



NHS RightCare Commissioning for Value Focus Pack

Neurological
April 2016

- Introduction: Welcome to your focus pack
- NHS RightCare
- Why act?
- Commissioning for Value
- Your most similar CCGs
- Your data
 - Pathways on a page
 - Spend and activity
 - Opportunities
 - Further analysis
- Next steps and actions
- Further support and information
- Useful links
- Annexes

Introduction: Welcome to your focus pack

3

Welcome to your focus pack on neurology. The information contained in this pack is personalised for your CCG and should be used to help support local discussions and inform a more in-depth analysis around neurological pathways. There is a page of useful links at the end and there is a video guide to the pack too.

Each of these focus packs provides detailed information on the opportunities to improve in the highest spending programmes previously covered by Commissioning for Value packs. They include a wider range of outcome measures and information on the most common procedures and diagnoses for the condition in question.

By using this information, together with local intelligence and reports such as your Joint Strategic Needs Assessment, your CCG will be able to ensure its plans focus on those opportunities which have the potential to provide the biggest improvements in health outcomes, resource allocation and reducing inequalities.

One of the main focuses for the Commissioning for Value series has always been reducing unwarranted variation in outcomes. NHS England, Public Health England and CCGs have legal duties under the Health and Social Care Act 2012 with regard to reducing health inequalities. Commissioners should continue to use these packs and supporting tools to drive local action to reduce inequalities in access to services and in the health outcomes achieved.

The primary objective for NHS RightCare is to maximise value:

- The value that the patient derives from their own care and treatment
- The value the whole population derives from the investment in their healthcare

The approach has been tested and proven successful in recent years in a number of different health economies. The programme focusses on improving population value including improving outcomes, quality, and releasing capacity and resources for future investment.

To build on the success and value of the RightCare programme, NHS England and Public Health England are taking forward the RightCare approach to ensure it becomes embedded in the new commissioning and public health agendas for the NHS. It is now referenced in the Mandate to NHS England, the NHS Planning Guidance and the CCG Improvement and Assessment Framework.

The RightCare programme includes the Commissioning for Value packs and tools, the NHS Atlas series and a number of casebooks. NHS England has committed significant funding to rolling out the RightCare approach to all CCGs over the next two years. Wave 1 has 65 CCGs and these are now receiving early support from one of ten RightCare Delivery Partners. The remainder of CCGs are in Wave 2 and will receive support from an expanded team of Delivery Partners later in 2016.

“What Commissioning for Value does is shine an honest light on what we are doing. The RightCare approach then gives us a methodology for quality improvement, led by clinicians. It not only improves quality but also makes best use of the taxpayers’ pound ensuring the NHS continues to be one of the best value health and care systems in the world.”

Professor Sir Bruce Keogh
National Medical Director, NHS England

“The data and evidence available through tools such as Commissioning for Value will help commissioners make the most important decisions in delivering concrete and sustainable clinical and financial benefits across the NHS. We expect that the roll-out of the RightCare programme will drive up the quality of care while contributing significantly to meeting the efficiency challenge set out in the Five Year Forward View.”

Paul Baumann
Chief Financial Officer, NHS England

“Clear local care pathways for patients with common neurology conditions have often been neglected, resulting in fragmented, inefficient and ineffective care. Data on CCG spending for emergency care for these common conditions shows considerable opportunities to improve care at lower cost. The CFV neurological packs provide this information at a local level in a clearly accessible and informative way, which will help CCGs commission better care pathways.”

David Bateman MD FRCP
Consultant Neurologist, City Hospitals Sunderland NHS Foundation Trust



Commissioning for Value is a partnership between NHS England and Public Health England. The *Where to Look* packs produced in January 2016 support the first phase of the NHS RightCare approach.

The *Where to Look* packs begin with a review of indicative data to highlight the top priorities or opportunities for transformation and improvement for your CCG.

These focus packs help CCGs to begin work on phase two *What to Change* by using indicative data along a pathway to identify improvement opportunities.

Your most similar CCGs

7

Your CCG is compared to the 10 most demographically similar CCGs. This is used to identify realistic opportunities to improve health and healthcare for your population. The analysis in this pack is based on a comparison with your most similar CCGs which are:

- Nottingham North and East
- Mansfield and Ashfield
- Erewash
- St Helens
- Chorley and South Ribble
- South Tyneside
- Stockport
- Hardwick
- Barnsley
- Wigan Borough

To help you understand more about how your most similar 10 CCGs are calculated, the Similar 10 Explorer Tool is available on the NHS England website. This tool allows you to view similarity across all the individual demographics used to calculate your most similar 10 CCGs. You can also customise your similar 10 cluster group by weighting towards a desired demographic factor.

In addition to the similar 10, there are CCG cluster groups which have been constructed using the same variables (eg deprivation) as the similar 10. This larger cluster group is used in the opportunity tables, represented by a green triangle. Your CCG is in the following cluster group:

- Traditional communities with deprived areas and poorer health

This focus pack presents analysis of a wide range of indicators focussing on spend, activity, quality and outcomes. The indicators have been chosen with advice from national clinical leads and other key stakeholders including the National Mental Health Dementia and Neurology Intelligence Network.

The data in this pack are the latest available*. The charts identify the metadata for each indicator and the full metadata set will be available on the Commissioning for Value pages of the NHS England website shortly. Data quality has been assessed and only indicators which are sufficiently robust have been included in the pack.

The data are presented as an exploration, starting with the pathways on a page, then moving to elective and non-elective spend, admissions, prescribing and procedures.

Should you have any queries about the indicators or the data, please refer to the contact details on the 'further information and support' page at the end of this pack.

*As the spend indicators have been updated since the publication of the 2016 refreshed 'Where to look' packs, figures for spend rates and potential opportunities may differ slightly from those packs.

The intention of this pathway is not to provide a definitive view on priorities but to help commissioners explore potential opportunities. It helps commissioners to understand how performance in one part of the pathway may affect outcomes further along the pathway. Each indicator is shown as the percentage difference from the average of your 10 most similar CCGs.


The indicators are colour coded to help you see if your CCG has 'better' (green) or 'worse' (red) values than your peers. This is not always clear-cut, so (blue) is used where it is not possible to make this judgement. For example low prevalence may reflect that a CCG truly does have fewer patients with a certain condition, but it may reflect that other CCGs have better processes in place to identify and record prevalence in primary care. **Blue indicators could show significant opportunities for improvement.**

Even where an indicator is green there may still be an opportunity to improve. The programme opportunity tables, starting on page 35, identify the opportunities that exist for your CCG to improve to a level which matches the average of the best five of your similar 10 CCG group.

Please note: The variation from the average of the similar 10 CCGs is statistically significant for those indicators where the confidence intervals do not cross the 0% axis.

Epilepsy Pathway

10



NICE Guidance:

<http://pathways.nice.org.uk/pathways/epilepsy>

<https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/qs27>

<https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/qs26>

The intention of the following pages is to provide a more in-depth view of the spend and activity for the clinical areas included in this pack compared to your 10 most similar CCGs. The charts show the rate for your CCG (yellow bar) and best five comparator (blue bar) and also the absolute difference (The 'how different are we?' column).

They should be used to explore key lines of enquiry to identify potential opportunities for improvement. For example a CCG with a high rate of spend on emergency admissions for epilepsy patients may want to look at the QOF indicator on those who have been seizure free in the last 12 months.


The opportunity tables, starting on page 33, identify the best CCG in your similar 10, who you may want to contact – either directly or through your Delivery Partner.

Prescribing and procedures groups and single interventions have been chosen to reflect highest spend. National Clinical Directors and other expert stakeholders have advised on the chemical groupings of drugs used to treat certain conditions within a pathway. Similarly they have advised on procedure grouping. Annex A gives details of those groupings.

For some indicators, the difference between the value for your CCG and the Best 5 is marked as Not Statistically Significant (NSS). This means that we cannot say with confidence (statistically defined as >95% confidence) that any difference between your CCG and the Best 5 is not simply due to chance. Values for these cases have been included in order to provide detailed information for use in considering whether to explore an area further.

Neurological - Neurological (Other 7X) - Spend

12



*For 85% of total Neurological and Chronic Pain expenditure the CCG is the responsible purchaser.

The Neurological programme budgeting category (PBC) comprises 'Chronic Pain' (7A) and 'Neurological Other' (7X).

'Neurological Other' (7X), does not include 'Tumours of the Nervous System' (included within the 'Cancer and Tumours' PBC) or 'Traumatic Brain and Spine injuries' (included within the 'Trauma and Injuries' PBC). The detailed breakdown for these areas are shown on Pages 14, 16, 22, 37-39, 52 and 57 but are not included in the aggregate expenditure figures for 'Neurological conditions' figures on Pages 39 and 50.

NHS RightCare CFV Neurological focus pack


95% confidence intervals
NSS Not statistically significant*

*Where an opportunity is 'NSS' CCGs can investigate further whether this reflects a true opportunity e.g. by looking at more than 1 year's data or triangulating with other indicators

NHS North Tyneside CCG

Neurological - Chronic Pain (07A) - Spend

13



95% confidence intervals


NSS Not statistically significant*

*Where an opportunity is 'NSS' CCGs can investigate further whether this reflects a true opportunity e.g. by looking at more than 1 year's data or triangulating with other indicators

Neurological - Spend on Elective Admissions

Condition Group

14




95% confidence intervals
NSS Not statistically significant*
 *Where an opportunity is 'NSS' CCGs can investigate further whether this reflects a true opportunity e.g. by looking at more than 1 year's data or triangulating with other indicators

Neurological - Spend on Elective Admissions

Primary Diagnosis

15



95% confidence intervals


NSS Not statistically significant*

*Where an opportunity is 'NSS' CCGs can investigate further whether this reflects a true opportunity e.g. by looking at more than 1 year's data or triangulating with other indicators

Neurological - Spend on Non-Elective Admissions

Condition Group

16




95% confidence intervals
NSS Not statistically significant*
 *Where an opportunity is 'NSS' CCGs can investigate further whether this reflects a true opportunity e.g. by looking at more than 1 year's data or triangulating with other indicators

Neurological - Spend on Non-Elective Admissions

Primary Diagnosis

17




95% confidence intervals

NSS Not statistically significant*

*Where an opportunity is 'NSS' CCGs can investigate further whether this reflects a true opportunity e.g. by looking at more than 1 year's data or triangulating with other indicators

Chronic Pain - Spend on Elective Admissions

18




95% confidence intervals

NSS Not statistically significant*

*Where an opportunity is 'NSS' CCGs can investigate further whether this reflects a true opportunity e.g. by looking at more than 1 year's data or triangulating with other indicators

Chronic Pain - Spend on Non-Elective Admissions


19



| 95% confidence intervals
NSS Not statistically significant*
 *Where an opportunity is 'NSS' CCGs can investigate further whether this reflects a true opportunity e.g. by looking at more than 1 year's data or triangulating with other indicators

Neurological - Admissions - Headaches and Migraine

20



114 Bed days (NSS)


95% confidence intervals

NSS Not statistically significant*

*Where an opportunity is 'NSS' CCGs can investigate further whether this reflects a true opportunity e.g. by looking at more than 1 year's data or triangulating with other indicators

Neurological - Admissions - Epilepsy

21




95% confidence intervals

NSS Not statistically significant*

*Where an opportunity is 'NSS' CCGs can investigate further whether this reflects a true opportunity e.g. by looking at more than 1 year's data or triangulating with other indicators

Neurological - Admissions - Tumours of the Nervous System

22




95% confidence intervals

NSS Not statistically significant*

*Where an opportunity is 'NSS' CCGs can investigate further whether this reflects a true opportunity e.g. by looking at more than 1 year's data or triangulating with other indicators

Neurological - Admissions - Multiple Sclerosis and Inflammatory Disorders

23




95% confidence intervals

NSS Not statistically significant*

*Where an opportunity is 'NSS' CCGs can investigate further whether this reflects a true opportunity e.g. by looking at more than 1 year's data or triangulating with other indicators

Neurological - Admissions - Parkinsonism and other Extrapyrarmidal disorders

24




95% confidence intervals

NSS Not statistically significant*

*Where an opportunity is 'NSS' CCGs can investigate further whether this reflects a true opportunity e.g. by looking at more than 1 year's data or triangulating with other indicators

Neurological - Admissions - Neuromuscular diseases

25



95% confidence intervals


NSS Not statistically significant*

*Where an opportunity is 'NSS' CCGs can investigate further whether this reflects a true opportunity e.g. by looking at more than 1 year's data or triangulating with other indicators

Neurological - Primary Care Prescribing Spend

Condition drug groups

26



Medicines Optimisation Dashboard: <https://www.england.nhs.uk/ourwork/pe/mo-dash/>


Innovation Scorecard: <https://www.england.nhs.uk/ourwork/innovation/innovation-scorecard/>

95% confidence intervals
NSS Not statistically significant*
 *Where an opportunity is 'NSS' CCGs can investigate further whether this reflects a true opportunity e.g. by looking at more than 1 year's data or triangulating with other indicators

Neurological - Primary Care Prescribing Spend

Individual drugs

27




Sumatriptan Succinate and **Rizatriptan** are the two highest spend drugs within 'Migraine drugs' group.

Selegiline is considered to be better value for money than **Rasagiline** (both Anti-Parkinsons drugs) with similar outcomes.

95% confidence intervals
NSS Not statistically significant*
 *Where an opportunity is 'NSS' CCGs can investigate further whether this reflects a true opportunity e.g. by looking at more than 1 year's data or triangulating with other indicators

Chronic Pain - Primary Care Prescribing Spend

28




Medicines Optimisation Dashboard: <https://www.england.nhs.uk/ourwork/pe/mo-dash/>

Innovation Scorecard: <https://www.england.nhs.uk/ourwork/innovation/innovation-scorecard/>

95% confidence intervals

NSS Not statistically significant*


*Where an opportunity is 'NSS' CCGs can investigate further whether this reflects a true opportunity e.g. by looking at more than 1 year's data or triangulating with other indicators



95% confidence intervals
NSS Not statistically significant*
 *Where an opportunity is 'NSS' CCGs can investigate further whether this reflects a true opportunity e.g. by looking at more than 1 year's data or triangulating with other indicators

Neurological - Procedures continued

30




95% confidence intervals

NSS Not statistically significant*

*Where an opportunity is 'NSS' CCGs can investigate further whether this reflects a true opportunity e.g. by looking at more than 1 year's data or triangulating with other indicators

Chronic Pain - Procedures

31




95% confidence intervals
NSS Not statistically significant*
 *Where an opportunity is 'NSS' CCGs can investigate further whether this reflects a true opportunity e.g. by looking at more than 1 year's data or triangulating with other indicators

Scatter Plot Analysis

32

The Commissioning for Value Explorer Tool allows the comparison of two indicators, the diagram below is an example. This is an invaluable tool to enable users to assess how one indicator relates to another. The similar 10 can be highlighted too. It is important to remember that correlations do not imply causation but the relationships can help target where to look. The explorer tool is available here:

<http://www.england.nhs.uk/resources/resources-for-ccgs/comm-for-value/>



The opportunity tables present all focus pack indicators for five aspects of the pathway.

• **Risk** • **Prevalence and detection** • **Service and quality** • **Spend** • **Outcomes**


The width of the spine chart shows the England range. Your CCG is benchmarked against its similar 10 group. The shaded area of the spine chart within the table shows the range for the similar 10 group. Where the CCG is highest or lowest compared with its similar 10 group it is shown as outside that group range. This has been done to clearly show where the CCG is in relation to the similar 10 and the England worst/highest and best/lowest values.

Opportunities have been calculated for all indicators apart from those that relate to recorded prevalence and some risk factors. Where an indicator can be clearly interpreted as worse or better the spine charts show the position of the CCG, the best five average, and the wider cluster best CCG. The opportunity is quantified where the CCG is worse in relation to the Best 5 average.

Where an indicator needs to be locally interpreted (for example elective spend) and the CCG is higher than the average of the 5 CCGs with the lowest values, the opportunity table shows the potential opportunity. By calculating the potential opportunity it is possible to answer the question “Is it worth investigating this further?” The Best 5 average and the cluster best are not shown on the spine chart for these indicators.

Opportunity table: Interpretation


34



* per 1,000 age/sex weighted population
** per 100,000 age/sex weighted population
*** per 1,000 ASTRO-PU weighted population

Indicator

- Overall index of multiple deprivation
- Income Deprivation Affecting Older People Index
- GP registered population aged 75+ years (%)
- Injuries due to falls people 65+ (**)
- Population with LLTI or disability (%)
- Prevalence of depression (%)



* No opportunity is calculated for risk and reported prevalence indicators

Please refer to slide 34 for full guidance on interpretation of this table of opportunities

* per 1,000 age/sex weighted population
** per 100,000 age/sex weighted population
*** per 1,000 ASTRO-PU weighted population

| Indicator | CCG Value | CCG England Worst or Highest | Best 5 | Best in Cluster England Best or Lowest | Best/Lowest 5 Opportunity | Similar 10 Best | Page |
|----------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|--------|--|------------------------------|-----------------|------|
| Prevalence of Epilepsy, 18+ (**) | 1% | | | | NA* | | |


* No opportunity is calculated for risk and reported prevalence indicators

Please refer to slide 34 for full guidance on interpretation of this table of opportunities

Neurological Conditions - Opportunity table - Activity and quality

NHS North Tyneside CCG 37

* per 1,000 age/sex weighted population
 ** per 100,000 age/sex weighted population
 *** per 1,000 ASTRO-PU weighted population



Please refer to slide 34 for full guidance on interpretation of this table of opportunities


* per 1,000 age/sex weighted population
** per 100,000 age/sex weighted population
*** per 1,000 ASTRO-PU weighted population

Indicator

CCG Value

Traumatic brain/spine injury - Avg. length of stay-emergency (bed days)
New outpatient neurology appointments with consultant for those aged 20+ DSR (**)
Outpatient neurology apts provided in home CCG (%)

No Data
896.4
0.0



Similar 10 Best

Page

Barnsley p.48
Mansfield and Ashfield p.49

Please refer to slide 34 for full guidance on interpretation of this table of opportunities

Neurological Conditions - Opportunity table - Spend

NHS North Tyneside CCG 39

* per 1,000 age/sex weighted population
 ** per 100,000 age/sex weighted population
 *** per 1,000 ASTRO-PU weighted population

| Indicator | CCG Value | England Worst or Highest | CCG | Best 5 | Best in Cluster | England Best or Lowest | Best/Lowest 5 Opportunity | Similar 10 Best | Page |
|--|-----------|--------------------------|-----|--------|-----------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------|
| Neurological conditions - Total (*) | 17273 | | | | | | £65k (NSS) | | |
| Neurological conditions - elective (*) | 5342 | | | | | | £263k | | |
| Neurological conditions - non-elective (*) | 11961 | | | | | | | Chorley and South Ribble | p.50 |
| Epilepsy - Elective (*) | 300 | | | | | | £29k | | |
| Epilepsy - Non-elective (*) | 1520 | | | | | | £65k | Nottingham North and East | p.51 |
| Tumours of the Nervous System - Elective (*) | 718 | | | | | | | | |
| Tumours of Nervous System - Non-elective (*) | 894 | | | | | | £9k (NSS) | Mansfield and Ashfield | p.52 |
| MND and Spinal Muscular Atrophy - Elective (*) | 25 | | | | | | | | |
| MND and Spinal Muscular Atrophy - Non-elective (*) | 165 | | | | | | £26k | Stockport | p.53 |
| Multiple Sclerosis and Inflammatory Disorders - Elective (*) | 1444 | | | | | | £230k | | |
| Multiple Sclerosis and Inflammatory Disorders - Non-elective (*) | 272 | | | | | | £21k (NSS) | South Tyneside | p.54 |
| Parkinsonism and other Extrapyrimal Disorders - Elective (*) | 208 | | | | | | £12k (NSS) | | |
| Parkinsons and other Extrapyrimal Disorders - Non-elective (*) | 608 | | | | | | £62k | Erewash | p.55 |
| Neuromuscular Diseases - Elective (*) | 37 | | | | | | | | |
| Neuromuscular Diseases - Non-elective (*) | 115 | | | | | | £10k (NSS) | South Tyneside | p.56 |
| Functional Disorders - Elective (*) | 3 | | | | | | | | |
| Traumatic Brain and Spine injuries - Elective (*) | 113 | | | | | | | | |
| Traumatic Brain and Spine injuries - Non-elective (*) | 197 | | | | | | £26k (NSS) | South Tyneside | p.57 |
| Migraines and Headaches - Elective (*) | 61 | | | | | | | | |

Please refer to slide 34 for full guidance on interpretation of this table of opportunities

Neurological Conditions - Opportunity table - Spend

NHS North Tyneside CCG 40

* per 1,000 age/sex weighted population
 ** per 100,000 age/sex weighted population
 *** per 1,000 ASTRO-PU weighted population

| Indicator | CCG Value | England Worst or Highest | CCG | Best 5 | England Best or Lowest | Best in Cluster | Best/Lowest 5 Opportunity | Similar 10 Best | Page |
|---|-----------|--------------------------|-----|--------|------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------|
| Migraines and Headaches - Non-elective (*) | 790 | | | | | | | Nottingham North and East | p.58 |
| Syncope and collapse - Elective (*) | 382 | | | | | | £49k | | |
| Tendency to fall - Non-elective (*) | 2534 | | | | | | £20k (NSS) | Barnsley | p.59 |
| Syncope and collapse - Non-elective (*) | 785 | | | | | | | North Tyneside | p.60 |
| Carpal Tunnel Syndrome - Elective (*) | 1345 | | | | | | £129k | | |
| Disorientation (unspecified) - Non-elective (*) | 1058 | | | | | | | Mansfield and Ashfield | p.61 |
| Chronic pain - Total (*) | 13495 | | | | | | £215k | | |
| Chronic pain - Elective (*) | 5475 | | | | | | £368k | | |
| Chronic pain - Non-elective (*) | 7992 | | | | | | £47k (NSS) | South Tyneside | p.62 |
| Chronic pain - chest - Elective (*) | 230 | | | | | | | | |
| Chronic pain - chest - Non-elective (*) | 2033 | | | | | | £2k (NSS) | Nottingham North and East | p.63 |
| Chronic pain - abdomen - Elective (*) | 589 | | | | | | £19k | | |
| Chronic pain - abdomen - Non-elective (*) | 2516 | | | | | | | South Tyneside | p.64 |
| Chronic pain - back - Elective (*) | 943 | | | | | | £39k | | |
| Chronic pain - back - Non-elective (*) | 657 | | | | | | £10k (NSS) | Chorley and South Ribble | p.65 |
| Chronic pain - joint - Elective (*) | 1370 | | | | | | £211k | | |
| Chronic pain - joint - Non-elective (*) | 1005 | | | | | | £135k | Chorley and South Ribble | p.66 |
| Chronic pain - limb - Elective (*) | 320 | | | | | | £42k | | |
| Chronic pain - limb - Non-elective (*) | 510 | | | | | | £79k | South Tyneside | p.67 |

Please refer to slide 34 for full guidance on interpretation of this table of opportunities

Neurological Conditions - Opportunity table - Spend

NHS North Tyneside CCG 41

* per 1,000 age/sex weighted population
 ** per 100,000 age/sex weighted population
 *** per 1,000 ASTRO-PU weighted population


| Indicator | CCG Value | England Worst or Highest | England Best or Lowest | Best/Lowest 5 Opportunity | Similar 10 Best | Page |
|---|-----------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------|
| Chronic pain - nervous system - Elective (*) | 1635 | | | £220k | | |
| Chronic pain - nervous system - Non-elective (*) | 392 | | | £21k | Mansfield and Ashfield | p.68 |
| Chronic pain - neck - Elective (*) | 194 | | | £25k | | |
| Chronic pain - neck - Non-elective (*) | 121 | | | £10k | Stockport | p.69 |
| Chronic pain - Not elsewhere classified - Elective (*) | 134 | | | | | |
| Chronic pain - Not elsewhere classified - Non-elective (*) | 122 | | | | Mansfield and Ashfield | p.70 |
| Prescribing Spend - Anti-epileptic drugs (***) | 2952 | | | £36k | | |
| Prescribing Spend - Anti-Parkinsons disease drugs (***) | 1613 | | | £2k | | |
| Prescribing Spend - Drugs for narcolepsy (***) | 1433 | | | £221k | | |
| Prescribing Spend - Migraine drugs (***) | 1941 | | | £22k | | |
| Prescribing Spend - Rizatriptan (***) | 129 | | | £11k | | |
| Prescribing Spend - Sumatriptan Succinate (***) | 240 | | | | | |
| Prescribing Spend - Selegiline Hydrochloride (***) | 8 | | | | | |
| Prescribing Spend - Rasagiline Mesilate (***) | 310 | | | £33k | | |
| Prescribing spend - Phenytoin Sodium (per 1,000 cases) | 70666 | | | £19k | | |
| Prescribing spend - Sodium Valproate (per 1,000 cases) | 42807 | | | £7k | | |
| Prescribing spend - Leviteracetam (per 1,000 cases) | 24904 | | | | | |
| Prescribing spend - Carbamazepine (per 1,000 cases) | 43544 | | | £21k | | |
| Prescribing spend - Other drugs exc. Pregabalin (per 1,000 cases) | 127203 | | | £30k | | |
| Prescribing Spend - Buprenorphine (***) | 228 | | | | | |

Please refer to slide 34 for full guidance on interpretation of this table of opportunities

Neurological Conditions - Opportunity table - Spend

NHS North Tyneside CCG 42

* per 1,000 age/sex weighted population
 ** per 100,000 age/sex weighted population
 *** per 1,000 ASTRO-PU weighted population



Please refer to slide 34 for full guidance on interpretation of this table of opportunities

Neurological Conditions - Opportunity table - Spend

NHS North Tyneside CCG 43

* per 1,000 age/sex weighted population
 ** per 100,000 age/sex weighted population
 *** per 1,000 ASTRO-PU weighted population


| Indicator | CCG Value | ● CCG ♦ Best 5 ▲ Best in Cluster England Worst or Highest England Best or Lowest | Best/Lowest 5 Opportunity | Similar 10 Best | Page |
|---|-----------|--|---------------------------|-----------------|------|
| Chronic Pain Procedure - MRI - Not elsewhere classified (*) | 59 | | | | |
| Chronic Pain Procedure - Injection - spinal facet (*) | 413 | | £33k | | |
| Chronic Pain Procedure - Injection therapy substance-joint (*) | 383 | | £37k | | |
| CP Procedure - Injection therapy substance-spinal nerve (*) | 310 | | £52k | | |
| Chronic Pain Procedure - Diagnostic spinal puncture (*) | 159 | | | | |
| Chronic Pain Procedure - Coronary arteriography 2 catheters (*) | 399 | | £60k | | |
| Chronic Pain Procedure - Angiocardiology - left heart (*) | No Data | | No Data | | |
| Chronic Pain Procedure - Immunoglobulins Band 1 (*) | 864 | | £173k | | |

Please refer to slide 34 for full guidance on interpretation of this table of opportunities

* per 1,000 age/sex weighted population
** per 100,000 age/sex weighted population
*** per 1,000 ASTRO-PU weighted population

Indicator

Epilepsy seizure free 12-month period (%)
Epilepsy - Emergency admissions by children (**)
Epilepsy mortality rate (**)



Please refer to slide 34 for full guidance on interpretation of this table of opportunities

The following pages, starting on page 46, provide a further analysis of a range of indicators in the focus pack. The indicators selected are those where we have been able to assign a judgment on whether a lower or higher value is *better* e.g. lower value better for mortality, higher value better for case finding.

Top Chart:

The opportunity box from the spine chart is shown in the top right of the blue banner. The top chart shows the whole England distribution together with the highlighted similar 10 group (grey bars) and your CCG (yellow bar). The England average is shown by the dashed blue line. The England value and Best 5 average values are shown below this chart.

Bottom Chart:

Shows your CCG and the similar 10 group together with their indicator values. The best 5 CCG average is shown by a dark blue line.


The full indicator name, source and time period are shown at the bottom left.

The analysis presented in the following pages can be replicated for *all* indicators in the focus pack using the Commissioning for Value Focus Pack Tool. The tool is available on the Commissioning for Value website. The link is available on page 77.

Use of emergency bed-days following admissions for epilepsy (per 100,000 pop.)

49 Bed days

46




Definition: Use of emergency bed-days following admissions for epilepsy
 Source: Epilepsy Profiles, Fingertips, Public Health England
 Year: 2012/13

Epilepsy - Proportion admissions managed by neurologist (%)

4 Adms. (NSS)

47




England

11.3

Best 5

11.6





Definition: Proportion of admissions for epilepsy managed by a consultant neurologist
 Source: Epilepsy Profiles, Fingertips, Public Health England
 Year: 2012/13

New outpatient neurology appointments with a consultant for those aged 20+, DSR per 100,000 population

257 Pats.

48





Definition: New outpatient neurology appointments for those aged 20+ DSR per 100,000 population (consultant)
 Source: Neurology Profiles, Fingertips, Public Health England
 Year: 2013/14

Outpatient neurology apts provided in home CCG (%)

3544 Pats.

49





Definition: All outpatient neurology appointments seen in home CCG (combined)

Source: Neurology Profiles, Fingertips, Public Health England

Year: 2013/14

Neurological conditions - Non-elective spend (£ per 1,000 pop.)

50





Definition: Neurology - Total non-elective spend
 Source: Temporary National Repository – Hospital Admissions Databases, SUS SEM (Secondary User Services Extract Mart)
 Year: 2014/15

Epilepsy - Non-elective spend (£ per 1,000 pop.)

£65k

51





Definition: Non-Elective spend Epilepsy
 Source: Temporary National Repository – Hospital Admissions Databases, SUS SEM (Secondary User Services Extract Mart)
 Year: 2014/15

Tumours of Nervous System - Non-elective spend (£ per 1,000 pop.)

£9k (NSS)

52




Definition: Non-Elective spend Tumours of the Nervous System
 Source: Temporary National Repository – Hospital Admissions Databases, SUS SEM (Secondary User Services Extract Mart)
 Year: 2014/15

MND and Spinal Muscular Atrophy - Non-elective spend (£ per 1,000 pop.)

£26k

53




England

90

Best 5

56





Definition: Non-Elective spend Motor Neurone Disease and Spinal Muscular Atrophy
 Source: Temporary National Repository – Hospital Admissions Databases, SUS SEM (Secondary User Services Extract Mart)
 Year: 2014/15

Multiple Sclerosis and Inflammatory Disorders - Non-elective spend (£ per 1,000 pop.)

£21k (NSS)

54





Definition: Non-Elective spend Multiple Sclerosis and Inflammatory Disorders
 Source: Temporary National Repository – Hospital Admissions Databases, SUS SEM (Secondary User Services Extract Mart)
 Year: 2014/15

Parkinsons and other Extrapyrimal Disorders - Non-elective spend (£ per 1,000 pop.)

£62k

55




Definition: Non-Elective spend Parkinsonism and other Extrapyrimal Disorders
 Source: Temporary National Repository – Hospital Admissions Databases, SUS SEM (Secondary User Services Extract Mart)
 Year: 2014/15

Neuromuscular Diseases - Non-elective spend (£ per 1,000 pop.)

£10k (NSS)

56




Definition: Non-Elective spend Neuromuscular Diseases
 Source: Temporary National Repository – Hospital Admissions Databases, SUS SEM (Secondary User Services Extract Mart)
 Year: 2014/15

Traumatic Brain and Spine injuries - Non-elective spend (£ per 1,000 pop.)

£26k (NSS)

57




England

212

Best 5


84



Definition: Non-Elective spend Traumatic Brain and Spine injuries
 Source: Temporary National Repository – Hospital Admissions Databases, SUS SEM (Secondary User Services Extract Mart)
 Year: 2014/15

Migraines and Headaches - Non-elective spend (£ per 1,000 pop.)

58




Definition: Non-Elective spend Migraines and Headaches
 Source: Temporary National Repository – Hospital Admissions Databases, SUS SEM (Secondary User Services Extract Mart)
 Year: 2014/15

Tendency to fall - Non-elective spend (£ per 1,000 pop.)

£20k (NSS)



59



Definition: Non-Elective spend R296: Tendency to fall, not elsewhere classified
 Source: Temporary National Repository – Hospital Admissions Databases, SUS SEM (Secondary User Services Extract Mart)
 Year: 2014/15

Syncope and collapse - Non-elective spend (£ per 1,000 pop.)



60



Definition: Non-Elective spend R55X: Syncope and collapse
 Source: Temporary National Repository – Hospital Admissions Databases, SUS SEM (Secondary User Services Extract Mart)
 Year: 2014/15

Disorientation (unspecified) - Non-elective spend (£ per 1,000 pop.)

61





Definition: Non-Elective spend R410: Disorientation, unspecified
 Source: Temporary National Repository – Hospital Admissions Databases, SUS SEM (Secondary User Services Extract Mart)
 Year: 2014/15

Chronic pain - Non-elective spend (£ per 1,000 pop.)

£47k (NSS)

62





Definition: Chronic Pain - Total non-elective spend
 Source: Temporary National Repository – Hospital Admissions Databases, SUS SEM (Secondary User Services Extract Mart)
 Year: 2014/15

Chronic pain - chest - Non-elective spend (£ per 1,000 pop.)

£2k (NSS)



63



Definition: Non-Elective spend Chronic pain - chest
 Source: Temporary National Repository – Hospital Admissions Databases, SUS SEM (Secondary User Services Extract Mart)
 Year: 2014/15

Chronic pain - abdomen - Non-elective spend (£ per 1,000 pop.)

64





Definition: Non-Elective spend Chronic pain - abdomen
 Source: Temporary National Repository – Hospital Admissions Databases, SUS SEM (Secondary User Services Extract Mart)
 Year: 2014/15

Chronic pain - back - Non-elective spend (£ per 1,000 pop.)

£10k (NSS)

65





Definition: Non-Elective spend Chronic pain - back
 Source: Temporary National Repository – Hospital Admissions Databases, SUS SEM (Secondary User Services Extract Mart)
 Year: 2014/15

Chronic pain - joint - Non-elective spend (£ per 1,000 pop.)

£135k

66




Definition: Non-Elective spend Chronic pain - joint
 Source: Temporary National Repository – Hospital Admissions Databases, SUS SEM (Secondary User Services Extract Mart)
 Year: 2014/15

Chronic pain - limb - Non-elective spend (£ per 1,000 pop.)

£79k

67




England

261

Best 5

167




Definition: Non-Elective spend Chronic pain - limb
 Source: Temporary National Repository – Hospital Admissions Databases, SUS SEM (Secondary User Services Extract Mart)
 Year: 2014/15

Chronic pain - nervous system - Non-elective spend (£ per 1,000 pop.)

£21k

68




England

360

Best 5

299



Definition: Non-Elective spend Chronic pain - nervous system


Source: Temporary National Repository – Hospital Admissions Databases, SUS SEM (Secondary User Services Extract Mart)

Year: 2014/15

Chronic pain - neck - Non-elective spend (£ per 1,000 pop.)

£10k

69




England

93

Best 5


77



Definition: Non-Elective spend Chronic pain - neck
 Source: Temporary National Repository – Hospital Admissions Databases, SUS SEM (Secondary User Services Extract Mart)
 Year: 2014/15

Chronic pain - Not elsewhere classified - Non-elective spend (£ per 1,000 pop.)

70




Definition: Non-Elective spend Chronic pain - NEC
 Source: Temporary National Repository – Hospital Admissions Databases, SUS SEM (Secondary User Services Extract Mart)
 Year: 2014/15

Epilepsy seizure free 12-month period (%)

100 Pats.

71




England

61.2

Best 5

63.3



Definition: EP002: The percentage of patients aged 18 or over on drug treatment for epilepsy who have been seizure free for the last 12 months recorded in the preceding 12 months



Source: Quality and Outcomes Framework, Health and Social Care Information Centre

Year: 2013/14

Epilepsy - Emergency admissions by children (per 100,000 pop.)

25 Adms.

72



Definition:

Epilepsy - Number of emergency admissions by children per 1,000 population

Source:

Temporary National Repository – Hospital Admissions Databases, SUS SEM (Secondary User Services Extract Mart)


Year:

2014/15

Epilepsy mortality rate (per 100,000 pop.)

No Data

73




England

1.3

Best 5

1.5



Definition: Epilepsy mortality rate
Source: Epilepsy Profiles, Fingertips, Public Health England
Year: 2009 - 13

Commissioners can take the following actions now:

- Identify the key opportunities for improvement within the pathways included in the neurology focus pack for your population and compare with current reform activity and improvement plans
- Engage with clinicians and other local stakeholders, including public health teams in local authorities and commissioning support organisations and explore the opportunities along the pathways further using local data
- Revisit the Commissioning for Value web pages regularly as new content, including updates to tools to support the use of the Commissioning for Value packs, is regularly added
- Watch the focus pack videos and explore other resources including those provided by the National Mental Health Dementia and Neurology Intelligence Network
- Discuss the opportunities highlighted in this pack as part of the STP planning process and consider STP wide action where appropriate
- For Wave One CCGs, speak to your Delivery Partner about other practical steps for your locality
- For Wave Two CCGs, start to identify and act to improve the opportunities highlighted

The Commissioning for Value benchmarking tool, explorer tool, full details of all the data used, and links to other useful tools are available on the Commissioning for Value pages of the NHS England website.

The NHS RightCare website offers resources to support CCGs in adopting the Commissioning for Value approach. These include:

- Online videos and 'how to' guides
- Case studies with learning from other CCGs

If you have any questions or require any further information or support you can email the Commissioning for Value support team direct at: england.healthinvestmentnetwork@nhs.net

Further surgical resources available for review

76

There are further resources on key surgical pathways and data freely available at The Royal College of Surgeons The National Surgical Commissioning Centre.

All the resources listed below are freely available at the website, available on page 77.

- 1. Commissioning guides:** have been developed through a NICE accredited process and outline the 'high value' care pathway for a particular surgical complaint. Further information on the development of the commissioning guides is available online. Guides related to neurological conditions include: **Painful tingling fingers** (carpel and cubital tunnel) and **Low back pain**
- 2. Data tools linked to commissioning guides:** use Hospital Episode Statistics (HES). All the tools have been developed with input from a multidisciplinary guideline development group and clinical coders and the technical definitions and guidance on navigating the tools are available to download. The data within these tools should be used as a start of a conversation between commissioners and their providers, to examine possible areas for improved efficiency and quality improvement.

The Quality Dashboards and Procedure Explorer Tool (PET)

There are 30 separate quality dashboards which show quality indicators for surgical procedures commissioned by commissioners. The PET tool shows further detailed information on individual procedures.

Data tools for carpal tunnel and lower back pain are:

- Carpel and cubital tunnel surgery
- Facet Joint Injection/Medial Branch Block
- Lumbar Spinal Fusion (Posterior)
- Radiofrequency Denervation (lumbar facet joint)



Commissioning for Value pages of the NHS England website:

<http://www.england.nhs.uk/resources/resources-for-ccgs/comm-for-value/>

Commissioning for Value Similar 10 Explorer Tool:

<https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/cfv-16-similar-10-explr-tool.xlsm>

Supporting videos for the CFV focus packs:

<https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL6IQwMACXkj1e17bcMvaHuy1gd9XrZT92>

National Mental Health Dementia and Neurology Intelligence Network:

<http://www.yhpho.org.uk/default.aspx?RID=191242>

NHS RightCare website:

<http://www.rightcare.nhs.uk/index.php/commissioning-for-value/>

Royal College of Surgeons National Surgical Commissioning Centre: <http://www.rcseng.ac.uk/surgical-commissioning>

Annex A: Condition and drug groupings

Neurological Conditions

79

| Condition Group | Programme Budget Category (all PBC codes are preceded by 'PBC02') | ICD10 Primary Diagnosis Code |
|---|--|--|
| Epilepsy | 07X | Any Primary Diagnosis Code that begins with G40, G41 or R568 and is mapped to 07X |
| Tumours of the nervous system | 99% of the Primary Diagnosis codes are mapped to 02X (Cancers and tumours) | C700, C701, C709, C710, C711, C712, C713, C714, C715, C716, C717, C718, C719, C793, C720, C721, C722, C723, C724, C725, C728, C729, D320, D321, D322, D330, D331, D332, D333, D334, D337, D339 |
| Multiple Sclerosis and Inflammatory Disorders | 07X | Any Primary Diagnosis Code that begins with G35, G36 or G37 and mapped to 07X |
| Motor Neurone Disease and Spinal Muscular Atrophy | 07X | G120, G121, G122, G128, G129 |
| Parkinsonism and other Extrapyrmidal Disorders | 07X | G240, G241, G242, G255, G256, G258, G259, R251, G10X, G243, G244, G245, G248, G249, G250, G251, G252, G253, G254, F950, F951, F952, F958, F959, G20X, G210, G211, G212, G213, G214, G218, G219, G22X, G230, G231, G232, G238, G239, G903 |
| Neuromuscular Diseases | 07X, 15X | Any Primary Diagnosis Code that begins with G70, G71, G72, G73, M60 or M62 and mapped to 07X or 15X |
| Functional Disorders | 05X | Any Primary Diagnosis Code that begins with F44 or F45 and mapped to 05X |
| Traumatic Brain and Spine injuries | 16X, 20C | S040, S041, S042, S043, S044, S045, S046, S047, S048, S049, S060, S061, S062, S063, S064, S065, S066, S067, S068, S069, S141, S142, S143, S144, S240, S241, S242, S341, S342, S343, S344, T060, T061, T093, T094 |
| Headaches and Migraine | 07A, 07X | G430, G431, G432, G433, G438, G439, G440, G441, G442, G443, G444, G448, R51X |

Neurology Intelligence Network (Public Health England) team advised to include Tumours of the Nervous System, Functional Disorders , Headaches, Traumatic Brain & Spine injuries and the codes that begin with M60' and 'M62' within the list of Neurological conditions: <http://www.yhpho.org.uk/default.aspx?RID=207314>
 These Neurological conditions are mapped different Programme Budget Categories. Therefore these conditions are not included in the 'Neurology - Total Spend', which only includes spend allocated to the Neurology Programme Budget Code.

Neurological conditions: High spend diagnosis

80

| Primary Diagnosis | Programme Budget Category | Primary Diagnosis Code |
|--|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Tendency to fall, not elsewhere classified | 07X | R296 |
| Syncope and collapse | 07X | R55X |
| Disorientation, unspecified | 07X | R410 |
| Carpal Tunnel Syndrome | 07X | G560 |

| Site | Programme Budget Category | Primary Diagnosis Code |
|----------------|---------------------------|---|
| Chest | 07A | R071, R072, R073, R074 |
| Abdomen | 07A | N940, R101, R103, R104 |
| Back | 07A | M544, M5446, M545, M5450, M5455, M5456, M5457, M5458, M5459, M546, M548, M5480, M5481, M5482, M5483, M5484, M5485, M5486, M5487, M5488, M5489, M549, M5490, M5491, M5492, M5493, M5494, M5495, M5496, M5497, M5498, M5499 |
| Joint | 07A | M255, M2550, M2551, M2552, M2553, M2554, M2555, M2556, M2557, M2558, M2559, M913 |
| Limb | 07A | M774, M7916, M7917, M796, M7960, M7961, M7962, M7963, M7964, M7965, M7966, M7967, M7968, M7969 |
| Nervous system | 07A | G500, G576, G578, G579, G580, G588, G589, G600, G602, G603, G608, G609, G610, G618, G619, G620, G621, G622, G628, G629, G630, G632, G633, G634, G635, G636, G638, M541, M5410, M5411, M5412, M5413, M5414, M5415, M5416, M5417, M5418, M5419, M543, M5436, M5437, M5439, M7912, M7913, M792, M7920, M7921, M7922, M7923, M7924, M7925, M7926, M7927, M7928, M7929, R202, R208, G611 |
| Neck | 07A | H920, M353, M542, M5422, R070 |
| NEC | 07A | B330, G501, G521, G546, G564, G570, G571, G572, G573, G574, G575, G587, G601, G632*, G64X, H571, K146, M315, M5421, M5423, M5430, M5435, M5438, M5440, M5445, M5447, M5448, M5449, M5460, M5463, M5464, M5465, M7747, M791, M7910, M7911, M7914, M7915, M7918, M7919, N644, R102, R1033, R200, R201, R203, R300, R309, R520, R521, R522, R529 |

Neurological conditions procedures

82

| OPCS Procedure Code | Full procedure description | Short name in focus packs |
|---------------------|---|--|
| U051 | Computed tomography of head - per 1,000 population | CT - Head |
| U212 | Computed tomography NEC - per 1,000 population | CT - NEC |
| U052 | Magnetic resonance imaging of head - per 1,000 population | MRI - Head |
| U211 | Magnetic resonance imaging NEC - per 1,000 population | MRI - NEC |
| U201 | Transthoracic echocardiography - per 1,000 population | Transthoracic ECG |
| U191 | Implantation of electrocardiography loop recorder - per 1,000 population | Implantation - ECG loop recorder |
| X893 | Immunomodulating drugs Band 1 - per 1,000 population | Immunomodulating drugs Band 1 |
| X851 | Torsion dystonias and other involuntary movements drugs Band 1 - per 1,000 population | Torsion dystonias and other drugs Band 1 |
| U548 | Other specified rehabilitation for other disorders - per 1,000 population | Rehab - other disorders |
| U221 | Electroencephalograph telemetry - per 1,000 population | EEG telemetry |
| A559 | Unspecified diagnostic spinal puncture - per 1,000 population | Diagnostic spinal puncture (unspecified) |
| A651 | Carpal tunnel release - per 1,000 population | Carpal tunnel release |
| M479 | Unspecified urethral catheterisation of bladder - per 1,000 population | Bladder catheterisation |

Chronic pain procedures

83

| OPCS Procedure Code | Full procedure description | Short name in focus packs |
|---------------------|---|--|
| U051 | Computed tomography of head - Chronic pain - per 1,000 population | CT - Head |
| U212 | Computed tomography NEC - Chronic pain - per 1,000 population | CT - NEC |
| U211 | Magnetic resonance imaging NEC - Chronic pain - per 1,000 population | MRI - NEC |
| V544 | Injection around spinal facet of spine - Chronic pain - per 1,000 population | Injection - spinal facet |
| W903 | Injection of therapeutic substance into joint - Chronic pain - per 1,000 population | Injection of therapeutic substance - joint |
| A577 | Injection of therapeutic substance around spinal nerve root - Chronic pain - per 1,000 population | Injection of therapeutic substance - spinal nerve root |
| A559 | Unspecified diagnostic spinal puncture - Chronic pain - per 1,000 population | Diagnostic spinal puncture (unspecified) |
| K634 | Coronary arteriography using two catheters - Chronic pain - per 1,000 population | Coronary arteriography - two catheters |
| K633 | Angiocardiology of left side of heart NEC - Chronic pain - per 1,000 population | Angiocardiology - left heart |
| X961 | Immunoglobulins Band 1 - Chronic pain - per 1,000 population | Immunoglobulins Band 1 |

| Condition drug groups | Chemical level drugs included |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Anti-epileptic drugs | Carbamazepine, Oxcarbazepine, Eslicarbazepine Acetate, Lacosamide, Lamotrigine, Levetiracetam, Phenobarbital, Primidone, Phenytoin, Retigabine, Rufinamide, Topiramate, Sodium valproate, Zonisamide, Clobazam, Clonazepam |
| Anti-Parkinsons disease drugs | Pramipexole, Ropinirole Hydrochloride, Rotigotine, Levodopa/Carbidopa/Entacapone, Rasagiline Mesilate, Co-Careldopa (Carbidopa/Levodopa), Apomorphine ydrochloride, Co-Beneldopa (Benserazide/Levodopa) |
| Migraine drugs | Sumatriptan Succinate, Rizatriptan, Pizotifen Malate, Zolmitriptan, Eletriptan, Naratriptan Hydrochloride, Almotriptan, Frovatriptan |
| Drugs for narcolepsy | Dexamfetamine Sulfate, Methylphenidate Hydrochloride, Modafinil |

| Individual drugs | BNF Category |
|--------------------------|--|
| Rizatriptan | Treatment of acute migraine |
| Sumatriptan Succinate | Treatment of acute migraine |
| Selegiline Hydrochloride | Dopaminergic drugs used in Parkinson's disease |
| Rasagiline Mesilate | Dopaminergic drugs used in Parkinson's disease |

| Individual drugs | BNF Category |
|-------------------------|--|
| Buprenorphine | Drugs used in substance dependence - Opioid dependence |
| Fentanyl | Analgesics - Opioid analgesics |
| Gabapentin | Antiepileptic drugs - Control of the epilepsies |
| Pregabalin | Antiepileptic drugs - Control of the epilepsies |
| Morphine | Acute diarrhoea – Anti-motility drugs |
| Dihydrocodeine Tartrate | Analgesics - Opioid analgesics |

SUS SEM code definitions

87

| Admission Method | Admission Method Description |
|------------------|--|
| 11 | 11: Waiting list |
| 12 | 12: Booked |
| 13 | 13: Planned |
| 21 | 21: Accident and emergency or dental casualty department of the health care provider |
| 22 | 22: General practitioner: after a request for immediate admission has been made direct to a hospital provider, i.e. Not through a bed bureau, by a general practitioner or deputy |
| 23 | 23: Bed bureau |
| 24 | 24: Consultant clinic, of this or another health care provider |
| 25 | 25: Admission via mental health crisis resolution team |
| 28 | 28: Other means, examples are: admitted from the accident and emergency department of another provider where they had not been admitted; transfer of an admitted patient from another hospital provider in an emergency; baby born at home as intended |
| 2A | 2A: Accident and emergency department of another provider where the patient had not been admitted |
| 2B | 2B: Transfer of an admitted patient from another hospital provider in an emergency |
| 2C | 2C: Baby born at home as intended |
| 2D | 2D: Other emergency admission |
| 31 | 31: Admitted ante-partum |
| 32 | 32: Admitted post-partum |
| 81 | 81: Transfer of any admitted patient from other hospital provider other than in an emergency |
| 82 | 82: The birth of a baby in this health care provider |
| 83 | 83: Baby born outside the health care provider except when born at home as intended. |

| Patient Classification | Patient Classification Description |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1 | 1: Ordinary admission |
| 2 | 2: Day case admission |

| Person Gender Code | Person Gender Description |
|--------------------|---------------------------|
| 1 | 1: Male |
| 2 | 2: Female |

Annex B: High-level metadata

Admissions spend indicators

89

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| Analysis | Elective/Non-elective spend analysis |
| Time Period | 2014/15 |
| Age Group | 0 – 120 |
| Admissions method | Elective - 11, 12, 13** Non-Elective - 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 28, 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, 31, 32, 81, 82, 83** [Total spend indicators includes all elective and non elective admissions method codes] |
| Patient Classification | Elective - 1, 2** Non-Elective – 1** |
| Sex | 1, 2** |
| Coding scheme used | Programme Budget Category (PBC), ICD10 Primary Diagnosis Codes |
| Numerator | Total spend on elective/non-elective admissions based on PBC/condition |
| Numerator Source | Temporary National Repository – Hospital Admissions Databases, SUS SEM (Secondary User Services Extract Mart) http://www.hscic.gov.uk/sus |
| Denominator | Age/Sex Standardised Population. Rate= (Numerator/Denominator) * 1000 |

**See annex for SUS SEM Code definitions

Secondary User Services Extract Mart (SUS SEM) data is used.
Only patients with a mandatory tariff recorded have been selected.
The fields that were pulled from SUS SEM include:

- CCG code (based on the GP practice code)
- Sex (this field is used for age/sex standardisation)
- Age_Quinary (Age Band)
- Number of spells
- Net_SLA_Payment (the cost before MFF is applied)

The data does not include CCGs which were not found in the official list of CCGs across England.

Age_Quinary field is presented in 5-year age bands (0-4, 5-9, 10-14, etc.) including the “85+” age band for people aged 85 and over. This field is used for age/sex standardisation.

Number of spells field counts all the patients admitted to hospital for a procedure and discharged in the financial year 2014/15 and groups into each age band.

[Patients admitted in 2014/15 but not discharged until 2015/16 will not count towards the spend. A small number of patients admitted in 2013/14 but not discharged until 2014/15 will count towards the spend for 2014/15.]

Net_SLA_Payment field is the cost before Market Forces Factor (MFF) is applied. This field gives spend on elective/non-elective admissions for all patients in the age band in 2014/15.

The number of elective/non-elective admissions were suppressed where it was less than or equal to 5 at CCG level.

Day case admissions indicators

90

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| Analysis | Day case admissions analysis |
| Time Period | 2014/15 |
| Age Group | 0 – 120 |
| Admissions method | 11, 12, 13 |
| Patient Classification | 2 |
| Sex | 1, 2 |
| Coding scheme used | Programme Budget Category (PBC), ICD10 |
| Numerator | Number of day case admissions based on PBC/condition |
| Numerator Source | Temporary National Repository – Hospital Admissions Databases, SUS SEM (Secondary User Services Extract Mart) http://www.hscic.gov.uk/sus |
| Denominator | Age/Sex Standardised Population. Rate= (Numerator/Denominator) * 100000 |

Secondary User Services Extract Mart (SUS SEM) data is used.

Only patients with a mandatory tariff recorded have been selected.

The fields that were pulled from SUS SEM include:

- CCG code (based on the GP practice code)
- Sex (this field is used for age/sex standardisation)
- Age_Quinary (Age Band)
- Number of spells

The data does not include CCGs which were not found in the official list of CCGs across England.

Age_Quinary field is presented in 5-year age bands (0-4, 5-9, 10-14, etc.) including the “85+” age band for people aged 85 and over. This field is used for age/sex standardisation.

Number of spells field counts all the day case admissions in 2014/15 and groups into each age band.

The number of day case admissions were suppressed where it was less than or equal to 5 at CCG level.

Emergency admissions indicators

91

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Analysis | Emergency admissions analysis |
| Time Period | 2014/15 |
| Age Group | Children: 0 – 18 Adults: 19 - 120 |
| Admissions method | Emergency - 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 28, 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D |
| Patient Classification | 1 |
| Sex | 1, 2 |
| Coding scheme used | Programme Budget Category (PBC), ICD10 |
| Numerator | Number of emergency admissions based on PBC/condition |
| Numerator Source | Temporary National Repository – Hospital Admissions Databases, SUS SEM (Secondary User Services Extract Mart) http://www.hscic.gov.uk/sus |
| Denominator | Age/Sex Standardised Population. Rate= (Numerator/Denominator) * 100000 |

Secondary User Services Extract Mart (SUS SEM) data is used.

Only patients with a mandatory tariff recorded have been selected.

The fields that were pulled from SUS SEM include:

- CCG code (based on the GP practice code)
- Sex (this field is used for age/sex standardisation)
- Age_Quinary (Age Band)
- Number of spells

The data does not include CCGs which were not found in the official list of CCGs across England.

Age_Quinary field is presented in 5-year age bands (0-4, 5-9, 10-14, etc.) including the “85+” age band for people aged 85 and over. This field is used for age/sex standardisation.

Number of spells field counts all the day case admissions in 2014/15 and groups into each age band.

The number of emergency admissions were suppressed where it was less than or equal to 5 at CCG level.

Length of stay indicators

92

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Analysis | Length of Stay analysis |
| Time Period | 2014/15 |
| Age Group | 0 - 120 |
| Admissions method | Elective - 11, 12, 13 Emergency - 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 28, 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D |
| Patient Classification | 1 |
| Sex | 1, 2 |
| Coding scheme used | Programme Budget Category (PBC), ICD10 |
| Numerator | Total number of bed days for elective/emergency admissions based on PBC/condition (not including day cases) |
| Numerator Source | Temporary National Repository – Hospital Admissions Databases, SUS SEM (Secondary User Services Extract Mart) http://www.hscic.gov.uk/sus |
| Denominator | Total number of elective/emergency admissions not including day cases based on PBC/condition. |

Secondary User Services Extract Mart (SUS SEM) data is used. Length of Stay data have been extracted at record level.
Only patients with a mandatory tariff recorded have been selected.
Data filtered by Length of Stay less than 180 days.

The fields that were pulled from SUS SEM include:

- APCS_Ident
- CCG code (based on the GP practice code)
- Spell_LoS (Length of Stay)

The data does not include CCGs which were not found in the official list of CCGs across England.
APCS_Ident field was later used to count the number of elective/emergency admissions since the data was extracted at record level.
Spell_LoS field is the spell length of stay derived using Admission Date and Discharge Date.

Standard deviation has been calculated for each CCG in order to calculate confidence intervals using record level data. Length of Stay data was then grouped by CCG to get the total number of bed days (Sum of Spell_LoS field) and total number of elective/emergency admissions (count of APCS_Ident field) for each CCG.

The number of elective/emergency admissions were suppressed where it was less than or equal to 5 at CCG level.

Procedures spend and activity indicators

93

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Analysis | Procedures spend and activity analysis |
| Time Period | 2014/15 |
| Age Group | 0 – 120 |
| Admissions method | 11, 12, 13, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 28, 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, 31, 32, 81, 82, 83 |
| Patient Classification | 1, 2 |
| Sex | 1, 2 |
| Coding scheme used | Programme Budget Category (PBC), OPCS |
| Numerator | Total spend on discharges based on PBC and procedures |
| Numerator Source | Temporary National Repository – Hospital Admissions Databases, SUS SEM (Secondary User Services Extract Mart) http://www.hscic.gov.uk/sus |
| Denominator | Age/Sex Standardised Population. Rate= (Numerator/Denominator) * 1000 |

Secondary User Services Extract Mart (SUS SEM) data is used.
Only patients with a mandatory tariff recorded have been selected.

For these indicators, spend on a procedure is the total cost of all spells where the procedure listed is the primary procedure in the spell, and where the primary diagnosis for the spell falls under the programme budget category listed. The figure for “How different are we?” converts the CCG’s spending rate above the benchmark spending rate into the equivalent number of procedures.

The fields that were pulled from SUS SEM for spend on procedures include:

- CCG code (based on the GP practice code)
- Sex (this field is used for age/sex standardisation)
- Age_Quinary (Age Band)
- Number of spells
- Net_SLA_Payment (the cost before MFF is applied)

The data does not include CCGs which were not found in the official list of CCGs across England.

Age_Quinary field is presented in 5-year age bands (0-4, 5-9, 10-14, etc.) including the “85+” age band for people aged 85 and over. This field is used for age/sex standardisation.

Number of spells field counts all the patients admitted to hospital for a procedure and discharged in the financial year 2014/15 and groups into each age band.

[Patients admitted in 2014/15 but not discharged until 2015/16 will not count towards the spend. A small number of patients admitted in 2013/14 but not discharged until 2014/15 will count towards the spend for 2014/15.]

Net_SLA_Payment field is the cost before Market Forces Factor (MFF) is applied. This field gives spend on discharges for all patients in the age band in 2014/15.

The fields that were pulled from SUS SEM for procedures activity include:

- CCG code (based on the GP practice code)
- Number of spells (count s all admissions in 2014/15 and groups by CCG).

The number of admissions/discharges were suppressed where it was less than or equal to 5 at CCG level.

Prescribing spend indicators

94

| | |
|------------------|--|
| Analysis | Prescribing Spend |
| Time period | January 2015 - December 2015 |
| Numerator | Net Ingredient cost (NIC) of BNF Chemical Substance Net Ingredient cost (NIC) is the basic price of a drug as stated in Part II Clause 8 of the Drug Tariff |
| Numerator Source | ePACT.net – data provided by the NHS Business Services Authority |
| Denominator | CCG ASTRO-PU weighted population Age, Sex and Temporary Resident Originated Prescribing Units |
| Rate | Numerator / Denominator x 1000 (spend rate per 1,000 ASTRO-PU weighted population) |

We have presented a range of indicators grouping a selection of BNF chemical substances together and aggregating the total Net Ingredient cost. We have also presented individual BNF chemical spend indicators where the total spend is large enough and where advised by national clinical leads. The indicators have been standardised using the ASTRO-PU weightings and are shown per 1,000 ASTRO-PU population to allow fair comparison between CCGs.

Net Ingredient cost (NIC) is the basic price of a drug as stated in Part II Clause 8 of the Drug Tariff.

ASTRO-PU (Age, Sex and Temporary Resident Originated Prescribing Units) weightings have been used to weight the CCG population for age and sex to allow for better comparison of prescribing patterns. Further information regarding ASTRO-PU populations and other prescribing specific populations can be found at <http://www.hscic.gov.uk/prescribing/measures>

Annex C: Methodology

How has the potential opportunity been calculated?

96

The potential opportunity highlights the scale of change that would be achieved if the CCG Value moved to the Benchmark Value of the average of the 'Best 5' or 'Lowest 5' CCGs in its group of similar 10 CCGs.

Generally, where a high CCG Value is considered 'worse' then it is calculated using the formula:

Potential Opportunity = (CCG Value – Benchmark Value) * Denominator

The denominator is the most suitable population data for that indicator eg CCG registered population, CCG weighted population, CCG patients on disease register etc. The denominator is also scaled to match the Value. So if the CCG Value and Benchmark Value are given in "per 1,000 population" then the denominator is expressed in thousands, ie 12,000 becomes 12.

For procedures, the potential opportunity can be expressed in pounds, or by dividing by this by the unit cost then it can be expressed in the equivalent number of procedures.