial draft of the NQB workplan was circulated for comment to NQB members and was also discussed and challenged at a 5YFV & DH Delivery Plan Workshop on 10 September 2015. As such, the workplan will continue to be updated to reflect the comments received. For example it is recognised that further work is needed to strengthen the plan to reflect the interface with social care.

- 6. Our understanding is that the both the success and impact of the National Quality Board will be based on how well it is perceived to be contributing to 'closing the quality gap'. Unlike the "finance gap" which is clearly defined in the 5YFV, the "quality gap" is, as yet, not clearly defined. This has highlighted the importance and urgency of progress in relation to two elements of the Quality Strategy workstream:
 - defining quality; and
 - measuring the "quality gap".

Next steps

- The 5YFV Strategic Programme Office is in the process of setting-up regular meetings for all 5YFV Programme Board Secretariats. This will enable NQB Secretariat to further develop close links with the other 5YFV Programme Boards.
- Revised iterations of all 5YFV Programme Board workplans will be submitted for consideration by the ALB's CEO's meeting on 19 October 2015, before being submitted to Cabinet Office.
- 9. The DH Single Departmental Plan will be published alongside the Spending Review in November 2015.

Areas for discussion

- 10. From the various comments received on the NQB Workplan, several comments were raised which the NQB may want to sense check the workplan against:
 - Does the NQB and its members have capacity to lead on all the different areas set out in the plan or should the NQB consider commissioning specific pieces of work to support the programme?
 - Is the NQB clear about its unique role in respect of those areas where work is already going on, e.g. measurement, staffing, and challenged providers? The NQB has previously agreed that its added value is in providing a forum for

alignment, avoiding duplicating efforts and minimising complexity in such areas of mutual interest.

 How can the NQB ensure it maintains a balance between a strategic focus and supporting the system on improving quality in specific areas? The workplan includes supporting the system to align efforts to deliver the Cancer, Mental Health, and Maternity programmes. This must not be lost and could risk being underplayed.

Action required

- 11. NQB members are asked to:
 - note the latest iteration of the NQB workplan (Annex A) and its intended purpose; and
 - confirm that it is content, in light of the comments highlighted above.

NQB Secretariat September 2015

National Quality Board (NQB) Workplan (DRAFT)

What does success look like for the board by 2020?	What are the key high-level milestones for the board?	What is the role of the Board?		
The overarching aim of the board is to provide collective leadership for quality across pathways of care, in the context of the 5YFV, with a	Aug 2015: agree work-plan	The NQB's role is to provide system leadership for quality in the		
focus on healthcare, and its interaction with social care and public health. The board's work programme is aimed at system ownership and	Oct 2015: quality measures developed	context of value. It facilitates effective joint working between the		
alignment of efforts to improve quality in England by 2020, through organisations working together to maintain and improve quality.	Mar 2016: quality strategy developed	ALBs and supports delivery of shared priorities and responsibilities.		
	Mar 2016: 15/16 priorities delivered: unwarranted variation, safe staffing, provider failure, taskforces	Individual ALBs will continue to have a lead for particular aspects of		
NQB will identify priorities for strengthening how quality is maintained and improved, make recommendations and take action to	Sept 2016: prioritisation to 2020	quality policy or delivery, and will act independently within their		
strengthen how organisations work together to maintain and improve quality, and develop system-wide approaches to quality	Sept 2016: operational alignment in place	statutory responsibililities.		
improvement by 2020. As a result, there will be a measurable improvement in quality of care across the system.	Apr 2017 and annually: review progress and priorities based on quality measures			
The NQB will take forward a workstream in 15/16 to agree a core set of metrics to measure quality and quality improvement, drawing from CQC's quality ratings for providers and existing outcomes frameworks.				

Workstream	Lead Individual(s) & Organisation(s)	Contributing ALBs	Objective	Funding Assumptions	Key Milestones	RAG Rating	RAG Commentary	Link to DH/SoS Priorities	Interdependencies with other Programme Boards and Task forces	Engagement of people & communities
Quality strategy: defining quality The NQB will develop a common definition for quality in the context of the SYRV – this will provide the context and scope for the 'quality gan and will consider how it relates to and can impact on the health and wellbeing, and finance and efficiency gaps. The NQB will consider the interrelationship between quality in health and social care. The NQB will seek to consolidate definitions organisations are using where possible, and explain where variances lie.	CQC and NHS England	Monitor, NHS TDA, PHE, HEE, DH and NICE Working alongside parallel and linked work by Health Foundation	System partners agree one shared definition of quality by Spring 2016 Definition reflected within regulatory, commissioning and education programmes by 17/18	NHS England and CQC provide Secretariat. NQB member arganisations resource additional work individually or collectively.	Quality definition drafted: Oct 2015 Stakeholder engagement: Dec 2015 Final agreed definition published as part of Quality Strategy: Spring 2016	Green - Delivery on-track	Health Foundation attending the September 2015 meeting of the NQB to discuss their work to review the policy framework in England for improving quality and to set out key action required to re-establish a coherent framework in the context of the reforms.	Addressing the care and quality gap - narrowing the gap and raising the bar.	National Information Board	Engagement with NQB Stakeholder Forum
Quality strategy: measuring quality The NQB will identify a core set of metrics to the 'quality gap'. Le. the distance between high quality care for all, and current quality of care across the system. The basket of measures will draw from existing data sources, in particular the outcomes frameworks, NICE Quality Standards and CQC ratings, to be relevant from a range of perspectives, e.g. provider/commissioner; patient/population; health/Care; primary/secondary The NQB will coordinate activities to support the system in using measurement to drive quality improvement, working with the improvement architecture. This will include delivery against the FYFV commitment re Comparable measures of quality developed across all major pathways of care by 2020.	CQC and NHS England	Monitor, NHS TDA, PHE, HEE, DH and NICE Working alongside parallel and linked work by Health Foundation	A core set of metrics to measure the 'quality gap'. Le. the distance between high quality care for all, and current quality of care across the system identified by Spring 2016 System partners use agreed metrics to measure quality gap from 2016 Measures inform prioritisation by Sept 2016 Measureable improvement in quality by 2020	NHS England and CQC provide Secretariat. NQB member organisations resource additional work individually or collectively.	Quality measures drafted: Oct 2015 Stakeholder engagement: Dec 2015 Final agreed measures published as part of Quality Strategy: Spring 2016 Comparable measures of quality developed across all major pathways of care by 2020	Green - Delivery on-track		To reduce variation through publication of quality metrics.	National Information Board Improvement Board	Engagement with NQB Stakeholder Forum
Quality strategy: prioritisation The NQB will develop a methodology for identifying and selecting quality priorities e.g. population groups, service types, providers, aspects of quality. The NQB will use this to determine longer term priorities for quality improvement beyond our current set of priorities.	CQC and NHS England	Monitor, NHS TDA, PHE, HEE, NICE and DH	A high-level narrative re: prioritisation developed by October 2015. A methodology for identifying and selecting quality priorities developed by Spring 2016 Systematic identification of quality priorities enables evidence-based planning by Sept 2016. Individual and collective responsibilities agreed and reflected in ALB business plans 17/18 Measurable improvement in priority areas by 2020	NHS England and CQC provide Secretariat. NQB member organisations resource additional work Individually or collectively.	Strategic prioritisation approach referred to in Quality Strategy: Spring 2016 Available for use for 2017/18 planning and reflected in ALB business plans	Green - Delivery on-track		Addressing the care and quality gap - narrowing the gap and raising the bar.	National Information Board	Engagement with Stakeholder Forum

Quality strategy: roles and responsibilities	CQC and NHS	Monitor, NHS	An initial draft of roles and	NHS England and CQC	Roles and responsibilities		NQB Secretariat working with the team responsible for implementation of the Smith	System alignment for	Improvement Board	Engagement with
The NQB will clarify each individual organisation's	England	TDA, PHE, HEE,	responsibilities developed by October 2015.	provide Secretariat.	defined and published as		Review recommendations, to ensure correct links with Improvement Strategy.	quality and		NQB Stakeholder
& collective role & responsibilities, setting out our		NICE, DH		NQB member	part of Quality Strategy:			improvement.		Forum
shared framework for quality and how we work			Role of system partners for quality improvement	organisations	Spring 2016					
together.			is understood and articulated by Spring 2016	resource additional						
				work individually or	ALB business plans 17/18					
This will include how we will drive quality			Priorities for operational alignment agreed and	collectively.	include objectives for					
improvement, making links to the architecture			embedded within ALB business plans for 17/18		operational alignment					
and improvement strategy coming out of the										
Smith Review, the quality improvement support in										
adult social care, and focussing on where we will										
align our tools and levers, for example:						Green - Delivery				
						on-track				
Incentives on individuals such as revalidation										
and appraisal, clinical excellence awards										
Supporting the utility and implementation of										
NICE guidelines and Quality Standards Measurement tools and levers, such as 										
 Measurement tools and levers, such as national clinical audit, intelligent monitoring and 										
other quality indicators										
Operational alignment: early identification of	CQC and NHS	Monitor, NHS	Early warning system' for quality failure is	NHS England and CQC	Early warning system' for			Effective sharing of		To be decided
risks	England	TDA	strengthened	provide Secretariat.	quality failure is reviewed			intelligence on quality		
NQB will further develop and enhance the 'early	-		-	NQB member	and mechanisms identified			across the system.		
warning system', building on the existing				organisations	to strengthen it by Mar					
arrangements which include data monitoring,				resource additional	2016	Green - Delivery				
Quality Surveillance Groups, and Risk Summits.				work individually or		on-track				
This will sit alongside the development of the				collectively.		on-track				
quality strategy, where we will clarify roles and										
responsibilities of organisations and how they										
work together.										
Operational alignment: reducing the burden on	Monitor and	CQC, NHS	NQB to ensure links are made with the work that	NHS England and CQC	Review information		Yet to agree approach for taking this work forward.	Effective sharing of	National Information	Engagement with
providers	NTDA	England	has been started by the DH to align information	provide Secretariat.	requests: Mar 2016			intelligence on quality	Board	Stakeholder Forum
NQB will facilitate and co-ordinate the work of			requests from GPs, including for inspections and	NQB member	Plan consolidated			across the system.		
ALBs to review information requests and other			revalidation.	organisations	approach: Sept 2016					
demands on health and careproviders by				resource additional						
commissioners, regulators and others, particularly			Mechanism to faciliate a reduction on the	work individually or		Amber - Deliverv				
in situations of distress or failure, with a view to			burden of regulation and performance	collectively.						
achieving consolidation, better information			management on providers is agreed by Sept			delayed				
sharing and reducing the burden			2016							
			Number of separate information requests							
			reduced (staged approach throughout 2017/18)							
			produced (studen approach throughout 2017/18)	1						
Operational alignment: clinical sustainability			reacea (stagea approach throughout 2017/18)							
NQB will advise the system on how to get advice	Monitor and	NHS England,	A Clinical Advisory Group to support service	Funding model to be	Clinical Sustainability		Discussion at NQB meeting on 8 July 2015. Monitor now taking forward work to	Addressing the care	New Care Models;	To be decided
In the will duvise the system on now to get davice	Monitor and NTDA	NHS England, NICE		Funding model to be developed by Monitor	Clinical Sustainability Steering Group established:		Discussion at NQB meeting on 8 July 2015. Monitor now taking forward work to establish Clinical Sustainability Steering Group.			To be decided
			A Clinical Advisory Group to support service			Green - Delivery		Addressing the care and quality gap; introducing new	New Care Models; Success Regime	To be decided
ngb will davise the system on now to get davice on clinical sustainability to inform service changes			A Clinical Advisory Group to support service		Steering Group established:	Green - Delivery on-track		and quality gap;		To be decided
			A Clinical Advisory Group to support service		Steering Group established:			and quality gap; introducing new		To be decided
on clinical sustainability to inform service changes	NTDA	NICE	A Clinical Advisory Group to support service reconfiguration established by end 2016	developed by Monitor	Steering Group established: Mar 2016		establish Clinical Sustainability Steering Group.	and quality gap; introducing new models of care		
on clinical sustainability to inform service changes Operational alignment: waiting times standards	NTDA	NICE Monitor, NHS	A Clinical Advisory Group to support service reconfiguration established by end 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across	developed by Monitor NQB member	Steering Group established: Mar 2016 Provide a single clinical		establish Clinical Sustainobility Steering Group. Initial discussion at NQB meeting on 8 July 2015, though yet to agree the approach for	and quality gap; introducing new models of care SoS request for a		To be decided To be decided
on clinical sustainability to inform service changes Operational alignment: waiting times standards The NQB will support Bruce Keagh in reviewing	NTDA	NICE Monitor, NHS TDA, NICE, DH,	A Clinical Advisory Group to support service reconfiguration established by end 2016	developed by Monitor NQB member organisations	Steering Group established: Mar 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the		establish Clinical Sustainability Steering Group.	and quality gap; introducing new models of care		
on clinical sustainability to inform service changes Operational alignment: waiting times standards The NQB will support Bruce Keogh in reviewing the current waiting times standards for clinical	NTDA	NICE Monitor, NHS	A Clinical Advisory Group to support service reconfiguration established by end 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across	developed by Monitor NQB member organisations resource additional	Steering Group established: Mar 2016 Provide a single clinical	on-track	establish Clinical Sustainobility Steering Group. Initial discussion at NQB meeting on 8 July 2015, though yet to agree the approach for	and quality gap; introducing new models of care SoS request for a		
on clinical sustainability to inform service changes Operational alignment: waiting times standards The NGB will support Bruce Keogh in reviewing the current waiting times standards for clinical appropriateness, providing a single clinical	NTDA	NICE Monitor, NHS TDA, NICE, DH,	A Clinical Advisory Group to support service reconfiguration established by end 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across	developed by Monitor NQB member organisations resource additional work individually or	Steering Group established: Mar 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the	on-track Amber - Delivery	establish Clinical Sustainobility Steering Group. Initial discussion at NQB meeting on 8 July 2015, though yet to agree the approach for	and quality gap; introducing new models of care SoS request for a		
on clinical sustainability to inform service changes Operational alignment: waiting times standards The NQB will support Bruce Keogh in reviewing the current waiting times standards for clinical	NTDA	NICE Monitor, NHS TDA, NICE, DH,	A Clinical Advisory Group to support service reconfiguration established by end 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across	developed by Monitor NQB member organisations resource additional	Steering Group established: Mar 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the	on-track	establish Clinical Sustainobility Steering Group. Initial discussion at NQB meeting on 8 July 2015, though yet to agree the approach for	and quality gap; introducing new models of care SoS request for a		
on clinical sustainability to inform service changes Operational alignment: waiting times standards The NQB will support Bruce Keagh in reviewing the current waiting times standards for clinical appropriateness, providing a single clinical	NTDA	NICE Monitor, NHS TDA, NICE, DH,	A Clinical Advisory Group to support service reconfiguration established by end 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across	developed by Monitor NQB member organisations resource additional work individually or	Steering Group established: Mar 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the	on-track Amber - Delivery	establish Clinical Sustainobility Steering Group. Initial discussion at NQB meeting on 8 July 2015, though yet to agree the approach for	and quality gap; introducing new models of care SoS request for a		
on clinical sustainability to inform service changes Operational alignment: waiting times standards The NGB will support Bruce Keogh in reviewing the current waiting times standards for clinical appropriateness, providing a single clinical	NTDA	NICE Monitor, NHS TDA, NICE, DH,	A Clinical Advisory Group to support service reconfiguration established by end 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across	developed by Monitor NQB member organisations resource additional work individually or	Steering Group established: Mar 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the	on-track Amber - Delivery	establish Clinical Sustainobility Steering Group. Initial discussion at NQB meeting on 8 July 2015, though yet to agree the approach for	and quality gap; introducing new models of care SoS request for a		
on clinical sustainability to inform service changes Operational alignment: waiting times standards The NQB will support Bruce Keogh in reviewing the current waiting times standards for clinical appropriateness, providing a single clinical perspective from across the system	NTDA NHS England	NICE Monitor, NHS TDA, NICE, DH, CQC	A Clinical Advisory Group to support service reconfiguration established by end 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the system by Sept 2016	developed by Monitor NQB member organisations resource additional work individually or collectively.	Steering Group established: Mar 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the system by Sept 2016	on-track Amber - Delivery	establish Clinical Sustainability Steering Group. Initial discussion at NQB meeting on 8 July 2015, though yet to agree the approach for toking this work forward.	and quality gap; introducing new models of care SoS request for a consistent approach	Success Regime	To be decided
on clinical sustainability to inform service changes Operational alignment: waiting times standards The NQB will support Bruce Keagh in reviewing the current waiting times standards for clinical appropriateness, providing a single clinical perspective from across the system Quality priorities: unwarranted variation	NTDA NHS England PHE and NHS	NICE Monitor, NHS TDA, NICE, DH, CQC Monitor, NHS	A Clinical Advisory Group to support service reconfiguration established by end 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the system by Sept 2016 Reduce variation in the quality of care by	developed by Monitor NQB member organisations resource additional work individually or collectively. NQB member	Steering Group established: Mar 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the system by Sept 2016 Develop work programme	on-track Amber - Delivery	establish Clinical Sustainability Steering Group. Initial discussion at NQB meeting on 8 July 2015, though yet to agree the approach for taking this work forward. NHS RightCare paper received by NQB in July 2015, with a discussion scheduled for the	and quality gap; introducing new models of care SoS request for a consistent approach Reduce variation in	Success Regime	
on clinical sustainability to inform service changes Operational alignment: waiting times standards The NQB will support Bruce Keogh in reviewing the current waiting times standards for clinical appropriates, providing a single clinical perspective from across the system Quality priorities: unwarranted variation To include:	NTDA NHS England	NICE Monitor, NHS TDA, NICE, DH, CQC Monitor, NHS TDA, NICE, DH,	A Clinical Advisory Group to support service reconfiguration established by end 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the system by Sept 2016 Reduce variation in the quality of care by promating better understanding of in-hospital	developed by Monitor NQB member organisations resource additional work individually or collectively. NQB member organisations	Steering Group established: Mar 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the system by Sept 2016 Develop work pragramme to tackle variation: Mar	on-track Amber - Delivery	establish Clinical Sustainability Steering Group. Initial discussion at NQB meeting on 8 July 2015, though yet to agree the approach for toking this work forward.	and quality gap; introducing new models of care SoS request for a consistent approach Reduce variation in quality and sofety of	Success Regime Prevention; New Care Models; Success	To be decided
on clinical sustainability to inform service changes Operational alignment: waiting times standards The NQB will support Bruce Keagh in reviewing the current waiting times standards for clinical appropriateness, providing a single clinical perspective from across the system Quality priorities: unwarranted variation To include: • Supporting providers to understand and learn	NTDA NHS England PHE and NHS	NICE Monitor, NHS TDA, NICE, DH, CQC Monitor, NHS	A Clinical Advisory Group to support service reconfiguration established by end 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the system by Sept 2016 Reduce variation in the quality of care by promoting better understanding of in-hospital deaths due to problems in care, through better	developed by Monitor NQB member organisations resource additional work individually or collectively. NQB member organisations resource additional	Steering Group established: Mar 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the system by Sept 2016 Develop work programme	on-track Amber - Delivery	establish Clinical Sustainability Steering Group. Initial discussion at NQB meeting on 8 July 2015, though yet to agree the approach for taking this work forward. NHS RightCare paper received by NQB in July 2015, with a discussion scheduled for the	and quality gap; introducing new models of care SoS request for a consistent approach Reduce variation in	Success Regime Prevention: New Care Models; Success Regime and Finance &	To be decided
on clinical sustainability to inform service changes Operational alignment: waiting times standards The NQB will support Bruce Keogh in reviewing the current waiting times standards for clinical appropriateness, providing a single clinical perspective from across the system Quality priorities: unwarranted variation To include: • Supporting providers to understand and learn from in-hospital deaths due to problems in care	NTDA NHS England PHE and NHS	NICE Monitor, NHS TDA, NICE, DH, CQC Monitor, NHS TDA, NICE, DH,	A Clinical Advisory Group to support service reconfiguration established by end 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the system by Sept 2016 Reduce variation in the quality of care by promoting better understanding of in-hospital deaths due to problems in care, through better use of existing information, and building on NHS	developed by Monitor NQB member organisations resource additional work individually or collectively. NQB member organisations resource additional work individually or	Steering Group established: Mar 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the system by Sept 2016 Develop work pragramme to tackle variation: Mar	on-track Amber - Delivery	establish Clinical Sustainability Steering Group. Initial discussion at NQB meeting on 8 July 2015, though yet to agree the approach for taking this work forward. NHS RightCare paper received by NQB in July 2015, with a discussion scheduled for the	and quality gap; introducing new models of care SoS request for a consistent approach Reduce variation in quality and sofety of	Success Regime Prevention; New Care Models; Success	To be decided
on clinical sustainability to inform service changes Operational alignment: waiting times standards The NQB will support Bruce Keogh in reviewing the current waiting times standards for clinical appropriateness, providing a single clinical perspective from across the system Quality priorities: unwarranted variation To include: * Supporting providers to understand and learn from in-hospital deaths due to problems in care + Harnessing the wealth of information held by	NTDA NHS England PHE and NHS	NICE Monitor, NHS TDA, NICE, DH, CQC Monitor, NHS TDA, NICE, DH,	A Clinical Advisory Group to support service reconfiguration established by end 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the system by Sept 2016 Reduce variation in the quality of care by promoting better understanding of in-hospital deaths due to problems in care, through better	developed by Monitor NQB member organisations resource additional work individually or collectively. NQB member organisations resource additional	Steering Group established: Mar 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the system by Sept 2016 Develop work pragramme to tackle variation: Mar	on-track Amber - Delivery	establish Clinical Sustainability Steering Group. Initial discussion at NQB meeting on 8 July 2015, though yet to agree the approach for taking this work forward. NHS RightCare paper received by NQB in July 2015, with a discussion scheduled for the	and quality gap; introducing new models of care SoS request for a consistent approach Reduce variation in quality and sofety of	Success Regime Prevention: New Care Models; Success Regime and Finance &	To be decided
on clinical sustainability to inform service changes Operational alignment: waiting times standards The NQB will support Bruce Keogh in reviewing the current waiting times standards for clinical appropriateness, providing a single clinical perspective from across the system Quality priorities: unwarranted variation To include: • Supporting providers to understand and learn from in-hospital deaths due to problems in care • Harressing the wealth of information held by ALBS, e.g. CQS intelligent monitoring system, and	NTDA NHS England PHE and NHS	NICE Monitor, NHS TDA, NICE, DH, CQC Monitor, NHS TDA, NICE, DH,	A Clinical Advisory Group to support service reconfiguration established by end 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the system by Sept 2016 Reduce variation in the quality of care by promoting better understanding of in-hospital deaths due to problems in care, through better use of existing information, and building on NHS	developed by Monitor NQB member organisations resource additional work individually or collectively. NQB member organisations resource additional work individually or	Steering Group established: Mar 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the system by Sept 2016 Develop work pragramme to tackle variation: Mar	on-track	establish Clinical Sustainability Steering Group. Initial discussion at NQB meeting on 8 July 2015, though yet to agree the approach for taking this work forward. NHS RightCare paper received by NQB in July 2015, with a discussion scheduled for the	and quality gap; introducing new models of care SoS request for a consistent approach Reduce variation in quality and sofety of	Success Regime Prevention: New Care Models; Success Regime and Finance &	To be decided
on clinical sustainability to inform service changes Operational alignment: waiting times standards The NQB will support Bruce Keogh in reviewing the current waiting times standards for clinical appropriateness, providing a single clinical perspective from across the system Quality priorities: unwarranted variation To include: • Supporting providers to understand and learm from in-hospital deaths due to problems in care • Harnessing the wealth of information held by ALBs, eq. CQCs intelligent monitoring system, and ratings of individual services	NTDA NHS England PHE and NHS	NICE Monitor, NHS TDA, NICE, DH, CQC Monitor, NHS TDA, NICE, DH,	A Clinical Advisory Group to support service reconfiguration established by end 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the system by Sept 2016 Reduce variation in the quality of care by promoting better understanding of in-hospital deaths due to problems in care, through better use of existing information, and building on NHS	developed by Monitor NQB member organisations resource additional work individually or collectively. NQB member organisations resource additional work individually or	Steering Group established: Mar 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the system by Sept 2016 Develop work pragramme to tackle variation: Mar	on-track Amber - Delivery delayed Green - Delivery	establish Clinical Sustainability Steering Group. Initial discussion at NQB meeting on 8 July 2015, though yet to agree the approach for taking this work forward. NHS RightCare paper received by NQB in July 2015, with a discussion scheduled for the	and quality gap; introducing new models of care SoS request for a consistent approach Reduce variation in quality and sofety of	Success Regime Prevention: New Care Models; Success Regime and Finance &	To be decided
on clinical sustainability to inform service changes Operational alignment: waiting times standards The NQB will support Bruce Keogh in reviewing the current waiting times standards for clinical appropriateness, providing a single clinical perspective from across the system Quality priorities: unwarranted variation To include: • Supporting providers to understand and learn from in-hospital deaths due to problems in care + Harnessing the wealth of information held by ALBS, eg. CQCs intelligent monitoring system, and ratings of individual services = Building on the work of the MHS RightCare	NTDA NHS England PHE and NHS	NICE Monitor, NHS TDA, NICE, DH, CQC Monitor, NHS TDA, NICE, DH,	A Clinical Advisory Group to support service reconfiguration established by end 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the system by Sept 2016 Reduce variation in the quality of care by promoting better understanding of in-hospital deaths due to problems in care, through better use of existing information, and building on NHS	developed by Monitor NQB member organisations resource additional work individually or collectively. NQB member organisations resource additional work individually or	Steering Group established: Mar 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the system by Sept 2016 Develop work pragramme to tackle variation: Mar	on-track	establish Clinical Sustainability Steering Group. Initial discussion at NQB meeting on 8 July 2015, though yet to agree the approach for taking this work forward. NHS RightCare paper received by NQB in July 2015, with a discussion scheduled for the	and quality gap; introducing new models of care SoS request for a consistent approach Reduce variation in quality and sofety of	Success Regime Prevention: New Care Models; Success Regime and Finance &	To be decided
on clinical sustainability to inform service changes Operational alignment: waiting times standards The NQB will support Bruce Keagh in reviewing the current waiting times standards for clinical appropriateness, providing a single clinical perspective from across the system Quality priorities: unwarranted variation To include: Supporting providers to understand and learn from in-hospital deaths due to problems in care + Harnessing the wealth of information held by ALBs, e.g. CQS: intelligent monitoring system, and ratings of individual services - Building on the work of the NHS RightCare programme, commissioning for value and datas of	NTDA NHS England PHE and NHS	NICE Monitor, NHS TDA, NICE, DH, CQC Monitor, NHS TDA, NICE, DH,	A Clinical Advisory Group to support service reconfiguration established by end 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the system by Sept 2016 Reduce variation in the quality of care by promoting better understanding of in-hospital deaths due to problems in care, through better use of existing information, and building on NHS	developed by Monitor NQB member organisations resource additional work individually or collectively. NQB member organisations resource additional work individually or	Steering Group established: Mar 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the system by Sept 2016 Develop work pragramme to tackle variation: Mar	on-track Amber - Delivery delayed Green - Delivery	establish Clinical Sustainability Steering Group. Initial discussion at NQB meeting on 8 July 2015, though yet to agree the approach for taking this work forward. NHS RightCare paper received by NQB in July 2015, with a discussion scheduled for the	and quality gap; introducing new models of care SoS request for a consistent approach Reduce variation in quality and sofety of	Success Regime Prevention: New Care Models; Success Regime and Finance &	To be decided
on clinical sustainability to inform service changes Operational alignment: waiting times standards The NQB will support Bruce Keogh in reviewing the current waiting times standards for clinical appropriateness, providing a single clinical perspective from across the system Quality priorities: unwarranted variation To include: • Supporting providers to understand and learn from in-hospital deaths due to problems in care + Harnessing the wealth of information held by ALBS, eg. CQCs intelligent monitoring system, and ratings of individual services = Building on the work of the MHS RightCare	NTDA NHS England PHE and NHS	NICE Monitor, NHS TDA, NICE, DH, CQC Monitor, NHS TDA, NICE, DH,	A Clinical Advisory Group to support service reconfiguration established by end 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the system by Sept 2016 Reduce variation in the quality of care by promoting better understanding of in-hospital deaths due to problems in care, through better use of existing information, and building on NHS	developed by Monitor NQB member organisations resource additional work individually or collectively. NQB member organisations resource additional work individually or	Steering Group established: Mar 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the system by Sept 2016 Develop work pragramme to tackle variation: Mar	on-track Amber - Delivery delayed Green - Delivery	establish Clinical Sustainability Steering Group. Initial discussion at NQB meeting on 8 July 2015, though yet to agree the approach for taking this work forward. NHS RightCare paper received by NQB in July 2015, with a discussion scheduled for the	and quality gap; introducing new models of care SoS request for a consistent approach Reduce variation in quality and sofety of	Success Regime Prevention: New Care Models; Success Regime and Finance &	To be decided
on clinical sustainability to inform service changes Operational alignment: waiting times standards The NQB will support Bruce Keagh in reviewing the current waiting times standards for clinical appropriateness, providing a single clinical perspective from across the system Quality priorities: unwarranted variation To include: Supporting providers to understand and learn from in-hospital deaths due to problems in care + Harnessing the wealth of information held by ALBs, e.g. CQS: intelligent monitoring system, and ratings of individual services = Building on the work of the NHS RightCare programme, commissioning for value and datas of	NTDA NHS England PHE and NHS	NICE Monitor, NHS TDA, NICE, DH, CQC Monitor, NHS TDA, NICE, DH,	A Clinical Advisory Group to support service reconfiguration established by end 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the system by Sept 2016 Reduce variation in the quality of care by promoting better understanding of in-hospital deaths due to problems in care, through better use of existing information, and building on NHS	developed by Monitor NQB member organisations resource additional work individually or collectively. NQB member organisations resource additional work individually or	Steering Group established: Mar 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the system by Sept 2016 Develop work pragramme to tackle variation: Mar	on-track Amber - Delivery delayed Green - Delivery	establish Clinical Sustainability Steering Group. Initial discussion at NQB meeting on 8 July 2015, though yet to agree the approach for taking this work forward. NHS RightCare paper received by NQB in July 2015, with a discussion scheduled for the	and quality gap; introducing new models of care SoS request for a consistent approach Reduce variation in quality and sofety of	Success Regime Prevention: New Care Models; Success Regime and Finance &	To be decided
on clinical sustainability to inform service changes Operational alignment: waiting times standards The NOB will support Bruce Keagh in reviewing the current waiting times standards for clinical appropriateness, providing a single clinical perspective from across the system Quality priorities: unwarranted variation To include: Supporting providers to understand and learn from in-hospital deaths due to problems in care + Harnessing the wealth of information held by ALBs, e.g. CQS: Intelligent monitoring system, attables, e.g. CQS: Intelligent monitoring system, and ratings of individual services - Building on the work of the NHS RightCare programme, commissioning for value and datas of	NTDA NHS England PHE and NHS	NICE Monitor, NHS TDA, NICE, DH, CQC Monitor, NHS TDA, NICE, DH,	A Clinical Advisory Group to support service reconfiguration established by end 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the system by Sept 2016 Reduce variation in the quality of care by promoting better understanding of in-hospital deaths due to problems in care, through better use of existing information, and building on NHS	developed by Monitor NQB member organisations resource additional work individually or collectively. NQB member organisations resource additional work individually or	Steering Group established: Mar 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the system by Sept 2016 Develop work pragramme to tackle variation: Mar	on-track Amber - Delivery delayed Green - Delivery	establish Clinical Sustainability Steering Group. Initial discussion at NQB meeting on 8 July 2015, though yet to agree the approach for taking this work forward. NHS RightCare paper received by NQB in July 2015, with a discussion scheduled for the	and quality gap; introducing new models of care SoS request for a consistent approach Reduce variation in quality and sofety of	Success Regime Prevention: New Care Models; Success Regime and Finance &	To be decided
on clinical sustainability to inform service changes Operational alignment: waiting times standards The NDB will support Bruce Keagh in reviewing the current waiting times standards for clinical appropriateness, providing a single clinical perspective from across the system Quality priorities: unwarranted variation To include: Supporting providers to understand and learn from in-hospital deaths due to problems in care + Harnessing the wealth of information held by ALBS, e.g. CQS: intelligent monitoring system, and rotings of individual services • Building on the work of the NHS RightCare programme, commissioning for value and dutas of	NTDA NHS England PHE and NHS	NICE Monitor, NHS TDA, NICE, DH, CQC Monitor, NHS TDA, NICE, DH,	A Clinical Advisory Group to support service reconfiguration established by end 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the system by Sept 2016 Reduce variation in the quality of care by promoting better understanding of in-hospital deaths due to problems in care, through better use of existing information, and building on NHS	developed by Monitor NQB member organisations resource additional work individually or collectively. NQB member organisations resource additional work individually or	Steering Group established: Mar 2016 Provide a single clinical perspective from across the system by Sept 2016 Develop work pragramme to tackle variation: Mar	on-track Amber - Delivery delayed Green - Delivery	establish Clinical Sustainability Steering Group. Initial discussion at NQB meeting on 8 July 2015, though yet to agree the approach for taking this work forward. NHS RightCare paper received by NQB in July 2015, with a discussion scheduled for the	and quality gap; introducing new models of care SoS request for a consistent approach Reduce variation in quality and sofety of	Success Regime Prevention: New Care Models; Success Regime and Finance &	To be decided

Quality priorities: safe staffing Bringing together the work being taken forward in relation to staffing in mental health, urgent and emergency care, for people with learning disabilities, in community care	NTDA, Monitor, NICE and NHS England	HEE	By September 2015 NHS Improvement, with the CNO, will identify leads for each of the programmes (Mental Health, Learning Disability, Urgent and Emergency Care, Primary and Community Services and Maternity) and work with them to scope the plan and delivery. This will involve identifying what evidence reviews and other support is needed, finalise the expert members of each and confirm links with key stakeholders including patients. Establish a multi-stakeholder advisory group who's role is to assure that there has been effective widespread engagement and to quality assure the outputs of the programme, review the impact on patient outcomes, together with the economic, workforce development, and operational impact.	NQB member organisations resource additional work individually or collectively.		Amber - Delivery delayed	Initial discussion re: sofe staffing at NQB meeting on 8 July 2015 with a further discussion scheduled for NQB meeting on 28 October 2015. The Mental Health Taskforce has agreed to lead the work on establishing what is the right balance of staff in the many settings treating those with mental liness. They will guidance that has recently been developed with colleagues from the Mental Health Directors of Nursing Network and commissioned through the Compassion in Practice Strategy. Nominations from academia, providers and from those interested in leading or contributing to the programmes of work requested for the Multi-Stakeholder Advisory Group No milestones identified as yet.	Ensure safe staffing levels in the NHS	Workforce and Leadership; Mental Health Taskforce	To be decided
Quality priorities: provider failure NQB partners will work together to identify credible alternatives to special administration/cancellations for trusts and foundation trusts that have not made sufficient improvement after 18 months in Special Measures	NTDA, Monitor and CQC	NHS England	Established mechanism for intervention for trusts beyond 18 months of special measures in place by Mar 2016 Reduce number of trusts in special measures by 2020	NQB member organisations resource additional work individually or collectively.	Provider failure mechanism in place: Mar 2016	Green - Delivery on-track	Initial discussion at NQB meeting on 8 July 2015. CQC, Monitor and NHS TDA are now considering a possible addendum to the original special measures guidance, which will facus on the escalation of intervention past the six months extension period. Once completed, CQC, Monitor and TDA will update NQB fully on the options for the escalation of intervention at a future meeting	Addressing the care and quality gap	Success Regime	To be decided
Quality priorities: facilitation of, and advice to taskforce implementation Cancer Taskforce Report published in July 2015. Mental Health Taskforce is due to report in Summer 2015, and the Maternity Review by the end of the 2015. The SYV das ose to ut diabetes and learning disabilities as priorities. The NQB will work facilitate, advise and support the implementation of their findings, using the tools and lever available to ALBs, and to quantify the impact of changes in the context of closing the 'quality gap'	NHS England and CQC	Monitor, NHS TDA, PHE, HEE, NICE, DH	Recommendations of mental health, cancer, and maternity taskforces / review implemented by 2020	Funding model proposed by taskforce	All taskforce reports: end 2015 Implementation plans: April 2016	Green - Delivery on-track	Cancer Taskforce Report published on 19 July 2015 recommending 6 strategic priorities. Discussion scheduled for October 2015 meeting of the NQB re: what the ask of commissioners and providers, via the planning guidance, should be in 16/17 re: Cancer. Awaiting joint decision from NHS England and SVFV Strategic Programme Office re: cross-system governance for Taskforce Implementation	Addressing the care and quality gap - narrowing the gap and raising the bar.	Taskforces, Workforce and Leadership, New Models of Care, National Imformation Board	Taskforce-led
Communications and engagement NQB will engage proactively with people who use services, the public & lay representatives, clinical & non-clinical experts, commissioners, providers and partners.	NQB Secretariat	NHS England, CQC, Monitor, NHS TDA, PHF, HEE, NICE, DH	Establish an NQB Stakeholder Forum to work with NQB on how it can best implement the actions necessary to close the care and quality gap. The Forum will comprise national partners and stakeholders, provider & commissioner representatives, and appointed citizensby end of October 2015 Invite citizen members from its NQB Stakeholder Forum to attend NQB meetings Work with the ALBs' existing citizen's panels and through other engagement channels; Consult with wider audiences, including health and social care staff and/or the public on key tapics, as appropriate. Promate the message that quality remains the focus of the health and care system, in the context of yudue, seeking to connect the public and professionals at every level	NQB member arganisations resource additional work individually or collectively.	Stakeholder Forum established: October 2015 1st NQB Stakeholder Forum Event held: Nov 2015. Stakeholder Forum continues to meet 2-3 times per year through to 2020	Green - Delivery on-track		Engagement around the three gaps	People and Communities Board SYFV Central Team Communications Lead to help link into wider SYFV communications and other Boards' work in this area	Stakeholder Forum; NQB members' websites; public engagement and consultation, NQB members existing clitizer's panels; and publication of agendas, papers and minutes on NQB webpages