BI2 Haemtrack[™] Severe Haemophilia Home Reporting

| Scheme Name | | Bl2: Increasing patient activation in haemophilia through Haemtrack | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Section A. SUMMARY of SCHEME | | | | | |
| QIPP Reference | | [QIPP reference if any: Add Locally] | | | |
| Duration | | April 2016 to March 2019 | | | |
| Problem to be addressed: | | | | | |
| | | or paper) patient-reported record of self-managed | | | |
| bleeding episodes and usage of blood factor products, has been demonstrated to be effective | | | | | |
| | in maintaining treatment compliance, optimising home therapy and home stock control. There | | | | |
| is high variation in the adoption of the system, and in the timeliness and accuracy of its use. | | | | | |
| | Change sought: | | | | |
| | | accuracy of patient data submissions to the Haemtrack™ | | | |
| | | crease the proportion of patients making regular | | | |
| | | n, preferably via digital interfaces. In addition, the | | | |
| | | sired dimension greatly assisted through use of digital | | | |
| | | , the data provided must be accurate therefore data | | | |
| | also be verified and impr | | | | |
| | NTRACT SPECIFIC INF | | | | |
| B1.Provider (see Section C1 for | | [Insert name of provider] | | | |
| applicability rule | | | | | |
| | ng Timing. What was | Year 1 = 2016/17 ¹ 2017/18 2018/19 [delete as | | | |
| | st Year of Scheme for | applicable] | | | |
| - | d how many years are | One/two years [delete as applicable] | | | |
| covered by this | | Full compliance with this COUIN scheme should | | | |
| | r <u>get Payment</u> (see ules to determine | Full compliance with this CQUIN scheme should | | | |
| | | achieve payment of: | | | |
| target payment) | | [set sum £s following the Setting Target Payment | | | |
| | | guide in section C3 for setting target payment | | | |
| | | according to the scale of service and the stretch set for | | | |
| | | the specific provider.] | | | |
| B4. Payment T | riggers | Target Value: [Add locally ££s] | | | |
| | | target payment that each trigger determines, and any | | | |
| The Triggers, and the proportion of the target payment that each trigger determines, and any partial payment rules, for each year of the scheme are set out in Section C4. | | | | | |
| | partial payment rules, for each year of the scheme are set out in Section 04. | | | | |
| Relevant provid | er-specific information is | s set out in this table | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Provider | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | | | |
| specific | | | | | |
| triggers | | | | | |
| Trigger 1: | Proportion of patients | providing regular Haemtrack™ data as a | | | |
| Baseline | | its registered with the National Haemophilia | | | |
| Dasenne | | | | | |

¹ I.e. scheme was contracted for first implementation in 2016/17, and this template is setting out requirements for 2nd (and perhaps 3rd) year of scheme.

| | Database at the centre | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Trigger 1: Stretch level | [Add provider specific agreed proportion as per guideline in C4.] | | |
| Trigger 2: Baseline | Proportion of all Haemtrack [™] users who provide an update once per week in period Q1-Q3 (39 weeks) | | |
| Trigger 2 stretch | [Add provider specific agreed proportion as per guideline in C4.] | | |
| Trigger 3 Baseline | To assess the accuracy of records made by patients and provide a baseline. | | |
| Trigger 3 stretch | [Add provider specific accuracy objectives if appropriate.] | | |
| | n Requirements | | |
| Obligations under the scheme to report against achievement of the Triggers, to enable benchmarking, and to facilitate evaluation, are as set out in Section C5. | | | |
| Final indicator reporting date for Month 12 Contract Flex reporting date as per contract. | | | |
| each year. | | | |
| B6. In Year Payment Phasing & Profiling | | | |
| Default arrangement: half payment of target CQUIN payment each month, reconciliation end of | | | |
| each year depending upon achievement. [Vary if necessary.] | | | |
| Section C. SCHEME SPECIFICATION GUIDE | | | |
| C1. Applicable Providers | | | |
| CI. Applicable | <i>Eligibility:</i> Any provider with a regular Haemophilia patient caseload for which it is responsible for regular prophylactic blood factor prescribing. | | |
| Eligibility: Any | | | |

Hence, this CQUIN is a priority for the following providers (based upon baseline data is shown in an accompanying workbook – BI2 Haemtrack Baseline Data):

| REGI ON | Hub | Provider | |
|------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| L | London | Great Ormond Street | |
| L | London | Lewisham | |
| L | London | St Thomas' and Guy's Hospital (incl Frimley Pk) | |
| M&E | Birmingham & Black Country | Birmingham (Queen Elizabeth) | |
| M&E | Birmingham & Black Country | Shrewsbury | |
| M&E | Birmingham & Black Country | Wolverhampton | |
| Ν | Cheshire, Warrington & Wirral | Liverpool (R. I. incl Isle of Man) | |
| Ν | Cheshire, Warrington & Wirral | Liverpool Children's (Alder Hey) | |
| Ν | Cheshire, Warrington & Wirral | Manchester Children's | |
| Ν | South Yorkshire & Bassetlaw | Leeds (St James) | |

| | | Sheffield (Royal Hallamshire, incl |
|---|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Ν | South Yorkshire & Bassetlaw | Northern General) |
| Ν | South Yorkshire & Bassetlaw | York (incl Harrogate) |
| | Bristol, North Somerset & South | |
| S | Gloucestershire | Bristol (Infirmary & Childrens) |
| | Bristol, North Somerset & South | |
| S | Gloucestershire | Exeter |
| | Bristol, North Somerset & South | |
| S | Gloucestershire | Plymouth |
| | Bristol, North Somerset & South | |
| S | Gloucestershire | Salisbury |
| | Bristol, North Somerset & South | |
| S | Gloucestershire | Taunton - Yeovil |
| S | Surrey & Sussex | Bournemouth - Poole |
| S | Surrey & Sussex | Brighton |
| S | Surrey & Sussex | Chichester |
| S | Wessex | North Hampshire (Basingstoke) |
| S | Wessex | Southampton (incl Guernsey) |

C2. Setting Scheme Duration and Exit Route

NHS England already funds the Haemtrack[™] database. Patient education and training will take place within existing consultations and should become routine, with additional costs absorbed into price calculations. This should be achieved by March 2019.

In future a persistent failure to utilise and maintain Haemtrack[™] may see services being decommissioned at providers. The CQUIN is effectively an incentive to ensure all providers are up to a high level of attainment from which future service developments can be planned and implemented. The records will also assist providers in delivering more patient-centred consultations and may therefore improve patient experience and efficiency of service provision.

C3. Calculating the Target Payment for a Provider

The target overall payment for this scheme (the payment if the requirements of the scheme are fully met, to be set in Section B3 above) should be calculated for each provider, according to the following algorithm:

<£20,000 plus £2,000 per patient to be treated.>

See Section D3 for the justification of the targeted payment, including justification of the costing of the scheme, which will underpin the payment.

| C4. Payment Triggers and Partial Achievement Rules |
|--|
|--|

Payment Triggers

The interventions or achievements required for payment under this CQUIN scheme are as follows:

| De | escriptions | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | |
|----|-------------|---------|---------|--|
|----|-------------|---------|---------|--|

| Trigger 1: | Proportion of patients providing regular Haemtrack[™] data as a proportion of all relevant patients (see Definitions) registered with the National Haemophilia Database at the centre. > If baseline is 66% or less to achieve minimum 80%. > If baseline is 67% to 84% to achieve minimum of 90%. > If baseline is 85% or more to halve number of non-users | As 2017/18 |
|------------|---|--|
| Trigger 2 | Proportion of all Haemtrack [™] users who provide an update once per week in period Q1-Q3 (39 weeks). To exceed 67% in the defined period. | As 2017/18 |
| Trigger 3 | To assess the accuracy of records made by patients and provide a baseline. By end Q3 to have assessed accuracy of all patient datasets and report the accuracy to commissioners. To agree a target for accuracy for 2018/19. | Achievement against target agreed in 2017/18. |

<u>Percentages of Target Payment per Payment Trigger</u> The following table sets out the proportion of the Target payment that is payable on achievement of each of the Payment Triggers.

| Percentages of Target Payment per Trigger | 2017/18 | 2018/19 |
|---|---------|---------|
| Trigger 1 | 50% | 33% |
| Trigger 2 | 25% | 33% |
| Trigger 3 | 25% | 33% |
| TOTAL | 100% | 100% |

Partial achievement rules

No payments are to be made for partial achievement. Each trigger is either achieved, or not, and payment is similarly binary. When calculating attainment of targets any calculations will be rounded to the nearest whole integer following conventional rules and there will be no deviation from this.

Definitions

Denominator for Trigger 1: All non-inhibitor patients with moderate and severe haemophilia on prophylaxis.

C5. Information Flows: for benchmarking, for evaluation, and for reporting against the triggers.

Number of regular Haemtrack[™] submissions where each submission is allocated to a specific provider will be verified against number of registered patients on treatment reported separately as part of UKHCDO registries.

Any Haemtrack submissions which have not been allocated to a specific provider will go into a reserve pool from which providers can claim them subject to verification; this will assist providers in increasing the respective numerator and thus target attainment. Verification will be done by a third party as it will likely rely on patient NHS Number. The national haemophilia database team has agreed to perform this task and support this CQUIN.

This data will be collated by the Lead Commissioner and reported to each region and hub accordingly. Providers will have the right to challenge the data but the onus will be on them to prove that the data is in some way erroneous. Allowances may be permitted for new patients (i.e. new patients may be excluded from the denominator count) at the discretion of the lead commissioner. No other exclusions will be permitted, especially as the target does not require 100% attainment therefore permitting a small number of exclusions regardless.

With respect to timeliness of data submissions; this information will be provided by the NHD team. It will be calculated on an individual patient basis and will be crudely based on observing a minimum of 39 data submissions within the 39 week period. An adjustment will be made for patients who commenced treatment in-year. Each individual patient will either pass or fail the threshold test and the pass rate is that which is counted.

With respect to the accuracy of the data: The exact methodology for this has not yet been confirmed. It is likely that the usage recorded by individual patients will need to be verified as being within +/-5% of the prescribed amount. Providers will need to self-verify this parameter however this will in turn be validated by commissioners. A single methodology will be determined which providers can choose to follow.

Information for Benchmarking:

Baseline data is available in a spreadsheet supporting this CQUIN.

Information Governance: All data will be provided by the National Haemophilia Database, no PID will be provided to commissioners. Where validation or verification is undertaken which requires PID (e.g. NHS Numbers) this will be undertaken by third parties with permission to handle such data.

Reporting of Achievement against Triggers: The NHD will report these for commissioners, except trigger 3 which will be self-reported by providers with commissioner validation (both of the methodology and reported attainment).

Reporting Template requirement: Not required, however a standard methodology for trigger 3 will be published by the CRG and suitable guidance issued to providers with respect to trigger 3.

C6. Supporting Guidance and References

See UKHCDO website: <u>www.ukhcdo.org</u> especially the Annual Report (<u>www.ukhcdo.org/annual-reports</u>) with various up-to-date statistics, and web pages on the National Haemophilia Database (<u>http://www.ukhcdo.org/nhd/</u>) and Patient Information (<u>www.ukhcdo.org/patient-information</u>).

Haemtrack[™] website: <u>http://haemtrack.mdsas.com</u> Including patient information leaflet:

Evidence for benefit of patient activation: <u>www.kingsfund.org.uk/sites/files/kf/field/field_publication_file/supporting-people-manage-health-patient-activation-may14.pdf</u>

Evidence specific to Haemtrack will be shared as and when it becomes available

Section D. Scheme Justification

D1. Evidence and Rationale for Inclusion

Evidence Supporting Intervention Sought

Hollingsworth R et al. Haemtrack: UK patient home therapy reporting system. JOURNAL OF THROMBOSIS AND HAEMOSTASIS 2015 Jun 1 (Vol. 13, pp. 583-584).

Osias A et al. Implementation of an online home treatment record-keeping system (Haemtrack) in a large London comprehensive care centre. HAEMOPHILIA 2012 Jul 1 (Vol. 18, p. 59).

Rationale of Use of CQUIN incentive

Regular use of Haemtrack[™] with timely and accurate data is a proxy measure for patient activation and involvement in managing their condition, as well as providing valuable information to clinicians to support clinical care. Despite nationally funding towards the provision of the NHD and Haemtrack[™] there is still considerable variation in the use of Haemtrack[™] by patients and by individual providers. The laggards must engage with and activate their patients to a higher level to bring them up to the same levels as the innovators, and most providers can do more to increase overall patient participation. A CQUIN is an ideal incentive to drive the desired change as it will focus attention from within and from outside individual departments within providers. The targets mark a significant stretch for some providers although the actual patient numbers involved may be relatively modest.

D3. Justification of Size of Target Payment

The evidence and assumptions upon which the target payment was based, so as to ensure payment of at least 150% of average costs (net of any savings or reimbursements under other mechanisms), is as follows:

Target payments are based on a fixed fee per registered patient (£2,000), with an overhead payment of £20,000 to cover (with mark-up) administrative costs. The per-patient payment covers the per-patient contribution required by Trusts towards the National Haemophilia Database plus an allowance for marginal per-patient costs of additional support for patients.

Overall, the payment is based on an assessment of what incentive payment will adequately support providers to give coaching and other support to patients to use Haemtrack effectively. **D4.** Evaluation

Intermediate outcomes that will be available from the database will include the total number of new Haemtrack[™] users, the number of new regular Haemtrack[™] users, and the number of providers which have fully delivered the CQUIN.

The system should create the information needed for a full evaluation of patient related outcomes.