Gateway Number: 06141



NHS England Medical Directorate 5th Floor (5W52) Quarry House Quarry Hill Leeds LS2 7UE

Philip Dunne MP

By email and hard copy

11th October 2016

Dear Minister

Re: Ambulance Response Programme Clinical Coding Trial

I am writing to update you on the Ambulance Response Programme clinical coding trial underway in South Western Ambulance Service Foundation Trust, West Midlands Ambulance Service Foundation Trust and Yorkshire Ambulance Service.

Early results from the trial sites have shown a substantial reduction in the proportion of calls requiring an 8 minute (Red) response (6-8%) when compared to the previous Red 1 and Red 2 proportion of 50% or more. The group of "Amber" calls, however, has posed considerable operational challenges as these comprise a large proportion of calls in the new code set (around 70%), and rather than any response the correct response is now needed, for example a conveying vehicle, in order to "stop the clock".

The clinical coding subgroup has reviewed the call categories in order to address these issues using a number of fundamental assumptions:

- The underlying premise used for the initial call category review that response should be based on clinical urgency and the type of response required still holds true
- The current assignment of call codes (AMPDS or NHS Pathways SG/SD combination) to the Red category is correct and does not need further review.
- The current assignment of call codes to the Amber categories does not discriminate sufficiently between calls for emergency conditions and those for urgent conditions which could wait longer for a response.
- The current separation of Amber calls into 3 categories based on need for treatment and transport, transport or face to face assessment is too complex and could be improved by a simpler transport or assessment split.
- There are some calls where a less urgent response (for assessment and onward management decisions) would be appropriate.
- To be successful the right balance has to be found between call volumes within categories and the associated time targets and operational capability and capacity.

Gateway Number: 06141

Taking these factors into account the subgroup revisited the call category definitions and recommends a set of revised categories that will better reflect the response required for different types of conditions. These revised categories are set out in Appendix A, and have been approved by NASMeD, the National Association of Ambulance Services Medical Directors and ECPAG, the Emergency Call Prioritisation Advisory Group.

We plan to integrate this revised code set into the trial sites from 11th October 2016.

The trial will continue to be monitored and evaluated by our academic partner at Sheffield University's School of Health and Related Research as well as by the full governance structure of the ARP.

As we have discussed a full evaluation report has been commissioned. This was originally scheduled for Autumn 2016, however given the changes described above, and the time required to test these effectively, the report is now scheduled to be delivered at the end of February 2017.

Yours sincerely,

Professor Jonathan Benger, MD FRCS DA DCH DiplMC FCEM National Clinical Director for Urgent Care, NHS England

Gateway Number: 06141

Appendix 1: Ambulance Response Programme Revised Clinical Coding set

| Call Type Definition | Response and Resource |
|--|--|
| Category 1 -Life-threatening | C1 |
| Time critical life-threatening event needing immediate intervention and/or | Defibrillator |
| resuscitation e.g. cardiac or respiratory arrest; airway obstruction; i neffective | Person trained to use defibrillator |
| breathing; unconscious with a bnormal or noisy breathing; hanging. | Ambulance clinician who can assess and deliver a dvanced life support |
| Mortality rates high; a difference of one minute in response time is likely to affect outcome and there is evidence to support the fastest response. | Transporting vehicle where transport required |
| | Operational response plan to deliver fastest suitable resource |
| Category 2 - Emergency | C2T Assess; Treat; Transport |
| Potentially serious conditions (ABCD problem) that may require rapid assessment, | e.g. Probable MI, serious injury, stroke, sepsis, major burns |
| urgent on-scene intervention and/or urgent transport. | Suitably qualified clinician who can assess and treat and vehicle that does transport |
| Mortality rates are lower; there is evidence to support early dispatch. | C2R Assess; Treat |
| | e.g. Fits; unconscious with normal breathing |
| (For calls that need conveying clock stop is by the vehicle that actually conveys) | Nearest available resource (any type) with suitably qualified clinician who can assess and treat |
| Category 3 – Urgent | C3T Assess; Treat; Transport |
| Urgent problem (not immediately life-threatening) that needs treatment to relieve | e.g. serious injury modalities without systemic compromise; burns (not major); non-emergency late |
| suffering (e.g. pain control) and transport or assessment and management at scene | pregnancy/childbirth problems. |
| with referral where needed within a clinically appropriate timeframe. Mortality | |
| rates are very low or zero; there is evidence to support alternative pathways of care. | C3R Assess; Treat |
| | Calls within scope of a dvanced clinical practice and suitable for treat and leave. e.g. uncomplicated |
| | diabetic hyper/hypoglycaemia; not immediately at risk drug overdoses; non-emergency injuries; |
| (For calls that need conveying clock stop is by the vehicle that actually conveys) | abdominal pain. |
| Category 4 – non-urgent | C4T Assess; Treat; Transport |
| Problems that are not urgent but need assessment (face to face or telephone) and | 999 or 111 calls that may require a face to face ambulance clinician assessment |
| possibly transport within a clinically appropriate timeframe. | Requests for transport by health care professionals |
| | C4H Non-ambulance response |
| | Calls that do not require an ambulance response but do require onward referral or attendance of |
| | non-ambulance provider in line with locally agreed plans or dispositions, or can be closed with advice |
| To a Control of the c | (Hear & Treat) |
| Type S – Specialist response | Locally agreed plans apply |
| Incidents requirings pecialist response i.e. hazardous materials; specialist rescue; mass casualty | |
| mass casualty | |