

# Quality Premium Guidance for 2016/17

#### NHS England INFORMATION READER BOX

#### Directorate

Medical Nursing Finance Commissioning Operations Trans. & Corp. Ops. Patients and Information Commissioning Strategy

Publications Gateway Re	eference: 04798
Document Purpose	Guidance
Document Name	Quality Premium: 2016/17 Guidance for CCGs
Author	NHS England / Commissioning Strategy / Contracts and Incentives
Publication Date	09 March 2016
Target Audience	CCG Clinical Leaders, CCG Accountable Officers, Local Authority CEs, NHS England Regional Directors
Additional Circulation List	CCG Clinical Leaders, CCG Accountable Officers, Local Authority CEs, NHS England Regional Directors
Description	The Quality Premium is intended to reward CCGs for improvements in the quality of services they commission and for associated improvements in health outcomes and in reducing health inequalities.
Cross Reference	N/A
Superseded Docs (if applicable)	Quality Premium: 2015/16 Guidance for CCGs published 27 April 2015 (reissued 21 September 2015)
Action Required	For action by CCGs
<b>Timing / Deadlines</b> (if applicable)	None
Contact Details for further information	england.qualitypremium@nhs.net Contracting and Incentives Quarry House Leeds LS2 7UE
	http://www.england.nhs.uk/resources/resources-for-ccgs/ccg-out- tool/ccg-ois/qual-prem/
Document Statu	S

This is a controlled document. Whilst this document may be printed, the electronic version posted on the intranet is the controlled copy. Any printed copies of this document are not controlled. As a controlled document, this document should not be saved onto local or network drives but should always be accessed from the intranet.

# **Quality Premium**

# 2016/17 guidance for CCGs

Version number: 1

First published: March 2016

Prepared by: NHS England Contracts and Incentives Team

Classification: OFFICIAL

# Contents

Contents	4	
1 Executive summary	5	
2 Background	6	
3 Composition of the QP	7	
<ul> <li>3.1 National and local priorities</li> <li>3.2 Quality gateway</li> <li>3.3 Financial gateway</li> <li>3.4 NHS Constitution gateway</li> <li>4 Calculation and use of QP payments</li> </ul>		8 9
4 Calculation and use of QP payments Appendix 1: Quality premium measures		
Appendix 2- NHS Constitution requirements		
Appendix 3 - Identification of Right Care Metrics	26	

### **1** Executive summary

- The Quality Premium (QP) scheme is about rewarding clinical commissioning groups (CCGs) for improvements in the quality of the services they commission. The scheme also incentivises CCGs to improve patient health outcomes and reduce inequalities in health outcomes and improve access to services.
- 2. The 2016/17 scheme has been designed to support the delivery of the major priorities for the NHS, as set out in the Five Year Forward View and in the NHS Mandate. The CCG Improvement and Assessment Framework is the mechanism by which progress will be monitored; therefore it is appropriate to align the national QP indicators with those in the CCG Improvement and Assessment Framework. By taking this approach, the QP scheme focuses on those things already identified as critical to delivering the vision.
- 3. The local indicators remain a strong tool by which CCGs are able to engage and drive improvements in areas agreed with their local partners. This year, the local element of the scheme is focused on the Right Care Programme, providing an opportunity for CCGs to engage partners in driving improvements that will help maximise the value for patients and the whole population. Early focus on the Right Care approach and opportunities highlighted in the tailored Commissioning For Value (CFV) packs, alongside priorities being addressed through the local Health & Well Being Board, will enable local areas to make the best choices to improve patient outcomes, and get the best value out of the money they are spending.
- 4. As in previous years, it is important that we retain a focus on the fundamentals of everyday commissioning. These include delivery of the NHS Constitution commitments on Referral to Treatment Times, A&E, Ambulance and Cancer waiting times; adhering to quality regulatory standards, and delivering financial balance. Thus, the QP scheme will view CCG performance in the round on the national and local priorities as well as on the fundamentals of commissioning to recognised standards.

## 2 Background

- 5. Under the National Health Service Act 2006 (as amended by the Health and Social Care Act 2012), NHS England has the power to make payments to CCGs to reflect the quality of services that they commission, the associated health outcomes and reductions in inequalities.
- The maximum QP payment for a CCG is expressed as £5 per head of population, calculated using the same methodology as for CCG running costs, and made as a programme allocation. (This is in addition to a CCG's main financial allocation for 2016/17 and in addition to its running costs allowance.)
- 7. Regulations set out that QP payments should be used in ways that improve quality of care or health outcomes and/or reduce health inequalities.
- 8. NHS England has sought to design the QP taking into account the regulations, and promoting the objectives in the Five Year Forward View and the NHS Mandate through:
  - rewarding CCGs for improved outcomes in the services they commission in line with the CCG Improvement and Assessment Framework;
  - supporting local priority-setting by identifying the opportunities via the CFV packs so they can be aligned with the joint health and wellbeing strategies;
  - promoting reductions in health inequalities and recognising the different starting points of CCGs; and
  - reinforcing the importance of patients' rights and pledges under the NHS Constitution.
- 9. Specific communities, or individuals with similar specific needs, may be disproportionately represented within the groups relevant to each QP measure. In developing their improvement plans for each measure, CCGs should consider their knowledge of their local population and whether there are specific communities or patient groups for whom a bespoke focus may be appropriate. CCGs should consider whether specific engagement is required with relevant communities or patient groups in order to inform the approaches to be taken. As part of developing their local improvement plans for each QP measure, CCGs should take into account meeting their legal duties in respect of equality and reducing health inequalities in meeting the needs of their local diverse communities.

# 3 Composition of the QP

#### 3.1 National and local priorities

- 10. The QP paid to CCGs in 2017/18 to reflect the quality of the health services commissioned by them in 2016/17 will be based on measures that cover a combination of national and local priorities.
- 11. There are four national measures and in total are worth 70% of the QP (full details are set out in Appendix 1):
  - Cancer (20% of quality premium);
  - GP Patient Survey (20% of quality premium);
  - E-Referrals (20% of quality premium);
  - Improved antibiotic prescribing in primary care (10% of quality premium).
- 12. This year, the local element of the QP focuses on the Right Care programme and is worth 30% of the QP. CCGs are expected to identify three measures and each will be worth 10%. These measures should be identified from the CFV packs. CCGs will need to work with NHS England regional teams to agree the local proposal, and the levels of improvement needed to trigger the reward. The process should take the following into account:
  - Step 1
    - CCGs should initiate the selection process, following the "Where to Look" phase of Right Care;
    - This will identify areas of unwarranted variation locally, and so define the potential list of improvement programmes to be included;
    - This process should aim to deliver population health gain and garner local clinical support, and might be informed locally by the Health and Well Being Board priorities;
    - Selection of improvement programmes will be supported by the Right Care Delivery Partners for those areas in wave 1, and by NHS England Regional Teams elsewhere;
  - Step 2
    - The list of improvement programmes will need to be refined into the three specific indicators, and agree levels of improvement;
    - In selecting the actual metrics, clearly these must offer the potential for CCGs to drive improvement;
    - To support this selection, we have included an initial assessment of each of the Right Care metrics for data timeliness and variation at appendix 3.
- 13.CCGs will be required to submit their local proposal and levels of improvement (as agreed with the Regional Team) to NHS England as part of

OFFICIAL

the CCG Operational Planning process. This will be submitted as part of CCGs 11<sup>th</sup> April submission of final Operating Plans.

#### 3.2 Quality gateway

- 14. CCGs are responsible for the quality of the care and treatment that they commission on behalf of their population. NHS England reserves the right not to make any quality premium payments to a CCG in cases of serious quality failure, i.e. where it is identified that:
  - a) a local provider has been subject to enforcement action by the Care Quality Commission; or
  - b) a local provider has been flagged as a quality compliance risk and/or have requirements in place around breaches of provider licence conditions; or
  - c) a local provider has been subject to enforcement action based on a quality risk;

and

- it has been identified through NHS England's assessment of the CCG, in respect of the quality and governance elements of the Improvement and Assessment Framework, that the CCG is not considered to be making an appropriate, proportionate response with its partners to resolve the above quality failure; and
- ii) this continues to be the position for the CCG at the 2016/17 end of year assessment.
- 15. As an alternative to withholding the quality premium in the circumstances above, NHS England may, at its discretion, make the quality premium available to the relevant CCG if the CCG agrees to use the quality premium payment to help resolve the serious quality failure.
- 16. It is important that the quality premium and assessment processes are well aligned. Should the assessment process criteria with respect to quality failure change during 2016/17, NHS England may amend the above criteria in order to maintain alignment with it, including if assessment criteria are introduced to identify quality failures within CCGs.

#### 3.3 Financial gateway

- 17. Effective use of public resources should be seen as an integral part of securing high-quality services. A CCG will not receive a quality premium if:
  - a) in the view of NHS England, during 2016/17 the CCG has not operated in a manner that is consistent with the obligations and principles set out in Managing Public Money<sup>1</sup>; or
  - ends the 2016/17 financial year with an adverse variance against the planned surplus, breakeven or deficit financial position<sup>2</sup>, or requires unplanned financial support to avoid being in this position; or
  - c) it receives a qualified audit report in respect of 2016/17.

#### 3.4 NHS Constitution gateway

18. As in previous years, a CCG may have its quality premium award reduced via the NHS Constitution gateway. In 2016/17, some providers will have agreed bespoke trajectories for delivery of RTT, 4hr A&E, 62 day Cancer waits and Red 1 Ambulance response times. On this basis, the CCG gateway test in respect of these measures will be adjusted to reflect these differential requirements. More details are set out in Appendix 2.

NHS Constitution requirement	Reduction to Quality Premium
Maximum 18 weeks from referral to treatment – incomplete standard	25%
Maximum four hour waits in A&E departments- standard	25%
Maximum two month (62-day) wait from urgent GP referral to first definitive treatment for cancer.	25%
Maximum 8 minute response for Category A (Red 1) ambulance calls	25%

Full details are in Appendix 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/managing-public-money

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> CCGs are measured against all delegated budgets.

# 4 Calculation and use of QP payments

- 19. The maximum QP payment for a CCG will be expressed as £5 per head of population, calculated using the same methodology as for CCG running costs. (This is in addition to a CCG's main financial allocation for 2016/17 and in addition to its running costs allowance.)
- 20. For each measure where the identified quality threshold is achieved, the CCG will be eligible for the indicated percentage of the overall funding available to it.
- 21. Where a CCG has failed to meet the requirements of the quality or financial gateways set out in paragraphs 14 17 above, it will not receive a QP payment except where NHS England exercises its discretion with respect to the quality gateway as set out in paragraph 15 above.
- 22. Where a CCG does not deliver the identified patient rights and pledges on waiting times, or bespoke trajectories towards these in the case of CCGs who commission from providers in receipt of the S&TF, a reduction for each relevant NHS Constitution measure will be made to the QP payment.
- 23. It is planned that CCGs will be advised of the level of their QP award in quarter 3 of the 2017/18 financial year. In order to maximise its ability to make the most effective use of the payment within 2017/18, each CCG should consider making plans for use of the payment in advance of this date, so that these plans can be implemented as soon as the level of award is confirmed.
- 24. QP payments can only be used for the purposes set out in regulations<sup>3</sup>. These state that QP payments should be used by CCGs to secure improvement in:
  - a) the quality of health services; or
  - b) the outcomes achieved from the provision of health services; or
  - c) reducing inequalities between patients in terms of their ability to access health services or the outcomes achieved.
- 25. CCGs may utilise the QP payment with other organisations to deliver the improvements above where appropriate wider powers are available for the use of the funding in this manner.
- 26. Each CCG is required<sup>4</sup> to publish an explanation of how it has spent a QP payment.
- 27. A worked example of a quality premium calculation is set out overleaf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The National Health Service (Clinical Commissioning Groups-Payments in Respect of Quality) Regulations 2013 (S.I. 2013/474)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Section 223K(7) of the NHS Act 2006

#### OFFICIAL

#### Worked example of quality premium calculation (for illustration only)

- a CCG has a population of 160,000
- the CCG satisfies the financial and quality gateways for 2016/17
- · the CCG achieves all the national measures with the exception of early cancer diagnosis
- the CCG does not achieve one of its local measures
- the CCG meets three out of the four NHS Constitution measures.

Measure	Percentage of quality premium	Potential value for illustrative CCG	Measure achieved	Eligible quality premium funding
Improving antibiotic prescribing in primary care	10%	£80,000	Y	£80,000
Cancer	20%	£160,000	N	£0
E-Referrals	20%	£160,000	Y	£160,000
GP Patient Survey	20%	£160,000	Y	£160,000
Local measure 1	10%	£80,000	Y	£80,000
Local measure 2	10%	£80,000	Y	£80,000
Local measure 3	10%	£80,000	N	£0
TOTAL	100%	£800,000		£560,000

NHS Constitution rights and pledges	Measure achieved⁵	Adjustment to funding	Quality premium funding
RTT-incomplete	Υ	-	
A&E waits	Y	-	
Maximum two month (62-day) wait from urgent GP referral to first definitive treatment for cancer.	Y	-	
Category A Red 1 ambulance calls	Ν	25%	£140,000
Total adjustment			
NET TOTAL PAYABLE			£420,000

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> In the case where a CCG commissions from providers in receipt of the S&TF, assessment will be based on performance in Q4 2016/17 against bespoke trajectories towards the NHS Constitution rights and pledges.

# Appendix 1: Quality premium measures

Quality premium measure	Cancers diagnosed at early stage
medsure	
Threshold	<ul> <li>This is a two-part Quality Premium.</li> <li>To earn this portion of the quality premium, CCGs will need to either: <ol> <li>Demonstrate a 4 percentage point improvement in the proportion of cancers (specific cancer sites, morphologies and behaviour*) diagnosed at stages 1 and 2 in the 2016 calendar year compared to the 2015 calendar year.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>
	Or
	<ol> <li>Achieve greater than 60% of all cancers (specific cancer sites, morphologies and behaviour*) diagnosed at stages 1 and 2 in the 2016 calendar year.</li> </ol>
Value	20% of quality premium.
Rationale	Cancer survival rates in England have never been higher, but we know that we often lag behind the highest performing countries in the world in international comparisons. We also know that the earlier cancer is diagnosed, the more likely it is to be successfully treated, and survival rates can be dramatically improved. The independent cancer taskforce, in their report <i>Achieving</i> <i>World-Class Cancer Outcomes</i> , published in July 2015, set an ambition for the NHS that 62% of all cancers with known stage at diagnosis would be diagnosed at stages 1 and 2 by 2020. Achieving this target will require every CCG to focus on and make significant improvement in early stage diagnoses. Specific public health interventions, such as screening programmes and public information campaigns can aim to improve rates of early diagnosis. Supporting clinicians to spot cancers earlier and greater GP access to diagnostic and specialist advice were outlined in the Five Year Forward View as key planks of improving our diagnostic strategies. In addition, NICE published new guidance on appropriate referral for suspected cancer in 2015, which lowered the threshold of risk for symptoms suggestive of cancer to trigger an urgent referral for suspected cancer to 3%, with the aim of diagnosing more cancers at an early stage. An indicator on the proportion of cancers diagnosed at an early stage is therefore a useful measure for assessing improvement in early diagnosis and ultimately cancer survival. Improving cancer survival is one of the three key

	anabitiana autima in Achieving Martel Olago Company
	ambitions outline in Achieving World-Class Cancer
	Outcomes.
	Thresholds have been set based on levels of
	improvement previously seen amongst high-performing
	CCGs and felt to be achievable for the majority of CCGs.
Technical definition	New cases of cancer diagnosed at stage 1 and 2 as a
	proportion of all new cases of cancer diagnosed (specific
	cancer sites, morphologies and behaviour*)
	Numerator: Cases of cancer diagnosed at stage 1 or 2,
	for the specific cancer sites, morphologies and behaviour*
	Denominator: All new cases of cancer diagnosed at any
	stage or unknown stage, for the specific cancer sites,
	morphologies and behaviour*
	incipitologico ana sonavioar
	*invasive malignancies of breast, prostate, colorectal,
	lung, bladder, kidney, ovary, uterus, non-Hodgkin
Data courao	lymphomas, and invasive melanomas of skin.
Data source	Cancer Analysis System, National Cancer Registry,
	Public Health England
Published Frequency &	Previously published annually, quarterly data will be
Timeliness	available from May 2016. Data will be a rolling window of
	one year's worth of data. The data will be lagged by 12
	months.

Quality premium measure	Increase in the proportion of GP referrals made by e- referrals
Threshold	To earn this portion of the quality premium, CCGs will need to, either:
	<ul> <li>Meet a level of 80% by March 2017 (March 2017 performance only) <u>and</u> demonstrate a year on year increase in the percentage of referrals made by ereferrals (or achieve 100% e-referrals), or;</li> <li>March 2017 performance to exceed March 2016 performance by 20 percentage points.</li> </ul>
Value	20% of quality premium.
Rationale	Increasing the use of the NHS e-Referrals Service is vital to delivering a paper free NHS.
	Use of the NHS e-Referrals Service benefits patients, NHS staff and NHS organisations:
	<ul> <li>Patients are empowered through having confidence and certainty about their referral, being able to exercise patient choice and experiencing reduced waiting times.</li> <li>Staff are able to better ensure patient safety through reducing inconsistencies and errors in referrals processes.</li> <li>CCGs and Providers are able to deliver more efficient planned care and access management information to drive service improvements.</li> </ul>
	CCGs will be able to influence the uptake of e-Referrals within their GPs practices and in collaboration with providers from which they commission services.

Technical definition	<ul> <li>Proportion of new first outpatient appointment GP referrals into consultant-led services (all two week waits referrals are also included). This excludes referrals into community services and Mental Health which are set up as triage or non-consultant led services.</li> <li>Numerator: number of referrals for a new first outpatient appointment ( or two week wait) booked through the e-referrals system</li> <li>Denominator: total GP referrals for a first outpatient appointment.</li> <li>Denominator figures from the Monthly Activity Return (MAR) are GP referrals made to 1st outpatient, incorporating revisions where these have been processed on Unify2, with any necessary adjustments to remove General Dental Practitioner referrals.</li> </ul>
Data source	Numerator: NHS e-referral system (HSCIC) Denominator: Monthly activity return (MAR) (NHS England)
Published Frequency & Timeliness	Monthly (two month lag due to lag in MAR data)

Quality Premium measure	Overall experience of making a GP appointment
Threshold	<ul> <li>To earn this portion of the quality premium, CCGs will need to demonstrate in the July 2017 publication, either:</li> <li>Achieve a level of 85% of respondents who said they had a good experience of making an appointment, or;</li> <li>A 3 percentage point increase from July 2016 publication on the percentage of respondents who said they had a good experience of making an appointment</li> </ul>
Value	20% of quality premium.
Rationale	The GP Patient Survey (GPPS) seeks the views of 2.4 million people every year about their experience of GP services and results are published at GP practice level. The survey gives patients the opportunity to provide feedback on a number of aspects of their experience of their GP practice, and provides a rich source of quantitative data on patients' experiences of the access and quality of care they receive. Access to GP services, and, in particular, the ease of making an appointment is a key measure of patient experience, and affects the wider healthcare system as patients who find it difficult to access GP services may seek care through emergency services inappropriately. Q18 ("Overall, how would you describe your experience of making an appointment?") of the GP Patient Survey (GPPS) is the "litmus test" indicator in this regard. Attaching a quality premium payment will also ensure that the profile and importance of insight about patient experience is underlined, and it will incentivise the wider system to review and learn from the findings of the GPPS.

Technical definition	Question 18: Overall, how would you describe your experience of making an appointment? • Very good • Fairly good • Neither good nor poor • Fairly poor • Very poor <b>Numerator</b> : the weighted number of people answering 'very good' or 'fairly good' to question 18 of the GP Patient Survey. This is expressed as $\Sigma_k(wt_new_k)$ where $k = 1,, p$ which are all respondents who answer question 18 with either answering 'very good' or 'fairly good'. <b>Denominator</b> : the total weighted number of people who answer question 18 of the GP Patient Survey. This is expressed as $\Sigma_k(wt_new_k)$ where $k = 1,, p$ which are all respondents who answer question 18 with either answering 'very good' or 'fairly good'. <b>Denominator</b> : the total weighted number of people who answer question 18 of the GP Patient Survey. This is expressed as $\Sigma_k(wt_new_k)$ where $k = 1,, p$ which are all respondents who answer question 18 <b>Weighting</b> A weight is applied to construct the indicator. The GP Patient Survey includes a weight for non-response bias ( $wt_new$ ). This adjusts the data to account for potential differences between the demographic profile of all eligible patients in a practice and the patients who actually complete the questionnaire. The non-response weighting scheme has been developed by Ipsos MORI, incorporating elements such as age and gender of the survey respondent as well as factors from the area where the respondent lives such as level of deprivation, ethnicity profile, ACORN classification and so on, which have been shown to impact on non-response bias within the GP Patient Survey. Further information on the current weighting scheme can be found in the survey's technical annex: http://gp-survey- production.s3.amazonaws.com/archive/2015/July/14- DOB208.01. Tochnical%20Appac%202014.2015 pdf
Data source	008280-01_Technical%20Annex%202014-2015.pdf Data for this indicator is from the GP Patient Survey. This survey is commissioned by NHSEngland and is conducted by the independent survey organisation Ipsos
Published Frequency & Timeliness	MORI. Currently published twice annually, this will become annual in 2016/17. Publication will be in July representing data collection from January to March.

Quality premium measure	Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) Improving antibiotic prescribing in primary care
	This Quality Premium measure consists of two parts (each worth 50% of the Quality Premium payment available for this indictor):
	Part a) reduction in the number of antibiotics prescribed in primary care
	Part b) reduction in the proportion of broad spectrum antibiotics prescribed in primary care
Threshold	The two parts of the quality premium have specific thresholds as follows:
	<ul> <li>Part a) reduction in the number of antibiotics prescribed in primary care. The required performance in 2016/17 must either be: <ul> <li>a 4% (or greater) reduction on 2013/14 performance</li> </ul> </li> <li>OR</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>equal to (or below) the England 2013/14 mean performance of 1.161 items per STAR-PU</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Part b) number of co-amoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones as a proportion of the total number of selected antibiotics prescribed in primary care to either:</li> <li>to be equal to or lower than 10%, or</li> <li>to reduce by 20% from each CCG's 2014/15 value</li> </ul>
Value	10% of the Quality Premium
	The value of the Quality Premium measure will be evenly weighted as follows:
	Part a) "reduction in the number of antibiotics prescribed in primary care" will be worth 50% of the quality premium payment available for this indicator.
	Part b) "reduction in the number of co-amoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones as a proportion of the total number of selected antibiotics prescribed in primary care" will be worth 50% of the quality premium payment available for this indicator.

Rationale	Antimicrobial resistant infections impact on patient safety and the quality of patient care. Evidence suggests that antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is driven by over-using antibiotics and prescribing them inappropriately. Reducing the inappropriate use of antibiotics will delay the development of antimicrobial resistance that leads to patient harm from infections that are harder and more costly to treat. Reducing inappropriate antibiotic use will also protect patients from healthcare acquired infections such as Clostridium difficile infections. The Advisory Committee on Antimicrobial Resistance and Healthcare Associated Infection (ARHAI) published antimicrobial prescribing quality measures in October 2014 and these recommend primary care total antibiotic prescribing to be reduced to 2010 levels. https://www.gov.uk/government/groups/advisory- committee-on-antimicrobial-resistance-and-healthcare- <u>associated-infection</u> . Within this scheme, CCGs are not expected to reduce to
	Within this scheme, CCGs are not expected to reduce to levels lower than the England 2013/14 mean value = 1.161 items per STAR-PU, or to lower than 10% for the number of co-amoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones as a proportion of the total number of selected antibiotics.
	Broad spectrum antibiotics, such as co-amoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones should be prescribed in line with prescribing guidelines and local microbiology advice. Reducing inappropriate antibiotic use will protect patients from healthcare acquired infections such as Clostridium difficile infection.

Technical definition – part a)	Part a) reduction in the number of antibiotics prescribed in primary care by 4% or to England 2013/14 mean value = 1.161 items per STAR-PU
	Individual practice reduction to be decided by the CCG.
	<b>Numerator:</b> Number of prescription items for antibacterial drugs (BNF 5.1) within the CCG
	<b>Denominator:</b> Total number of Oral antibacterials (BNF 5.1 sub-set) ITEM based Specific Therapeutic group Age- Sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR-PUs) <u>http://www.hscic.gov.uk/prescribing/measures</u>
	<b>Prescribing Data</b> This information can be obtained from the Information Services Portal (ISP) or the electronic Prescribing Analysis and CosT tool (ePACT) provided by NHS Business Services Authority which cover prescriptions prescribed by GPs, nurses, pharmacists and others in England and dispensed in the community in the UK. <u>http://www.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/PrescriptionServices/3607.aspx</u> <u>http://www.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/3230.aspx</u>
	For data at CCG level, prescriptions written by a prescriber located in a particular CCG but dispensed outside that CCG will be included in the CCG in which the prescriber is based. Prescriptions written in England but dispensed outside England are included. Prescriptions dispensed in hospitals, dental prescribing and private prescriptions are not included in the data.
	The data is to include prescribing by Out of Hours and Urgent Care services where relevant prescribing data is captured within ISP.

Technical definition – part b)	Part b) number of co-amoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones as a percentage of the total number of selected antibiotics prescribed in primary care to be equal to or lower than 10%, or to reduce by 20% from each CCG's 2014/15 value.
	<b>Numerator:</b> Number of prescription items for BNF 5.1.1.3 (sub-section co-amoxiclav), BNF 5.1.2.1 (cephalosporins) and BNF 5.1.12 (quinolones) within the CCG
	<b>Denominator:</b> Number of antibiotic prescription items for BNF 5.1.1; 5.1.2.1; 5.1.3; 5.1.5; 5.1.8; 5.1.11; 5.1.12; 5.1.13 prescribed within the CCG
	Prescribing Data This information can be obtained from the Information Services Portal (ISP) or the electronic Prescribing Analysis and CosT tool (ePACT) provided by NHS Business Services Authority which cover prescriptions prescribed by GPs, nurses, pharmacists and others in England and dispensed in the community in the UK. <u>http://www.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/PrescriptionServices/3607.aspx</u> <u>http://www.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/3230.aspx</u>
	For data at CCG level, prescriptions written by a prescriber located in a particular CCG but dispensed outside that CCG will be included in the CCG in which the prescriber is based. Prescriptions written in England but dispensed outside England are included. Prescriptions dispensed in hospitals, dental prescribing and private prescriptions are not included in the data.
	The data is to include prescribing by Out of Hours and Urgent Care services where relevant prescribing data is captured within ISP.
	Details will be published in March 2016 of baseline (April2013-March2014 and April2014 – March2015) prescribing data values, and the 2016-17 target data value on which the threshold is measured.

# Appendix 2- NHS Constitution requirements

Patient right or pledge	Patients on incomplete non-emergency pathways (yet to start treatment) should have been waiting no more than 18 weeks from referral.
Threshold and calculation method	The threshold will be different for each CCG and will reflect the performance requirements for each provider of activity to the CCG.
	<u>Performance requirement:</u> For providers in receipt of the S&TF the performance requirement will be the S&TF bespoke trajectory towards the waiting time standard in Q4 of 2016/17. For all other providers the performance requirement will be the actual waiting time standard, 92% of patients on incomplete non-emergency pathways (yet to start treatment) should have been waiting no more than 18 weeks from referral.
	<u>CCG performance requirement:</u> CCGs are to submit operating plans that are reflective of the performance requirements of the providers from whom they commission. The CCG plan for Q4 2016/17 will act as the threshold against which performance will be assessed for the Quality Premium.
	The position for Q4 2016/17 will be measured from the incomplete Referral to Treatment (RTT) pathway snapshots (patients waiting to start consultant-led treatment at month end) in the monthly RTT returns from January 2017 to March 2017.
	The performance will be calculated by summing the numerators (patients waiting within 18 weeks) from each month-end over Q4 2016/17 and then dividing by the sum of all the denominators (patients waiting) from each month end over the same period.
Attribution to CCG	Data will be available by CCGs as providers will submit data on the basis of the CCG that is responsible for a given patient.
Technical guidance	See 2016/17 planning guidance technical definitions
Reduction in Quality Premium for non- achievement	25%

Patient right or pledge	Patients should be admitted, transferred or discharged within four hours of their arrival at an A&E department.
Threshold and calculation method	The threshold will be different for each CCG and will reflect the performance requirements for each provider of activity to the CCG.
	Performance requirement: For providers in receipt of the S&TF the performance requirement will be the S&TF bespoke trajectory towards the waiting time standard in Q4 of 2016/17. For all other providers the performance requirement will be the actual waiting time standard, 95% of patients should be admitted, transferred or discharged within four hours of their arrival at an A&E department.
	<u>CCG performance requirement:</u> CCGs are to submit operating plans that are reflective of the performance requirements of the providers from whom they commission. The CCG plan for Q4 2016/17 will act as the threshold against which performance will be assessed for the Quality Premium.
	The position for 2016/17 will be measured from Weekly Situation Reports (sitreps) and will consist of data for all types of A&E.
	The performance will be calculated by summing the numerators (number of 4 hour waits) from each week over Q4 2016/17 and then dividing by the sum of all the denominators (number of attendances) from each week over the same period.
Attribution to CCG	Data will be mapped from providers to CCGs using a mapping derived from Hospital Episode Statistics figures. This calculates what proportion of each provider can be attributed to a given CCG. Any activity that is under 1% of the trust's overall activity will be ignored in this mapping.
	Only organisations submitting on HES will have their activity mapped to CCGs. Therefore, any type 3 units that do not submit on HES will not have their sitrep data allocated to any CCG.
Technical guidance	See 2016/17 planning guidance technical definitions
Reduction in Quality Premium for non-	25%
achievement	

Patient right or pledge	Maximum two month (62-day) wait from urgent GP referral to first definitive treatment for cancer.
Threshold and calculation method	The threshold will be different for each CCG and will reflect the performance requirements for each provider of activity to the CCG.
	Performance requirement: For providers in receipt of the S&TF the performance requirement will be the S&TF bespoke trajectory towards the waiting time standard in Q4 of 2016/17. For all other providers the performance requirement will be the actual waiting time standard, 85% of patients should wait a maximum of two months (62-day) from urgent GP referral to first definitive treatment for cancer.
	<u>CCG performance requirement:</u> CCGs are to submit operating plans that are reflective of the performance requirements of the providers from whom they commission. The CCG plan for Q4 2016/17 will act as the threshold against which performance will be assessed for the Quality Premium.
	As performance data is collected on a quarterly basis then Q4 performance will be used and no further calculation is required.
Attribution to CCG	Data will be available by CCGs as providers will submit data on the basis of the CCG that is responsible for a given patient.
Technical guidance	See 2016/17 planning guidance technical definitions
Reduction in Quality Premium for non- achievement	25%

Patient right or pledge	Red 1 ambulance calls resulting in an emergency response arriving within 8 minutes.
Threshold and calculation method	The threshold will be different for each CCG and will reflect the performance requirements for each provider of activity to the CCG.
	Performance requirement: For providers in receipt of the S&TF the performance requirement will be the S&TF bespoke trajectory towards the waiting time standard in Q4 of 2016/17. For all other providers the performance requirement will be the actual waiting time standard, 75% of Red 1 ambulance calls result in an emergency response arriving within 8 minutes.
	<u>CCG performance requirement:</u> CCGs are to submit operating plans that are reflective of the performance requirements of the providers from whom they commission. The CCG plan for Q4 2016/17 will act as the threshold against which performance will be assessed for the Quality Premium.
	As performance data is collected on a quarterly basis then Q4 performance will be used and no further calculation is required.
Attribution to CCG	Data will be mapped from providers to CCGs based on the proportion of Lower layer Super Output Areas (LSOAs) within a CCG boundary served by each Ambulance Trust.
Technical guidance	See 2016/17 planning guidance technical definitions
Reduction in Quality Premium for non- achievement	25%

# **Appendix 3 - Identification of Right Care Metrics**

To support the identification of local Right Care metrics, we have done an initial review of the suitability of the indicators with respect to attaching a quality premium payment. Our approach is set out below. Where the data timeliness is lagged by 12 months or more, (i.e. identified as Low) we would caution against use, as we would not be measuring improvements delivered via this scheme.

KEY	High Suitability	Medium Suitability	Low Suitability
Data Timeliness	quarterly or monthly frequency, and lag <6 months	Annual data and/or lag<9 months and/or potential to calculate more frequently/sooner	Annual (or worse) data and lag>12 months
Variation	High variation/scope to improve, and no significant small number or random noise issue	Less variation/scope to improve or some small number/random noise issue	Little variation/scope for improving or other major issue

Programme Budgeting category	Ref#	Indicator	Data Timeliness	Variation
Cancer	1	Cancer - Breast cancer screening in last 36 months	Low	
	2	Cancer - Receiving first definitive treatment within two months of urgent referral from GP	High	Medium
	3	Cancer - Successful quitters at 4-weeks	High	High
	4	% of breast cancers detected at an early stage (1 or 2)	Low	
	5	% of people aged 60 - 69 who were screened for bowel cancer in the previous thirty months	Low	
	6	% of colorectal cancers detected at an early stage (1 or 2)	Low	
	7	% of lung cancers detected at an early stage (1 or 2)	Low	
Circulation	8	Circulation - Reported prevalence of CHD on GP registers as % of estimated prevalence	Medium	High
	9	Circulation - Reported prevalence of hypertension on GP registers as % of estimated prevalence	Medium	High
	10	Circulation - Transient ischaemic attack (TIA) cases with a higher risk who are treated within 24 hours	Low	

Programme Budgeting category	Ref#	Indicator	Data Timeliness	Variation
	11	Emergency readmissions to hospital within 28 days for patients: stroke (%)	Low	
	12	% of patients returning to usual place of residence following hospital treatment for stroke	High	High
Endocrine	13	Additional risk of complication for myocardial infarction among people with diabetes (%)	Low	
	14	Additional risk of complication for heart failure among people with diabetes (%)	Low	
	15	Additional risk of complication for stroke among people with diabetes (%)	Low	
Gastro- intestinal	16	Gastro-intestinal - Emergency admissions for alcohol related liver disease	High	High
Genito- Urinary	17	Reported to estimated prevalence of CKD (%)	Medium	Medium
	18	% of people receiving dialysis undertaking dialysis at home	Low	
	19	% of patients on Renal Replacement Therapy who have a kidney transplant	Low	
Maternity	20	Maternity - Live births <2500 grams	Medium	High
	21	Maternity - Teenage conceptions (aged under 18)	Low	
	22	% of pregnant women vaccinated for flu	Medium	High
	23	Number of women known to be smokers at time of delivery per 100 maternities	High	High
	24	% of mothers who give their babies breast milk in the first 48 hours after delivery	High	High
	25	% of infants that are totally or partially breastfed at age 6-8 weeks	High	Low
	26	Rate of emergency admissions for gastroenteritis in infants aged <1 year per 10,000 population aged <1 year	Medium	High
	27	Rate of emergency admissions for respiratory tract infections in infants aged <1 year per 10,000 population aged <1 year	Medium	High

Programme	Ref#	Indicator	Data	Variation
Budgeting			Timeliness	
category	20	Children who received 2 decore of DTaD/IDV/Hib	Madium	Low
	28	Children who received 3 doses of DTaP/IPV/Hib vaccine at any time by their second birthday as a	Medium	Low
		% of children reaching age 2 years within the		
		period		
	29	Rate of hospital admissions caused by	Low	
	23	unintentional and deliberate injuries in children	2011	
		aged 0-4 years per 10,000 population aged <5		
		years		
	30	% of children aged 4-5 years classified as	Medium	High
		overweight or obese		-
	31	Children who received 2 doses of MMR vaccine	Medium	Medium
		at any time between their first and fifth		
		birthdays as a % of children reaching age 5 years		
		within the period		
	32	The mean number of teeth per child aged 5 years	Low	
		sampled which were either actively decayed or		
		had been filled or extracted (due to decay)		
Mental	33	Mental Health - Emergency hospital admissions	Medium	High
Health		for self harm		
	34	Mental Health - Improving access to	Low	High
		psychological therapies - recovered patients		
	35	Mental Health - People with mental illness and	High	High
		or disability in settled accommodation		
	36	Mental Health - Reported numbers of dementia	Medium	High
		on GP registers as a % of estimated prevalence		
	37	Access to IAPT services: People entering IAPT	High	High
		services as a % of those estimated to have	_	_
		anxiety/depression		
	38	Waiting < 28 days for IAPT: % of referrals (in	High	High
		quarter) waiting <28 days for first treatment		
	39	Completion of IAPT treatment: Rate completing	High	High
		treatment per 100,000 population aged 18+		
	40	% of IAPT patients receiving a course of	High	High
		treatment	-	_
	41	% of IAPT patients given a provisional diagnosis	High	High
			Ũ	0
	42	% of IAPT referrals with treatment outcome	High	Low
	.=	measured		
	43	% of people who are "moving to recovery" of	High	High
	43	those who have completed IAPT treatment	111611	111811
			lliah	Madium
	44	IAPT reliable recovery: % of people who have completed IAPT treatment who achieved	High	Medium
		"reliable improvement"		

Programme Budgeting	Ref#	Indicator	Data Timeliness	Variation
category				
	45	Physical health checks for patients with Serious Mental Illness: summary score (average of the 6 physical health check indicators)	Low	
	46	The number of people on Care Programme Approach per 100,000 population aged 18+	High	High
	47	Mental health admissions to hospital: Rate per 100,000 population aged 18+	High	High
-	48	The number of people subject to the Mental Health Act per 100,000 population aged 18+	High	High
-	49	% of people aged 18-69 on Care Program Approach in employment	High	High
MSK	50	Emergency readmissions to hospital within 28 days for patients: hip replacements (%)	Low	
Neurology	51	Neurological - Emergency admission rate for children with epilepsy aged 0–17 years	Medium	High
Respiratory	52	Respiratory - Emergency COPD admissions relative to patients on disease register	Medium	High
-	53	Respiratory - Reported prevalence of COPD on GP registers as % of estimated prevalence	Medium	High
	54	Emergency admission rate for children with asthma per 100,000 population aged 0–18 years	Medium	High
Trauma and injury	55	Injuries due to falls per 100,000 population ages 65+	Medium	High
	56	Hospital admissions caused by unintentional and deliberate injury for those aged 0-24 per 10,000 population	Medium	High
	57	% of patients returning to usual place of residence following hospital treatment for fractured femur	Medium	High
-	58	Emergency readmissions to hospital within 28 days for patients: hip fractures	Low	
	59	% of respondents aged 16 and over, with valid responses to the questions, doing less than the required level of activity to count as physically active.	Low	Medium
Cross- cutting	60	% of people aged 18 and over who are self- reported occasional or regular smokers.	High	High
-	61	Rate of admissions involving an alcohol-related primary diagnosis or an alcohol-related external cause per 100,000 total population	High	High

Programme Budgeting category	Ref#	Indicator	Data Timeliness	Variation
	62	% of the eligible population, aged 40 – 74 years, who have received an NHS Health Check since 1st April 2013	High	High
	63	% of patients aged 17+ with diabetes, as recorded on practice disease registers	Medium	High
	64	% of patients 18+ with depression, as recorded on practice disease registers	Medium	High
	65	% of people aged 18 and over self-reporting experiencing three or more long-term conditions	High	High
	66	% of people aged 18 and over with a long-term condition who report having a written care plan	High	High
	67	% of people aged 18 and over with a long-term condition who report using their written care plan to manage their day to day health.	High	Medium
	68	% of patients diagnosed with dementia whose care has been reviewed in a face-to-face review in the preceding 12 months	Medium	Medium
	69	% of patients aged 65 years and over using any inpatient services where dementia was mentioned in discharge code	Medium	High
	70	Emergency admissions to hospital of people with dementia per 1,000 population aged 65+	Medium	High
	71	% of emergency admissions of people aged 65 and over with dementia (mentioned in discharge notes) where the length of stay was of 1 night or less	Medium	High
	72	% of people aged 18 and over with a long-term condition who report that they had enough support from local services to help manage their condition(s)	Medium	Medium
	73	Health related quality of life people with long term conditions: average score	Medium	Medium
	74	Difference in the employment rate between those with a long-term health condition and all those of working age	High	High
	75	Delayed transfers of care from hospital per 100,000 population aged 18+	High	High
	76	% of older people (aged 65 and over) who received reablement/rehabilitation services after discharge from hospital	Medium	High

Programme Budgeting category	Ref#	Indicator	Data Timeliness	Variation
	77	% of older people (aged 65 and over) who were still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into reablement/rehabilitation services	Medium	Medium
	78	Permanent admissions of older people (aged 65 and over) to residential and nursing care homes per 100,000 population aged 65+	Medium	High
	79	Emergency admissions for chronic ambulatory care sensitive conditions for people of all ages per 100,000 total population	High	High
	80	Emergency admissions to hospital for people aged 75 years and over with length of stay under 24 hours per 100,000 population aged <75	Medium	High