

Engagement Report for Service Specifications	
<b>Unique Reference Number</b>	170096S 170097S
<b>Specification Title</b>	Small bowel transplantation service (paediatrics) Small bowel transplantation service (adults)
<b>Clinical Reference Group (CRG)</b>	Paediatric Medicine CRG Hepatobiliary and Pancreas CRG
Which stakeholders were contacted to be involved in service specification development?	Members of the Paediatric Medicine CRG and its stakeholders Members of the Hepatobiliary CRG and its stakeholders  NHS Blood and Transplant's (NHS BT) Multi-visceral and Composite Tissue Advisory Group
Identify the relevant Royal College or Professional Society to the specification and indicate how they have been involved	The Royal College of Physicians has commented on the service specification
Which stakeholders have actually been involved?	As above
Explain reason if there is any difference from previous question	Not applicable
Identify any particular stakeholder organisations that may be key to the specification development	NHS BT as commissioners of organ donation and allocation services.

<p>that you have approached that have yet to be engaged. Indicate why?</p>	
<p>How have stakeholders been involved? What engagement methods have been used?</p>	<p>Discussion and circulation of the specification for comment and consideration at expert meetings.</p>
<p>What has happened or changed as a result of their input?</p>	<p>CRG members have made changes to the published specifications to clarify eligibility, the pathway and reflect the comments made by stakeholders and to answer specific questions.</p> <p>The original specifications were written in 2013 so there was some updating of references and data. From the patient groups there was a greater drawing out of issues focussing on patient experience.</p> <p>The service specification describes the service broadly as published by the National Specialised Commissioning Team prior to April 2013 with a greater focus on outcomes not related to mortality, the ongoing management of patients and the arrangement for transition from paediatric services to adult services. When the specifications were first written the need for transition services was not recognised.</p>
<p>How are stakeholders being kept informed of progress with specification development as a result of their input?</p>	<p>The Multi-visceral and Composite Tissue Advisory Group take the issue as an agenda item. Otherwise communication is mostly through email. Some phone calls have been held with the provider units.</p>
<p>What level of wider public consultation is recommended by the CRG for the National Programme of Care Board to agree as a result of stakeholder involvement?</p>	<p>4 weeks public consultation in line with the recommended level of consultation for all Highly Specialised Services.</p>