

NHS England

Evidence review: Sapropterin for phenylketonuria



NHS England

Evidence review: Sapropterin for phenylketonuria

First published:	December 2018
Updated:	Not applicable
Prepared by:	The NICE Medicines and Technologies Programme on behalf of NHS England Specialised Commissioning

The content of this evidence review was up-to-date in August 2018. See <u>summaries</u> of product characteristics (SPCs), <u>British national formulary</u> (BNF) or the <u>MHRA</u> or <u>NICE</u> websites for up-to-date information.

Key points

Regulatory status: Sapropterin is a synthetic version of tetrahydrobiopterin, a naturally occurring co-factor for the enzyme phenylalanine hydroxylase. Sapropterin received a marketing authorisation in 2008 and was launched in the UK in 2009. Sapropterin is licensed for the treatment of hyperphenylalaninaemia in adults and children of all ages with phenylketonuria (PKU) who have been shown to be responsive to treatment with sapropterin.

Sapropterin is also licensed for the treatment of hyperphenylalaninaemia in adults and children of all ages with tetrahydrobiopterin (BH4) deficiency, although use for this indication is outside of the scope of this evidence review.

Overview

This evidence summary considers the best available evidence for sapropterin for the management of PKU.

PKU is an inherited disease in which an enzyme deficiency results in raised phenylalanine levels in the blood, causing brain damage, growth failure, behavioural problems and other developmental issues.

This evidence review focusses on 3 double-blind randomised controlled trials (RCTs, <u>Levy et</u> <u>al. 2007</u>, <u>Trefz et al. 2009</u>, <u>Burton et al. 2015</u>) and an open-label RCT (<u>Muntau et al. 2017</u>). Additional information is provided by 2 extension studies (<u>Lee et al. 2008</u>, <u>Burton et al. 2011</u>) and 5 observational studies (<u>Longo et al. 2015</u>, <u>Aldámiz-Echevarría et al. 2015</u>, <u>Aldámiz-Echevarría et al. 2013</u>, <u>Feldmann et al. 2017</u>, <u>Cazzorla et al. 2014</u>).

Overall, the results of these studies suggest that sapropterin reduces blood phenylalanine concentrations and increases phenylalanine tolerance in adults and children with PKU, allowing people to increase the natural protein in their diet. The impact of sapropterin on development and day-to-day living is less clear, although these outcomes were reported in fewer studies, often of lower quality. Adverse events, while relatively common were generally mild to moderate in severity and did not result in treatment being stopped.

It is essential that response to treatment is assessed, and sapropterin only started in people who demonstrate a positive response.

Levy et al. 2007 and Lee et al. 2008 found that people with PKU who were treated with sapropterin for up to 22 weeks had a reduction in blood phenylalanine concentrations of approximately 200 micromol/litre from baseline. This reduction is significantly higher than seen in people treated with diet alone, whose phenylalanine levels remained constant. Trefz et al. 2009 and Muntau et al. 2017 reported that sapropterin for 10 to 26 weeks increased phenylalanine tolerance by 20 to 30 mg/kg/day compared with diet alone.

No significant differences in physical growth parameters, including height, weight and head circumference, were observed from baseline to up to 2 years for children treated with

sapropterin (Muntau et al. 2017 and Longo et al. 2015). There was also no significant difference in neuro-motor development from baseline to 26 weeks in children treated with sapropterin (Muntau et al. 2017). Most children in these studies had stable physical growth and neuro-motor development.

Sapropterin did not improve overall ADHD symptoms in adults and children with PKU, although some improvements in inattention symptoms were reported in children (Burton et al. 2015). Executive functioning (the set of processes that control behaviour) was reported in a 13-week study by Burton et al. 2015. Sapropterin did not improve executive functioning in adults with PKU, although children treated with sapropterin showed significant improvements in some elements of executive functioning. No significant improvements in clinician assessed global functioning were reported for adults or children with PKU (Burton et al. 2015). One study reported neuro-cognitive functioning / intelligence, finding no significant difference from baseline to 2 years in children with PKU (Longo et al. 2015).

Health-related quality of life was poorly reported, with 2 observational studies reporting conflicting results (Feldmann et al. 2017, Cazzorla et al. 2014).

No evidence was found to determine whether or not sapropterin is a cost-effective treatment option for adults or children with PKU.

Studies included in this review report on long-term safety data on sapropterin for up to 3 years. The SPC for sapropterin reports headache and rhinorrhoea as very common adverse reactions, occurring in \geq 1/10 people treated with sapropterin. Common adverse reactions (occurring in \geq 1/100 to <1/10 people treated with sapropterin) include hypophenylalaninaemia, pharyngolaryngeal pain, nasal congestion, cough, diarrhoea, vomiting, abdominal pain, dyspepsia and nausea.

The disease-orientated outcomes reported in this evidence review (blood phenylalanine concentration and phenylalanine tolerance) are from high quality RCTs. Many of the patient-orientated outcomes (quality of life and neuro-cognitive function) are only reported in lower quality studies, including uncontrolled observational studies, which have many limitations affecting their application to clinical practice.

Contents

1. Introduction	7
2. Methodology	8
3. Summary of included studies	9
4. Results	12
5. Discussion	15
6. Conclusion	16
7. Evidence summary table	17
8. Grade of evidence table	36
9. Literature search terms	41
10. Search strategy	44
11. Evidence selection	44
12. Related NICE guidance and NHS England clinical policies	53
13. Terms used in this evidence summary	53
14. References	54

1. Introduction

Background and current guidance

Phenylketonuria (PKU) is an autosomal recessive genetic disorder characterised by an increase of phenylalanine in the blood and body fluids (hyperphenylalaninaemia, <u>Somaraju</u> and <u>Merrin 2015</u>).

Phenylalanine is an essential amino acid provided by protein in the diet. A small amount of phenylalanine is used for protein synthesis, with the rest hydroxylised (converted) to another amino acid called tyrosine. People with PKU have a mutation in the gene that encodes an enzyme called phenylalanine hydroxylase, the enzyme responsible for the conversion of phenylalanine to tyrosine. The reduced levels of phenylalanine hydroxylase result in an accumulation of phenylalanine in the blood. Raised phenylalanine levels can be very harmful, damaging the brain. Infants with untreated PKU will appear to develop normally for the first few months of life, but will soon show signs of progressive encephalopathy (brain damage). Other features of PKU include growth failure, microcephaly, seizures, intellectual impairment and behavioural problems (Somaraju and Merrin 2015).

PKU is a rare condition, with an incidence of approximately 1 in 10,000 in people of European family origin. PKU is 1 of the 12 diseases tested for in the <u>NHS newborn blood</u> spot screening programme.

Severity of PKU is classified by the amount of phenylalanine present in the blood:

- People with phenylalanine levels above 1,200 micromol/litre have classic (or classical) PKU,
- People with phenylalanine levels between 600 and 1,200 micromol/litre have mild PKU, and
- People with phenylalanine levels below 600 micromol/litre but above normal limits have mild hyperphenylalaninaemia.

The aim of treatment in PKU is to lower phenylalanine levels to within safe limits, therefore preventing neurological damage. A phenylalanine-restricted diet is the standard treatment, designed to reduce phenylalanine levels while providing sufficient tyrosine and other nutrients needed for growth and development. This diet entails the exclusion of all high protein foods (for example, meat, fish and dairy products) and tight control of food containing less natural protein (for example, some fruits and vegetables). Daily supplements containing amino acids are required. Such a diet is very restrictive in nature, the supplements have an unpleasant taste, and there is always a risk of nutritional deficiencies. Phenylalanine-restricted diets may have a negative impact on a person's quality of life, and it has been reported that by early adulthood the many people with PKU do not comply with their diets (Somaraju and Merrin 2015).

The <u>European guidelines on the management of PKU</u> were published in 2017. The guidelines recommend that children aged less than 12 years should maintain a blood phenylalanine level between 120 and 360 micromol/litre, and people aged 12 and over between 120 and 600 micromol/litre.

Product overview

Mode of action

Tetrahydrobiopterin (BH4) is a co-factor for phenylalanine hydroxylase. Tetrahydrobiopterin is thought enhance the activity of residual phenylalanine hydroxylase. Sapropterin is a synthetic version of the naturally occurring tetrahydrobiopterin.

Only people with PKU who have residual phenylalanine hydroxylase activity will respond to treatment with sapropterin. The <u>sapropterin summary of product characteristics [SPC]</u> advises that phenylalanine levels should be checked before administering sapropterin and after 1 week of use at the recommended starting dose. If an unsatisfactory reduction in blood phenylalanine levels is observed, then the dose can be increased weekly to a maximum of 20 mg/kg/day, with continued weekly monitoring of blood phenylalanine levels over a one month period. The SPC defines a satisfactory response as reduction in blood phenylalanine levels of 30% or more, attainment of the therapeutic blood phenylalanine goals defined for an individual patient by the treating physician. People who fail to achieve this reduction should not continue treatment with sapropterin.

Note: some studies included in this evidence review refer to the intervention as tetrahydrobiopterin and some as sapropterin. For simplicity, this evidence review will use the name sapropterin throughout, irrespective of the term used in the original publication.

Regulatory status

Sapropterin is licensed for the treatment of hyperphenylalaninaemia (HPA) in adults and children of all ages with PKU who have been shown to be responsive to treatment with sapropterin.

Sapropterin is also licensed for the treatment of hyperphenylalaninaemia (HPA) in adults and children of all ages with tetrahydrobiopterin (BH4) deficiency, although use for this indication is outside of the scope of this evidence review.

Dosing information

The starting dose of sapropterin in adults and children with PKU is 10 mg/kg once daily. The dose is adjusted, usually between 5 and 20 mg/kg/day, to achieve and maintain adequate blood phenylalanine levels (SPC for sapropterin).

2. Methodology

A description of the relevant Population, Intervention, Comparison and Outcomes (<u>PICO</u>) for this review was provided by NHS England's Policy Working Group for the topic (see the <u>literature search terms</u> section for more information). The research questions for this evidence review are:

- 1. Is sapropterin therapy clinically effective in patients with PKU whose:
 - PKU levels are controlled with dietary control alone
 - PKU levels are not controlled despite maximal dietary control

in comparison to dietary control alone?

- 2. Is sapropterin therapy cost effective in patients with PKU whose:
 - PKU levels are controlled with dietary control
 - PKU levels are not controlled despite maximal dietary control in comparison to dietary control alone?
- 3. Is sapropterin therapy safe in patients with PKU whose:
 - PKU levels are controlled with dietary control
 - PKU levels are not controlled despite maximal dietary control
 - in comparison to dietary control alone?
- 4. Does the evidence review identify any subgroups who demonstrate better outcomes with sapropterin therapy?

The searches for evidence to support the use of sapropterin for phenylketonuria were undertaken by the NICE Guidance Information Services' team. Results from the literature searches were screened using their titles and abstracts for relevance against the criteria from the PICO. Full text references of potentially relevant evidence were obtained and reviewed to determine whether they met the PICO inclusion criteria for this evidence review. More information can be found in the sections on <u>search strategy</u> and <u>evidence selection</u>.

The NICE <u>evidence summary: process guide</u> (2017) sets out the how the summaries are developed and approved for publication. The included studies are quality assessed using the National Service Framework for Long Term Conditions (NSF-LTC) evidence assessment framework as set out in NHS England's Guidance on conducting evidence reviews for Specialised Services Commissioning Products (2016) (see the <u>grade of evidence</u> section for more information).

3. Summary of included studies

This evidence review focusses on 3 double-blind randomised controlled trials (RCTs, <u>Levy et al. 2007</u>, <u>Trefz et al. 2009</u>, <u>Burton et al. 2015</u>) and an open-label RCT (<u>Muntau et al. 2017</u>). Longer-term data is provided by 2 open-label extension studies (<u>Lee et al. 2008</u>, <u>Burton et al. 2011</u>). Five observational studies that report additional patient-orientated outcomes are also included (<u>Longo et al. 2015</u>, <u>Aldámiz-Echevarría et al. 2014</u>).

A summary of the included studies is shown in table 1 (see the <u>evidence summary tables</u> for full details).

Table 1 Summary of included studies

Study	Population	Intervention and comparison ¹	Primary outcome
Levy et al. 2007	89 adults and	Sapropterin 10 mg/kg/day	Change in blood

Double-blind RCT	children aged ≥8 years (mean age 20.4 years, 58% male) with phenylketonuria responsive to sapropterin treatment	Placebo	phenylalanine concentration from baseline to week 6
Lee et al. 2008 Open-label extension study to Levy et al. (2007)	80 adults and children aged ≥8 years (mean age 20.4 years, 59% male) who had previously taken part in the RCT by Levy et al. 2007, provided they had received ≥80% of the scheduled doses.	Sapropterin 5, 10 or 20 mg/kg/day No control	Change in blood phenylalanine concentration up to week 22
Trefz et al. 2009 Double-blind RCT	45 children (mean age 7.5 years, 58% male) with phenylketonuria responsive to sapropterin treatment	Sapropterin 20 mg/kg/day Placebo	Mean phenylalanine supplement tolerated over 10 weeks
Burton et al. 2011 Open-label extension study to Lee et al. 2008 and Trefz et al. 2009	111 people (mean age 16.4 years, 60.4% male) with PKU responsive to sapropterin	Sapropterin 5 mg to 20 mg/kg/day No control	Long-term safety – adverse events up to 3 years
Muntau et al. 2017 Open-label, randomised control trial	56 children aged less than 4 years (mean age 21 months, 59% male) with PKU responsive to sapropterin	Sapropterin 10 mg/kg/day (could be increased to 20 mg/kg/day after 4 weeks if phenylalanine tolerance not increased by >20% compared with baseline) Diet only	Change in phenylalanine tolerance from baseline to week 26
Burton et al. 2015 Double-blind, randomised control trial	118 adults and children aged 8 year and over (mean age approximately 20 years, 58% male) with PKU responsive to sapropterin	Sapropterin (dose not reported) Placebo	Change in ADHD symptoms at 13 weeks and Change in global function at week 13

Longo et al. 2015	Children aged 0 to	Sapropterin (dose not	Neurocognitive function-
Open-label,	6 years (mean age 3.14 years) at	reported)	the tool used to measure function determined by
prospective,	screening with PKU	No control	the child's age.
uncontrolled study	/ HPA and at least 2		the office of ago.
(2 year results from a	blood Phe		
7 year study)	concentrations		
	≥360 micromol/ litre		
	taken at least 3		
	days apart.		
Aldámiz-Echevarría et al. 2015	Children (0 to 4 years) with PKU	Sapropterin (dose not reported)	
<u>et al. 2015</u>	responsive to	reported)	
Longitudinal	sapropterin.	Diet alone	
retrospective study			
Feldmann et al.	112 adults and	Sapropterin 20 mg/kg/day	Health-related quality of
<u>2017</u>	children (aged ≥4		life, measured using
	years) with PKU.	Diet alone	KINDL ^R (for patients) or
Prospective cohort	41.1% (46/112, 24		ULQIE (for parents)
study	children) of		
	participants		
	responded to		
	sapropterin and		
	continued		
	treatment.		
	Participants who		
	did not respond to		
	sapropterin (66/112, 58.9%)		
	remained in the		
	study on diet alone,		
	acting as controls.		
Cazzorla et al. 2014	43 people with	Sapropterin 10 mg/kg/day	Quality of life, measured
	PKU.	(mild PKU)	using:
	22 participants had	Diet alone (classical PKU)	The Pediatric Quality of
	mild PKU (blood	Diet alone (classical FRO)	Life Inventory (PedsQL) in
	Phe 600 to 1,200		children (6 to 16 years)
	micromol/ litre)		The World Health
	responsive to		Organisation QoL score
	sapropterin, and		(WHOQOL-100) in adults
	were treated with		(≥18 years)
	sapropterin. Mean age 15.4 years.		
	aye 10.4 years.		
	21 participants had		
	classical PKU		
	(blood Phe >1,200		
	micromol/litre) and		
	were treated with		
	diet alone. Mean age 18.9 years.		
¹ All people with PKI I		had a phenylalanine-restricte	d diet.
		hyperactivity disorder; KINDL	
Erfassung der gesund	heitsbezogenen Leber	nsqualität bei Kindern und Jug	endlichen;
In English: Questionna	aire to assess the healt	h-related quality of life of child	dren and adolescents; PKU,

phenylketonuria; RCT, randomised controlled trial; ULQIE, Ulm Quality of Life Inventory for Parents

Details of the excluded studies are listed in the section on evidence selection.

4. Results

An overview of the results for clinical effectiveness and safety and tolerability can be found in the <u>evidence summary table</u>. The research questions for the evidence review and the key outcomes identified in the scope are discussed in this section.

Clinical effectiveness

This section considers whether sapropterin is clinically effective in patients with PKU whose i) PKU levels are controlled with dietary control alone, and ii) PKU levels are not controlled despite maximal dietary control, both compared to dietary control alone.

Blood phenylalanine concentration

In a double-blind RCT by Levy et al. (2007) involving 89 adults and children (mean age 20.4 years) with PKU responsive to sapropterin treatment, people treated with sapropterin for 6 weeks had significantly lower blood phenylalanine compared with people treated with placebo (mean difference between groups -254 micromol/litre, standard deviation [SD] 52.5, p=0.0002). The same study found a higher proportion of people were able to maintain their blood phenylalanine below 600 micromol/litre at 6 weeks when treated with sapropterin (22/41, 54%) compared with placebo (11/47, 23%).

Lee et al. (2008) reported on a single-arm, open-label extension to Levy et al. (2007), in which 80 participants were treated with sapropterin for 22 weeks. Sapropterin reduced blood phenylalanine concentrations by a mean of -190.5 micromol/litre at 22 weeks (from 844.0 micromol/litre at baseline).

Phenylalanine tolerance

In a 2009 double-blind RCT by <u>Trefz et al.</u>, at week 10, children treated with sapropterin tolerated significantly higher doses of phenylalanine supplements compared with the placebo group (mean treatment difference 17.7 mg/kg/day, p<0.001). Mean total phenylalanine daily intake (dietary plus supplements) was 43.8 mg/kg/day in the sapropterin group and 23.5 mg/kg/day in the placebo group.

<u>Muntau et al. (2017)</u>, an open-label RCT, found that children with PKU could tolerate significantly more phenylalanine supplements after 26 weeks treatment with sapropterin compared with children treated by diet alone (80.6 mg/kg/day and 50.1 mg/kg/day respectively, adjusted between group difference of 30.5 mg/kg/day, 95% CI 18.7 to 42.3, p<0.001). In the same study children in the sapropterin group could also tolerate significantly more dietary phenylalanine compared with children managed by diet alone (75.7 mg/kg/day and 42.0 mg/kg/day respectively, adjusted between group difference 33.7 mg/kg/day, 95% CI 21.4 to 45.9, p<0.001).

Physical growth

An open-label RCT by Muntau et al. (2017) reported on physical growth parameters in 56 children with PKU aged less than 4 years who were treated with sapropterin or diet only for 26 weeks. There was no statistically significant difference between groups for any growth parameter. The authors note that children in both groups had stable growth parameters.

In an open-label, single-arm prospective study by Longo et al. (2015), there was no significant difference between baseline and 2-year follow-up for any physical growth parameters (height, weight and head circumference) for children aged 0 to 6 years treated with sapropterin.

Global function

The double-blind RCT by <u>Burton et al. (2015)</u> investigated global function in adults and children with PKU, measured using the Clinical Global Impression of Improvement (CGI-I) scale. There was no significant difference in the proportion of people who were much improved or very much improved (CGI-I scale 1 or 2) in the sapropterin group (21.7%) compared with placebo (26.3%, relative risk ratio 0.87, 95% CI 0.46 to 1.64, p=0.670).

Executive function

Burton et al. (2015) reported on executive function, measured using the Global Executive Composite (GEC), Metacognition Index (MI) and Behaviour Regulation Index (BRI) from the Behaviour Rating Inventory of Executive Function (BRIEF) tool. Results are reported separately for children and adolescents, and adults.

After 13 weeks treatment, no significant difference in any score was reported for sapropterin compared with placebo for adults.

After 13 weeks treatment, children and adolescents treated with sapropterin had significant improvements in GEC and MI score compared with placebo (-4.1 and -4.4 respectively, both p<0.05). The BRI score was numerically lower in the sapropterin group compared with placebo (-3.4, p=0.053), although the difference was not statistically significant.

Neuro-cognitive function

Neuro-cognitive function was reported by the open-label, single-arm prospective study by Longo et al. (2015), the tool used the assess function varied by age group. At baseline the average Full Scale Intelligence Quotient (FSIQ) score across the study population was not significantly different from the population norm of 100. The authors reported that there was no significant decline in FSIQ over the 2 year follow-up, and that after starting sapropterin no infant or toddler had an FSIQ score of less than 85. However, without a control group it is not clear whether these results reflect the natural development in PKU or neuro-protection from sapropterin.

Neuro-motor development

Muntau et al. (2017) found no significant difference in neuro-motor development at 12 and 26 weeks in children treated with sapropterin compared with diet alone. Most participants in both treatment groups had normal neuro-motor development.

Attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) symptoms

A double-blind RCT by Burton et al. (2015) reported on attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) symptoms in adults and children with PKU, measured using the ADHD Rating Scale/Adult Self-Report Scale (ADHD RS/ASRS). There was no statistically significant difference in change from baseline to week 13 for ADHD RS/ASRS total score (-4.2, 95% CI -8.9 to 0.6, p=0.085) or ADHD RS/ASRS hyperactivity/impulsivity subscale score (-1.0, 95% CI -3.4 to 1.4, p=0.396). A statistically significance difference between groups in favour of sapropterin was observed at 13 weeks for the ADHD RS/ASRS inattention subscale score (-3.4, 95% CI -6.6 to -0.2, p=0.036).

Health-related quality of life

Data on health-related quality of life is limited to 2 observational studies by <u>Feldmann et al.</u> (2017) and <u>Cazzorla et al. (2014</u>). The studies reported conflicting results, with Feldman and colleagues finding no improvement in quality of life in children treated with sapropterin, and Cazzorla reporting significant improvements in people with mild PKU treated with sapropterin compared people with classical PKU treated with diet alone. Both studies were of low quality and had a high risk of bias, meaning it is not possible to draw firm conclusions on the impact of sapropterin on quality of life.

Safety and tolerability

This section considers whether sapropterin is safe in patients with PKU whose i) PKU levels are controlled with dietary control alone, and ii) PKU levels are not controlled despite maximal dietary control, both compared to dietary control alone.

The short-term safety of sapropterin is reported in the RCTs by Levy et al. (2007) and Trefz et al. (2009), with longer-term safety data provided by 2 open-label extension studies (Lee et al. 2008 and Burton et al. 2011) and RCTs by Burton et al. (2015) and Muntau et al. (2017).

The rate of adverse events was high, with between 63% and 100% of participants in individual trials reporting at least one adverse event. The majority of adverse events were mild or moderate in severity, and adverse events leading to withdrawal from study were rare.

The most frequently reported adverse events in the clinical trials included upper respiratory tract infections, headache, vomiting, rhinorrhoea, upper abdominal pain, dizziness, diarrhoea and pyrexia.

In the EPAR for sapropterin the regulators concluded that sapropterin was well tolerated. The EPAR states that hypophenylalaninaemia (defined as blood phenylalanine 26 micromol/litre or less) was more common in people treated with sapropterin compared with placebo, noting that this is an expected result of sapropterin lowering phenylalanine levels and may indicate an increase of dietary phenylalanine or an adjustment in sapropterin dose.

The SPC for sapropterin reports headache and rhinorrhoea as very common adverse reactions, occurring in \geq 1/10 people treated with sapropterin. Common adverse reactions (occurring in \geq 1/100 to <1/10 people treated with sapropterin) listed in the SPC are hypophenylalaninaemia, pharyngolaryngeal pain, nasal congestion, cough, diarrhoea, vomiting, abdominal pain, dyspepsia and nausea.

Cost effectiveness

No studies were identified during literature searches (see <u>search strategy</u> for full details) that compared the cost-effectiveness of sapropterin with diet alone in people with PKU. None of the studies included in this evidence review included an outcome investigating cost-effectiveness.

5. Discussion

Evidence strengths and limitations

The studies included in this evidence review are of variable quality, ranging from high quality, double-blind RCTs, to low quality, retrospective observational studies. The lower quality studies were generally included in the review because they reported on patient-orientated outcomes not included in the higher quality studies.

The included RCTs were all small, randomising fewer than 100 participants across all treatment arms, which is usual for rare conditions such PKU due to the relatively limited number of eligible participants. It is reassuring that many of the RCTs reported power calculations, and most would appear to have been adequately powered. The older, phase III RCTs included in this review were of short duration (6 to 10 weeks), although open-label extension studies and more recently published RCTs provide longer term efficacy and safety data.

The disease-orientated outcomes reported in this evidence review, namely blood phenylalanine concentration and phenylalanine tolerance, are from high quality RCTs. Many of the patient-orientated outcomes, for example quality of life and neuro-cognitive function, are only reported in lower quality studies, including uncontrolled observational studies, which have many limitations affecting their application to clinical practice.

No evidence was found to determine whether or not sapropterin is a cost-effective treatment option for adults or children with PKU.

Other treatments

No other treatments are generally considered at the same stage in the treatment pathway for phenylketonuria as sapropterin.

6. Conclusion

The studies included in this evidence review suggest that sapropterin reduces blood phenylalanine concentrations and increases phenylalanine tolerance in adults and children with PKU compared with phenylalanine restricted diet alone. These effects appear to be maintained with long-term treatment. People treated with sapropterin in the studies were able to increase the natural protein in their diet and a small number were able to eat a normal, non-restrictive diet.

The impact of sapropterin on development and day-to-day living is less clear. Sapropterin does not appear to improve physical growth, neuro-motor development or global functioning compared with diet alone, although these outcomes were reported in fewer studies. Sapropterin did not improve overall ADHD symptoms in people with PKU, although improvements were reported for inattention symptoms. No improvements in executive functioning were observed in adults treated with sapropterin, although improvements in elements of executive functioning were reported in children. Quality of life and neuro-cognitive function / intelligence were poorly reported, and the poor quality of the studies reporting these outcomes prevent firm conclusions being made.

All the studies assessed response to sapropterin treatment before starting the drug, although the methods used to do this varied. Only people with a positive response were continued on sapropterin.

Adverse events were relatively common in people treated with sapropterin, although these were generally mild to moderate in severity, and did not require treatment to be stopped. Adverse events reported in studies included upper respiratory tract infections, headache, vomiting, rhinorrhoea, upper abdominal pain, dizziness, diarrhoea and pyrexia.

7. Evidence summary table

Study Design	Population characteristics	Interv ention	Outcome measure type	Outcome measures	Results	Quality of Evidence Score	Applicability
Study reference	1: <u>Levy et al. 2007</u>		_				
P1-Double- blind, randomised control trial 89 adults and children aged years (mean a 20.4 years, 58 male) with	children aged ≥8 years (mean age 20.4 years, 58% male) with phenylketonuria responsive to	Sapropterin 10 mg/kg Placebo	Primary Clinical effectiveness Secondary	Change in blood phenylalanine concentration from baseline to week 6 Proportion of	The mean change in the sapropterin group was -235.9 micromol/litre (from 842.7 micromol/litre at baseline) The mean change in the placebo group was +2.9 micromol/litre (from 888.3 micromol/litre at baseline) Statistically significant difference between groups of -254 micromol/litre (SD 52.5), p=0.0002 In the sapropterin group, 17% (7/41) had	7/10	Direct study focusing on people with the indication and characteristics interest
	as a reduction of 30% or more in blood phenylalanine concentration after sapropterin 10 mg/kg for		Clinical effectiveness	patients with blood phenylalanine concentration below 600 micromol/ litre at week 6	blood phenylalanine levels <600 micromol/litre at screening. This increased to 54% (22/41) by week6. In the control group, 19% (9/47) had blood phenylalanine levels<600 micromol/litre at screening. This increased to 23% (11/47) by week 6.		

	8 days)		Secondary	Adverse events	Across the whole study population,		
	Darticipantoworo		Catatu		55/88 participants (63%) reported a total of		
	Participants were		Safety		148 adverse events.		
	required to have blood				Adverse events possibly related to drug-		
	phenylalanine				treatment were reported by 23% (11/47) of		
	≥600 micromols/				people in the sapropterin group and 20%		
	litre				(8/41) of people in the placebo group. There		
	(450 micromols/				was no statistically significant difference		
	litre after protocol				between groups(p=0.8).		
	amendment)						
					No participants withdrew from the study due		
					to adverse events.		
					The most common adverse events in the		
					sapropterin group were upper respiratory		
					tract infection (17%), headache (10%),		
					vomiting (5%), diarrhoea (5%) and pyrexia		
					(5%)		
were comparable	across treatment arms				eported and the study would appear to be adequ	ıately powered. Baœliı	ne characteristics
Study reference 2	2: <u>Lee et al. 2008</u>						
P1-Open-label	26 centres in North	All participants received	Primary	Plasma	Across all doses of sapropterin the mean	7/10	Direct study
extension study	America and	sapropterin.		phenylalanine	plasma phenylalanine concentration reduced		focusing on
to Levy et al.	Europe, including		Clinical	concentration	from 844.0 micromol/litre at baseline to		people with the
2007	centres in the UK.	There were 3 treatment phases:	effectiveness		645.2 micromol/litre at week 10. This		indicationand
					reduction was maintained until week 22		characteristics of
	80 adults and	Forced dose-titration period			(652.2 micromol/litre).		interest
	children aged ≥8	(6 weeks): sapropterin 5, 10 and					
	years (mean age	20 mg/kg/day consecutively for 2			Mean change in phenylalanine from baseline		
	20.4 years, 59%	weeks each			to week 22 was -190.5 micromol/litre.		
	male) who had						
	previously taken	Dose analysisperiod (4 weeks):					

	part in the RCT by Levy et al. 2007, provided they had received ≥80% of the scheduled doses in a previous phase II study.	sapropterin 10 mg/kg/day Fixed dose period (12 weeks): sapropterin 5, 10 or 20 mg/kg/day, dose determined by phenylalanine levels at weeks 2 and 6 of the dose titration phase.					
			Secondary Safety	Adverse events	In total, 260 adverse events were reported by 68/80 participants (85%). No participants withdraw from the study due to adverse events. 82/260 adverse events (32%) in		
					31/80 participants (39%) were considered possibly or probably related to sapropterin treatment. Adverse events probably related to sapropterin were upper abdominal pain, nausea, headache, dizziness and increased alanine amino-transferase.		
adherence to sape	ropterin in a previous tr	open-label, extension study to a dou ial, this may have introduced bias. A usions on the relative efficacy and sa	II participantsare a	accounted for.	neansthe study is susceptible to bias. The study	required participantst	ohave had good
P1-Double- blind, randomised control trial	15 centres across Europe and the United States. 45 children (mean age 7.5, 58% male) with phenylketonuria responsive to	Sapropterin 20 mg/kg/day Placebo All participants maintained their phenylalanine-restricted diet throughout the study. Beginning at week 3,	Primary Clinical effectiveness	Mean phenylalanine supplement tolerated at week 10 compared with baseline Participants had	At week 10, people in the sapropterin group tolerated a mean increase in phenylalanine supplements of 20.9 mg/kg/day (95% confidence interval [CI] 15.4 to 26.4, p<0.0001 compared with baseline, participants received 0 mg/kg/day at baseline). People in the placebo group tolerated a mean phenylalanine supplement	8/10	Direct study focusing on people with the indication and characteristics of interest

sapropterinphenylalanine supplements wereto maintain goodof 2.9 mg/kg/day at week 10.treatment (definedintroduced, the dose of whichblood	
30% or more in achieve the maximum control, defined treatment groups was 17.7 mg/kg/day (95%	
blood phenylalanine dose possible as CI 9 to 27, p<0.001)	
phenylalanine while maintaining good control <360 micromol/	
concentration after (defined asblood phenylalanine litre.	
sapropterin concentration <360 micromol/	
20 mg/kg for litre Secondary Mean total At week 10, the total phenylalanine intake in	
8 days and a blood phenylalanine the sapropterin group reached phenylalanine (supplements 43.8 mg/kg/day (SD 24.6) from	
concentration of effectiveness plus diet) approximately 16.3 mg/kg/day at baseline	
300 micromol/litre tolerated at week (p<0.0001).	
or less on day 8)	
Inclusion criteria: with baseline. People treated with placebo had a mean total Phe intake of 23.5 mg/kg/day (SD 12.6)	
aged 4 to 12 Participantshad compared with 16.8 mg/kg/day at baseline	
years, estimated to maintain good (no statistically significant difference). blood	
tolerance phenylalanine	
≤1,000 mg/day,	
currently controlled	
with	
phenylalanine-	
restricted diet	
(mean blood Secondary Adverse events A total of 128 adverse events were reported	
phenylalanine	
concentration Safety frequently reported adverse events by people	
≤480 micromol/ taking sapropterin were rhinorrhoea (21%),	
litre over the headache (21%) and cough (15%).	
6 months before	
the study and at Adverse events considered to be possibly	
screening) related to study treatment were reported by a	
similar proportion of people in the sapropterin	
group (27%) and the placebo group (25%).	
Two serious adverse events occurred: 1	
streptococcal infection in the sapropterin	
group and 1 case of appendicitis in the	

allocation was con characteristics we The study is limite	cealed. All participants re comparable across to	sare accounted for, and intention-to-	treat analysis wa	scarried out. A power	placebo group. Neither were considered to be related to study treatment. No participants withdraw from the study due to adverse events. Randomisation and blinding methods are reporte realculation is reported and the study would appe		
P1-Open-label extension study to Lee et al. 2008 and Trefz et al. 2009	15 centres in the United States, Canada and Europe (including sites in the UK) 111 people with PKU responsive to sapropterin who had completed Lee et al. 2008 and Trefz et al. 2009, or withdrew from Trefz et al. 2009 due to elevated phenylalanine concentrations. Mean age was 16.4 years (range 4 to 50) and 60.4% were male.	Sapropterin 5 mg to 20 mg/kg/day No control The mean duration of treatment was 658.7 days(range 56 to 953 days)	Primary Safety	Adverse events	Adverse events were reported by 93/111 participants (83.8%), with 37/111 participants (33.3%) reporting drug- related adverse events. The most common drug-related AEs were viral gastroenteritis, vomiting, and headache (each occurring in 4.5% of participants) 21/111 participants (18.9%) withdraw from the study early. 3 participants withdrew because of drug- related adverse events (difficulty concentrating, clinically significant decreased platelet count, and intermittent diarrhoea).	8/10	Direct study focusing on people with the indication and characteristics o interest

run-in RCTs. All participants are accounted for.

The lack of a control arm prevents conclusions on the relative safety of sapropterin.

Study reference 5: Muntau et al. 2017

P1-Open-label,	22 centresin 9	Sapropterin 10 mg/kg/day/could	Drimon	Change in	Properihad phonylalaping	7/10	Direct study
		Sapropterin 10 mg/kg/day (could	Primary	ŭ	Prescribed phenylalanine	7/10	•
randomised	countries,	be increased to 20 mg/kg/day	Clinical	phenylalanine	At week 26, people treated with sapropterin		focusing on
control trial	including 2 centres	after 4 weeks if phenylalanine		tolerance from			people with the
	in the UK.	tolerance not increased by >20%	effectiveness	baseline to	could tolerate significantly more		indication and
	FC shildren e ned	compared with baseline)		week 26	phenylalanine supplement (80.6 mg/kg/day)		characteristics of
	56 children aged			Dhandalaring	compared with for people treated with diet		interest
	less than 4 years	Dietonly		Phenylalanine	alone (50.1 mg/kg/day), adjusted between		
	(mean age			tolerance was	group difference 30.5 mg/kg/day, 95% Cl		
	21 months, 59%			defined as the	18.7 to 42.3, p<0.001.		
	male) with			daily amount of			
	sapropterin			phenylalanine	Dietary phenylalanine		
	responsive			that could be	At work 26, people treated with concenterin		
	phenylketonuria.			ingested while	At week 26, people treated with sapropterin		
	The mean end of			keeping mean	could tolerate 75.7 mg/kg/day of dietary		
	The mean age at			blood	phenylalanine, compared with		
	diagnosis was 30 days. 46% of			phenylalanine	42.0 mg/kg/day in the diet only group.		
	· ·			concentration	Statistically significant difference between		
	participantswere			with target range	groups (adjusted difference between groups		
	diagnosed with			(120-	33.7 mg/kg/day, 95% Cl 21.4 to 45.9,		
	mild			360 micromol/	p<0.001).		
	hyperphenylalanin			litre) by dietary			
	aemia (HPA,			phenylalanine			
	phenylalanine 120-			adjustments.			
	600 micromol/						
	litre), 32.1% with mild		Secondary	Neuro-motor	No statistically significant difference between		
			Oliviaal	development	groupsin any neuro-motor developmental		
	phenylketonuria		Clinical		milestones at baseline, week 12 and week		
	(Phe 600-		effectiveness		26.		
	1,200 micromol/				The outboronate that most particle arts in		
	litre) and 21.4%				The authors note that most participants in		
	with classical				both treatment groupshad normal neuro-		
	phenylketonuria				motor development, including fine motor,		
					gross motor, language, personal function and		

(phenylalar	aino					1
(prenylaran >1,200 mic				social function.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
litre.						
		Secondary	Physical growth	No statistically significant between groups for		
		Coolinary	parameters	any physical growth parameters.		
		Clinical	Palamoters	any physical growin palalleters.		
		effectiveness		Participants in both treatment groups had		
		Chechveness		stable growth parameters, including body		
				mass index standard deviation score (SDS),		
				height SDS, maximum occipital-frontal head		
				circumference SDS and weight SDS.		
				circumetence SDS and weight SDS.		
		Secondary	Adverse events	The safety population included		
		Secondary	Auverse events			
		Sofoty		54 participants.		
		Safety		All participants across both treatment groups		
				(54/54, 100%) reported at least 1 adverse		
				events, 560 adverse events across both		
1				groups.		
				In the sapropterin group, 29.6% (8/27) of		
				participants reported a total of 31 adverse		
				events considered related to sapropterin		
				treatment. Adverse events considered related		
				to sapropterin included infections and		
				infestation (3/27, 11.1%), gastrointestinal		
				disorders (3/27, 11.1%) and amino acid		
				concentrations decrease (6/27, 22.2%).		
				Serious adverse events were reported by		
				3 people in the sapropterin group (11.1%,		
				5 events) and 1 person in the diet-only group		
				(3.7%, 2 events). Serious adverse events in		
				the sapropterin group included		
				gastroenteritis, rash and stomatitis, and in the		
				diet only group bronchiolitis and		
				bronchopneumonia.		

to-treat analysisu Strengths of the st outcomes, with re	sed for efficacy outcom udy include long follow	nes.	on of a number of patie		No participants withdraw from the study due to adverse events. y is susceptible to bias and confounding. All parti es. However, interpretation of results is limited by		
P1-Double- blind, randomised control trial (13 weeks) with open-label cross-over extension phase (13 weeks)	36 centres across the United States and Canada. 118 adults and children aged 8 year and over (mean age approximately 20 years, 58% male) with PKU responsive to sapropterin (defined as a blood phenylalanine concentration reduction of ≥20%). 38/118 participants (32%) had ADHD symptoms at baseline (mean age approximately 19 years, 61% male). 84% of	Sapropterin Placebo	Primary Clinical effectiveness Primary Clinical effectiveness	Change in ADHD symptomsat 13 weeks Measured in children by change in total score on the ADHD Rating Scale (ADHD RS, completed by parents of participants) Measured in adults by change in ADHD Self- Report Scale (ASRS) Change in global function at week 13 Proportion of participants with	Results reported for the sub-group of participants who were responsive to sapropterin and had ADHD symptoms at baseline (n=38) Participants in the sapropterin group had a change in ADHD RS/ASRS Total Score of -9.1 points (from a baseline score of 28.9) and participants in the placebo group a change of -4.9 points (from a baseline score of 31.2). No statistically significant difference between groups (between group difference -4.2, 95% CI -8.9 to 0.6, p=0.085).	8/10	Direct study focusing on people with the indication and characteristics of interest

 n anti ai n anta miti		a Oliviaal Olahat		1	
participants with		a Clinical Global	0.46 to 1.64, p=0.670).		
ADHD symptoms		Impression of			
were not taking		Improvement			
ADHD medication		(CGI-I) scale			
at baseline.		rating of 1 (very			
		much improved)			
		or 2 (much			
		improved)			
	Secondary	Executive	Results reported separately for participants		
		function at week	aged <18 yearsand ≥18 years		
	Clinical	13			
	effectiveness		Global Executive Composite (GEC)		
		Measured by the			
		Global Executive	Children and adolescents (<18 years)		
		Composite and			
		Index scores	At week 13, participantsaged <18 years in		
		from the	the sapropterin group had a change in GEC		
		Behaviour	score of -4.8 (from 63.9 at baseline),		
		Rating Inventory	compared with -0.7 in the placebo group.		
		of Executive	Statistically significant difference between		
		Function	groupsof -4.1 (95% CI -7.9 to -0.3,		
		(BRIEF)	p=0.034)		
		(DRIEF)			
			<u>Adults (≥18 years)</u>		
			At week 13, adults treated with sapropterin		
			had a change in GEC score of -9.1 points		
			(from 55.4 at baseline), compared with -8.1		
			points (from 59.2 at baseline) in the placebo		
			group. No statistically significant difference		
			between groups(-1.0, 95% CI -5.5 to 4.2,		
			p=0.661)		
			Metacognition Index (MI)		
			Children and adolescents (<18 years)		
			Atwork 12 people 19 years in an instant		
			At week 13, people <18 years in sapropterin		

-5.8 to 2.3, p=0.396)			group had a change in MIscore of -4.1 (from 64.9 at baseline) compared with +0.3 (from 66.6 at baseline) in the placebo group. Statistically significant between group difference of -4.4 (95% CI -8.5 to -0.2, p=0.038). Adults(218 years) No statistically significant difference at week 13 between sapropterin (-7.9 points from 54.2 at baseline) and placebo (-7.3 points from 54.2 at baseline). Between group difference of -0.5, 95% CI -5.3 to 4.2, p=0.824. Behav iour Regulation Index (BRI) Children and adolescents (<18 years) Participants aged <18 years treated with sapropterin had a mean change of -4.3 (from 59.6 at baseline) compared with -0.9 (from 56.9 at baseline) for people treated with placebo. The difference between groupswas not statistically significant treatment difference -3.4, 95% CI -6.8 to 0.0, p=0.053) Adults(>18 years) In adults there was no statistically significant difference of non 56.3 at baseline), between sapropterin (-7.9 (95% CI -5.8 to 2.3, p=0.396)
-----------------------	--	--	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

			Secondary	Adverse events	The most frequently reported adverse events		
			Secondary	Auverse events	in the sapropterin group during the 13 week		
			Safety		double-blind phase were headache (25.5%),		
			Salety		nasopharyngitis (11.2%) and diarrhoea		
					(10.2%).		
					Across the total 26 week study period, most		
					adverse events were mild or moderate in		
					severity (95%).		
					One adverse event led to a patient		
					withdrawing from the study, a case of		
					increased heart-rate, considered possibly or		
					probably due to treatment with sapropterin.		
Critical appraisa	Leummary: Thisisar	L nulticentre double-blind randomise	d controlled trial R	andomisation metho	dsare partially described by the authors and it is	not clear whether allo	cationwas
	•						
•	•	-			reported for the primary outcomes, although the	• • • •	be under-powered
for the ADHD outo	come. Some outcomes	are only report by age sub-group, for	urther reducing the	power of the study. I	Baseline characteristics were comparable across t	reatment arms.	
-			en-labelphase, the	e majority of efficacy of	outcomes are reported only of the double-blind ph	hase. A major strength	of the study is the
focus on patient-o	prientatedoutcomesno	t reported in older RCTs.					
Study reference	7: Longo et al. 2015						
P1-Open-label,	Multicentre study	Sapropterin	Primary	Neurocognitive	At baseline the average Full Scale	5/10	Direct study
prospective,	Munifeenine sludy	Sapioptenn	Timary	function,	Intelligence Quotient (FSIQ) score was not	5/10	focusing on
uncontrolled	Children aged 0	No control	Clinical	measured using:	significantly different from the population		people with the
study	to 6 years at		effectiveness	measured using.	norm of 100.		indication and
Sludy	screening with		chroniverhead	The Bayley			characteristics of
	PKU/ HPA and at			Scales of Infant	In total, 25 children had baseline and 2-year		
	least 2 blood			and Toddler	WPPSI-III and WISC-IV scores. There was		interest
	phenylalanine			Development 3rd	no statistically significant change in FSIQ		
	concentrations			Edition (Bayley-	score from baseline (103±12) compared with		
	≥360 micromol/			III) every	. , ,		
	litre taken at least			6 monthsfor	2-yearfollow-up (104±10,p=0.50).		
					Maan marga on the Bayloy III mars from		
	3 days apart.			children aged	Mean scores on the Bayley-III score from		
	The mean end of			0 to 29 months	baseline to year 2 were also maintained		
	The mean age at						
	enrolmentwas			The Wechsler	within the normative range of 100±1, with no significant change over time. Numerical		

 			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3.14 years and		Preschool and	results not reported. The authors report that	
36.4% were male.		Primary Scale of	after starting sapropterin, no infantor toddler	
The baseline blood		Intelligence, 3rd	received a score less than 85 on the Bayley-	
phenylalanine level		Edition (WPPSI-	III cognitive composite index.	
was		III) every 12		
331.2 micromol/		monthsfor		
litre.		children aged		
		30 monthsto		
65 children		6 years.		
remained in the				
study until the		The Wechsler		
6 month		Intelligence		
evaluation.		Scale for		
55 children		Children, Fourth		
remained in the		Edition (WISC-		
study until the		IV) every 2 years		
2 year evaluation.		for children aged		
		7 years and		
Participantswere		over.		
considered 'per-				
protocol'	Secondary	Blood	At baseline, phenylalanine levels were	
responders if they	·	phenylalanine	≤240 micromol/litre in 18/55 (33%) children.	
had a ≥30%	Clinical	levels	This increased to 35/52 (67%) at 6 months,	
reduction in mean	effectiveness		remaining at 32/50 (64%) at 2 years.	
blood				
phenylalanine	Secondary	Growth	Mean baseline z-scores for height (0.4±0.9),	
concentration		parameters-	weight (0.4±0.8) and head circumference	
(n=63) or 'clinical'	Clinical	height, weight	(0.3 ± 1.0) were slightly above the 50th	
responders if they	effectiveness	and head	percentile for the 2000 Centers for Disease	
had a <30%		circumference	Control and Prevention reference values.	
reduction in mean			These values were maintained throughout	
phenylalanine			the 2-year follow-up, with no statistically	
concentration but			significant change from baseline.	
maintained blood				
phenylalanine	Secondary	Adverse events	Adverse events considered possibly or	
within target range	coondary		probably related to sapropterin included	
(120 to	Safety		vomiting (12.7%), diarrhoea (10.9%), upper	
360 micromol/litre)	Caloty		respiratory tract infection (10.9%), abdominal	
despite dietary				
acquite aretary			l	

	phenylalanine			pain (9.1%) an	nd nasal congestion (9.1%).			
	intake (n=8).				rse events occurred, none of nsidered related to sapropterin.			
Critical appraisal summary: This is a prospective, observational study, which is susceptible to bias, confounding and other methodological problems. Outcome assessment was not blinded. There was no control group and outcomes are limited to comparisons of baseline to study end (2 years). This publication reports on a 2-year interim analysis of a 7-year study. The study appears to have a high drop-out rate between the 6-month and 2-year follow-up which the authors do not explain. Full numerical results are not reported for all outcomes, making interpretation and analysis difficult.								
The strength of this study is the inclusion of patient-orientated outcome, and this is the only included study to report on neurocognitive functioning/intelligence.								
Reference study 8: <u>Aldámiz-Echevarría et al. 2015</u>								

P1-	Conducted across	22 received sapropterin (or [6R]-	Clinical	Physical growth	No statistically significant difference in any	5/10	Direct study
Retrospective	14 Spanish	L-erythro-5,6,7,8-	effectiveness	parameters	growth parameter between the sapropterin		focusing on
longitudinal	hospitals	tetrahydrobiopterin before 2009)			and diet-only groups, and from baseline to 6-		people with the
study					or 12-months within either group.		indication and
	Children (0 to 4	Results are also reported for					characteristicso
	years) with	44 children treated with low Phe			Weight Z-score		interest
	phenylketonuria	diet alone.					
	responsive to				Sapropterin:baseline=-0.24, 12 months=		
	sapropterin.	Patients were followed up every			-0.19, p=0.552		
		6 months.					
					Diet only: baseline= -0.33, 12 months=		
					-0.48, p>0.05		
					Height Z-score		
					Sapropterin: baseline=-0.57, 12 months=		
					-0.52, p=0.887		
					Diet only: baseline = $-0.92, 12 \text{ months}=0.78,$		
					p>0.05		
					BMI Z-score		
					Sapropterin:baseline=0.17,12 months=		
					0.18, p=0.421		
					Diet only: baseline = 0.17 , $12 \text{ months} = -0.07$,		
					p>0.05		
					p>0.05		
					Growth rate Z-score		
					Sapropterin:baseline=0.96,12 months=		
					0.90, p= 0.433		
					0.00, p= 0.400		
					Diet only: baseline= 1.21, 12 months= 1.26,		
					p>0.05		
					p>0.00		
				30			

Critical appraisal summary: This is a retrospective, longitudinal study, which is susceptible to bias, confounding and other methodological problems. Outcome assessments were not blinded. A group of patients managed on diet alone were observed and comparisons made with this group.

Although the study is of low quality, the authors report detailed results for each group of patients, including some statistical analysis.

It should be noted that a study from the same research group published 2 years earlier is also included in this evidence review. It is not clear from the publications whether there is any overlap in study populations between the 2 studies, and it is possible that some patients were included in both studies.

Reference study 9: <u>Aldámiz-Echevarría et al. 2013</u>

P1-	13 centresin	Sapropterin (or [6R]-L-erythro-	Clinical	Physical growth	36 children treated with sapropterin and 72	5/10	Direct study
Retrospective	Spain	5,6,7,8-tetrahydrobiopterin	effectiveness	parameters	children managed using diet only were		focusing on
longitudinal		before 2009)		(height, weight,	followed up at 2 years. In the sapropterin		people with the
study	Children and			BMI and growth	group, 10/36 (28%) were managed on		indication and
	adolescentsaged	Dietalone		rate) at 2 year	sapropterin alone and ate normal diets.		characteristics of
	≤16 years with			follow-up			interest
	PKU				There was no statistically significant		
					difference from baseline to year 2 in mean Z-		
					score for any of the growth parameters for		
					patients treated with either sapropterin or diet		
					alone.		
					Weight Z-score		
					Sapropterin:baseline=-0.16, year2=-0.75, p=0.33		
					Diet only: baseline= -0.55, year 2= -0.52, p=0.71		
					Height Z-score		
					Sapropterin: baseline = -0.71 , year 2 = -0.73 , p=0.59		
					Diet only: baseline= -0.76, year 2= -0.90, p=0.53		

			BMI Z-score	
			Sapropterin: baseline=0.31, year 2= 0.37, p=0.63	
			Diet only: $baseline = -0.17$, $year 2 = -0.12$, p=0.30	
			Grow th rate (GR) Z-score	
			Sapropterin:baseline=0.15,year2=0.05, p=0.14	
			Diet only: baseline= 0.02, year 2=0.10, p=0.72	
	Clinical effectiveness	Physical growth parameters (height, weight, BMI and growth rate) at 5 year follow-up	10 children treated with sapropterin and 20 children managed with diet only were followed up at 5 years. The authors do not report how many children treated with sapropterin could eat normal diets. There was no statistically significant difference from baseline to year 5 in mean Z- score for any of the growth parameters for patients treated with either sapropterin or diet alone. Weight Z-score Sapropterin: baseline=-0.08, year 5=-0.10, p=0.89 Diet only: baseline=-0.01, year 5=-0.18, p=0.13 Height Z-score	
 			Sapropterin:baœline=-0.29, year 5= -0.52,	

	p=0.28 Diet only: baseline= -0.27 , year $5=-0.56$, $p=0.08$ BMI Z-score Sapropterin: baseline= 0.23 , year $5=0.12$, $p=0.54$ Diet only: baseline= 0.42 , year $5=0.04$, $p=0.14$ Grow th rate (GR) Z-score Sapropterin: baseline= 1.62 , year $5=0.41$, $p=0.60$ Diet only: baseline= 0.78 , year $5=0.34$,
	nd other methodological problems. Outcome assessments were not blinded. A group of rop in patient numbers between the 2 and 5 years follow-up, which is not fully explained by

the authors.

Although the study is of low quality, the authors report detailed results for each group of patients, including some statistical analysis.

It should be noted that a study from the same research group published 2 years later is also included in this evidence review. It is not clear from the publications whether there is any overlap in study populations between the 2 studies, and it is possible that some patients were included in both studies.

Reference study 10: Feldmann et al. 2017								
P1-Prospective	Single centre in	Sapropterin 20 mg/kg/day (n=46)	Clinical	Health-related	The KINDL ^R questionnaire was successfully	5/10	Direct study	
cohort study	Germany.		effectiveness	quality of life in	completed at baseline and 6 months by		focusing on	
		Diet only (n=66, participantshad		children and	38 children and adolescents (20 in the		people with the	
	112 adultsand	failed to respond to sapropterin)		adolescents	sapropterin group and 18 in the control		indication and	
	children (aged		r		group).		characteristics of	
	≥4 years) with	For people taking sapropterin,		Assessed using	,		interest	
	PKU (pre-	dietary phenylalanine was		the KINDL ^R	After 6 monthstreatment, there was no			

treatment blood phenylalarine ≥360 micromol/ litre).increased over 6 weeks through the addition of natural protein.questionnaire (Fragebogen zur Erfasung der gesundheitsbezo genen Lebensqualität bei Kinder und Jugendlichen; in responders if they had 230% reduction in blood Phe level following 2 weeks of sapropterin.increased over 6 weeks through the addition of natural protein.questionnaire (Fragebogen zur Erfasung der gesundheitsbezo genen Lebensqualität bei Kinder und Jugendlichen; in ensponders if they had 230%statistically significant difference between the sapropterin group and the control group, the KINDL ^R total score or any of the individual score or any of the individual score was 74.5 at baseline and 75.3 at 6 months (n the control group, the KINDL ^R total score was 74.9 at baseline and 76.6 at 6 months (n=0.737 between groups at 6 months).41.1% (46/112, 24 children) of participants responded to sapropterin andThe KINDL ^R questionnaire covers sapropterin andThe KINDL ^R total score was 74.9 at baseline and 76.6 at 6 months (n=0.737 between groups at 6 months).	
2360 micromol/ litre).Erfassung der gesundheitsbezo genen Lebensqualitättotal KINDL ^R score or any of the individual parameters.Participants were considered sapropterin responders if they had ≥30% reduction in blood Phe level followingDate of the sapropterin group, the KINDL ^R total score was 74.5 at baseline and 75.3 at 6 months. In the control group, the KINDL ^R total score was 74.9 at baseline and 76.6 at 6 months (p=0.737 between groups at 6 months).Paticipants reduction in blood Phe level followingQuestionnaire to assess the health-related quality of life of children and adolescents).These results were supported by the 49 parents who completed the KINDL R questionnaire (24 in the sapropterin group and 25 in the control group), which found no adolescents).41.1% (46/112, 24 children) of participants responded toThe KINDL R questionnaire coversThe KINDL R score was 74.9 at baseline and 76.6 at 6 months (p=0.737 between groups at 6 months).	
litre).gesundheitsbezo genen Lebensqualität bei Kindem und Jugendlichen; in responders if they had ≥30% reduction in blood Phe level following 2 weeks of sapropterin.gesundheitsbezo genen Lebensqualität English:parameters.41.1% (46/112, 24 children) of participants responded toyestionnaire coversgesundheitsbezo genen Lebensqualität English:In the sapropterin group, the KINDL [®] total 6 months. In the control group, the KINDL [®] total score was 74.5 at baseline and 75.3 at 6 months. In the control group, the KINDL [®] total score was 74.9 at baseline and 76.6 at 6 months.2 weeks of sapropterin.Genome assess the health-related quality of life of children and adolescents).These results were supported by the 49 parents who completed the KINDL [®] questionnaire (24 in the sapropterin group and 25 in the control group), which found no significant difference between groupsfor the individual parameters and total KINDL [®] score.	
Participantswere considered sapropteringenen Lebensqualität bei Kindern und Jugendlichen; in responders if they had ≥30% reduction in blod Phe level following 2 weeks of sapropterin.In the sapropterin group, the KINDL [®] total sore was 74.5 at baseline and 75.3 at 6 months. In the control group, the KINDL [®] total score was 74.9 at baseline and 76.6 at 6 months (p=0.737 between groups at 6 months).41.1% (46/112, 24 children) of participants responded toAtt.1% (46/112, 24 children) of participants responded toThe KINDL [®] questionnaire coversThese results were supported by the 49 parents who completed the KINDL [®] sore.	
Participants were considered sapropterinLebensqualität bei Kindem und Jugendlichen; in emoths. In the sapropterin group, the KINDL ^R total score was 74.5 at baseline and 75.3 at 6 months. In the control group, the KINDL ^R total score was 74.9 at baseline and 76.6 at 6 months in the control groups the KINDL R total score was 74.9 at baseline and 76.6 at 6 months (p=0.737 between groups at 6 months).Phe level following 2 weeks of sapropterin.Auestionnaire to quality of life of adolescents).These results were supported by the 49 parents who completed the KINDL R questionnaire (24 in the sapropterin group, which found no ad 25 in the control group), which found no and 25 in the control group), which found no <b< td=""><td></td></b<>	
considered sapropterinbei Kindem und Jugendlichen; in English:score was 74.5 at baseline and 75.3 at 6 months. In the control group, the KINDLR total score was 74.9 at baseline and 76.6 at 6 months (p=0.737 between groups at 6 months).reduction in blood Phe level following 2 weeks of sapropterin.monthsmonths (p=0.737 between groups at 6 months).41.1% (46/112, 24 children) of participants responded tomonthsmonths41.1% (46/112, 24 children) of participants responded toThe KINDLR questionnaire coversThe KINDLR score.	
sapropterin responders if they had ≥30% reduction in blood Phe level following 2 weeks of sapropterin.Jugendlichen; in English: Questionnaire to assess the quality of life of children and adolescents).6 months. In the control group, the KINDL ^R total score was 74.9 at baseline and 76.6 at 6 months (p=0.737 between groups at 6 months).41.1% (46/112, 24 children) of participants responded to7 he KINDL ^R questionnaire questionnaire covers7 hese results were supported by the 49 parents who completed the KINDL ^R guestionnaire (24 in the sapropterin group and 25 in the control group), which found no significant difference between groupsfor the individual parameters and total KINDL ^R	
responders if they had ≥30% English: total score was 74.9 at baseline and 76.6 at 6 months (p=0.737 between groups at 6 months (p=0.737 between groups at 6 months). Phe level following 2 weeks of sapropterin. Phelevel following 10 months These results were supported by the 49 parents who completed the KINDL ^R questionnaire (24 in the sapropterin group and 25 in the control group), which found no significant difference between groupsfor the individual parameters and total KINDL ^R score.	
had ≥30% reduction in blood Phe level following 2 weeks of sapropterin.Questionnaire to assess the health-related quality of life of children and adolescents).6 months (p=0.737 between groups at 6 months).41.1% (46/112, 24 children) of participants responded to7 mess results were supported by the 49 parents who completed the KINDL ^R questionnaire (24 in the sapropterin group and 25 in the control group), which found no significant difference between groupsfor the individual parameters and total KINDL ^R score.	
reduction in blood Phe level following 2 weeks of sapropterin. 41.1% (46/112, 24 children) of participants responded to	
Phe level following health-related 2 weeks of quality of life of sapropterin. thealth-related 41.1% (46/112, adolescents). 24 children) of The KINDL ^R participants questionnaire responded to covers	
2 weeks of sapropterin. quality of life of children and adolescents). These results were supported by the 49 parents who completed the KINDL ^R questionnaire (24 in the sapropterin group and 25 in the control group), which found no significant difference between groupsfor the individual parameters and total KINDL ^R score. 41.1% (46/112, 24 children) of participants responded to The KINDL ^R score.	
sapropterin. children and adolescents). 49 parents who completed the KINDL ^R questionnaire (24 in the sapropterin group and 25 in the control group), which found no significant difference between groupsfor the individual parameters and total KINDL ^R score. 41.1% (46/112, 24 children) of participants responded to The KINDL ^R significant difference between groupsfor the individual parameters and total KINDL ^R	
41.1% (46/112, adolescents). questionnaire (24 in the sapropterin group and 25 in the control group), which found no significant difference between groupsfor the individual parameters and total KINDL ^R score. 41.1% (46/112, The KINDL ^R significant difference between groupsfor the individual parameters and total KINDL ^R 24 children) of participants responded to covers score.	
41.1% (46/112, and 25 in the control group), which found no 24 children) of The KINDL ^R significant difference between groups for the participants questionnaire individual parameters and total KINDL ^R responded to score.	
24 children) of The KINDL ^R significant difference between groups for the participants questionnaire individual parameters and total KINDL ^R responded to covers score.	
participants questionnaire individual parameters and total KINDL ^R responded to covers score.	
responded to covers score.	
concenterin and	
sapropterin and 6 separate	
continued parameters	
treatment. (physical state,	
Participants who	
did not respond to well-being, self-	
sapropterin esteem, family,	
(66/112, 58.9%) friendsand	
remained in the school) and total	
study on diet score.	
alone, acting as	
controls. Clinical Health-related The ULQIE questionnaire was completed by	
effectiveness quality of life in 49 parents (24 in the sapropterin group and	
parents 25 in the control group).	
Assessed using At 6 months, there was no significant	
the UIm Quality difference in total ULQIE score between	
of Life Inventory parents of children in the sapropterin group	
for Parents (83.9 points) compared with the control group	
(ULQIE) (78.7 points, p=0.158). There was no	
significant difference in the individual	

			Clinical effectiveness	questionnaire. The ULQIE questionnaire covers 6 separate parameters (physical & daily functioning, satisfaction with the support from the family, emotional stability, self- development and well-being) Phenylalanine tolerance	parameters, except for emotional stability, which significantly higher in the sapropterin group compared with the control group at 6 months (11.6 and 10.2 points respectively, p=0.037). In people treated with sapropterin, mean phenylalanine intake was 13.8 mg/kg at baseline, increasing to 35.2 mg/kg after 6 weeks treatment with sapropterin. Changes in phenylalanine tolerance not reported for sapropterin non-responders treated with diet alone.		
non-respondersm	anaged by diet alone v				r methodological problems. Outcome assessment esponders are an inherently different population.		
	11: <u>Cazzorla et al. 201</u>	_					
P1-Prospective observational	2 centres in Italy	Sapropterin 10 mg/kg/day (mild PKU)	Exploratory	Quality of life, measured using:	The authors state that there was no significant difference in patients or parents	5/10	Direct study focusing on
study	43 people with PKU. 22 participants had	Diet alone (classical PKU)	Clinical effectiveness	The Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory	perception of quality of life compared with normative children's data. In children, the mean quality of life score was		people with the indication and characteristics of
	mild PKU (blood			(PedsQL) in	significantly higher in the sapropteringroup		interest

Phe 600 to	children (6 to (mild PKU) compared with the diet alone					
1,200 micromol/	16 years) group (classical PKU, regression coefficient					
litre) responsive to	15.12, 95% Cl 3.08 to 27.15, p=0.02).					
sapropterin, and	The World					
were treated with	Health Mean quality of life scores were also					
sapropterin. Mean	Organisation significantly higher in adults with mild PKU					
age 15.4 years.	QoL score treated with sapropterin compared with adults					
	(WHOQOL-100) with classical PKU managed by dietalone					
21 participants had	in adults (regression coefficient 7.89, 95% Cl 2.47 to					
classical PKU	(≥18 years) 13.31, p=0.01).					
(blood Phe						
>1,200 micromol/	Note-actual quality of life scores are not					
litre) and were	reported in the paper.					
treated with diet						
alone. Mean age						
18.9 years.						
Critical appraisal summary: This is a prospective observational study, which is susceptible to bias, confounding and other methodological problems. Outcome assessment was not blinded. Comparison						
between sapropterin and diet alone is limited by the different populations receiving each intervention (mild PKU and classical PKU respectively). The authors do not report numerical results for the main study						
outcome, reporting differences between groups as regression coefficient only. Study participants were all drawn from 2 centres in Italy, limiting the generalisability of the results.						

8. Grade of evidence table

Use of sapropterin compared with diet only (with or without placebo) to treat phenylketonuria (PKU)								
Outcome Measure	Reference	Quality of Evidence Score	Applicability	Grade of Evidence	Interpretation of Evidence			
Blood phenylalanine	Levy et al. 2007	7/10	Direct study	A	This outcomes looked at how much phenylalanine (Phe) is in a person's blood. Raised phenylalanine levels are thought to result in neurotoxicity.			
concentration					The studies found that people treated with sapropterin for up to 22 weeks had a reduction in blood phenylalanine concentrations of approximately 200 micromol/litre from baseline. This reduction is significantly higher than seen in			

	Use of sapropterin compared with diet only (with or without placebo) to treat phenylketonuria (PKU)				
Outcome Measure	Reference	Quality of Ev idence Score	Applicability	oility Grade of Evidence Interpretation of Evidence	
	Lee et al. 2008	7/10	Direct study		people treated with placebo, whose phenylalanine levels remained the approximately the same after 6 weeks treatment. These studies suggest that sapropterin significantly reduces phenylalanine blood concentration. Care should be taken when interpreting the results of biochemical outcomes, as changes to a blood test may not translate to benefits in more patient orientated outcomes, for example, cognitive functioning.
	Trefz et al. 2009	8/10	Direct study		This outcome looks at how much phenylalanine (from diet and supplements) a person with PKU can tolerate whilst keeping their blood phenylalanine levels within a predefined range (<360 micromol/litre). The studies found that people treated with sapropterin for 10 to 26 weeks could tolerate approximately 20-30 mg/kg more phenylalanine each day compared with people on phenylalanine restricted diet alone.
Phenylalanine tolerance	Muntau et al. 2017	7/10	Direct study	A	These studies suggest that sapropterin significantly increases the amount of phenylalanine a person with PKU can consume each day and still keep their phenylalanine blood levels within acceptable limits. An increased phenylalanine tolerance could in theory allow a person with PKU to have a more relaxed diet containing more natural protein. However the actual benefit of increased tolerance to patients can only be determined using patient-orientated outcomes, for example, physical growth.
Physical growth	Muntau et al. 2017	7/10	Direct study	в	Thisoutcome looks at how fast children with PKU grew when treated with sapropterin. A number of parameters were measured for growth, including weight, height and head circumference. Most studies reported growth using Z-scores (standard score), which report how many standard deviations from the mean a measurement sits. A Z-score of 0 is equal to the mean, or the 50th percentile for growth. A Z-score of -1 is equal to 1 standard deviation below the mean, and a Z-score of +1 is equal to 1 standard deviation above the mean.
	Longo et al. 2015	5/10	Direct study		No statistically significant changes in growth were observed in any study. In Muntau et al. 2017 there was no significant difference between sapropterin and diet alone for any growth parameter, with children in both treatment arms having stable growth parameters. Longo et al. 2015 found that, at baseline children had Z-scores slightly above the 50th percentile for height, weight and head circumference (0.4, 0.4 and 0.3 respectively). These values were maintained over the 2 year follow-up, with no statistically significant difference from baseline to 2 years. Two studies

	Use of sapropterin compared with diet only (with or without placebo) to treat phenylketonuria (PKU)						
Outcome Measure	Reference	Quality of Ev idence Score	Applicability	Grade of Evidence	Interpretation of Evidence		
	Aldámiz- Echevarría et al. 2015	6/10	Direct study		by Aldámiz-Echevarría et al. (2015 and 2013) found no difference from baseline to study end (12 months and up to 5 years) for any growth parameter Z-score for either the sapropterin or the diet only group. These results suggest that sapropterin did not significantly increase physical growth compared with dietalone,		
	Aldámiz- Echevarría et al. 2013	6/10	Direct study		despite children treated with sapropterin having a larger intake of natural protein.		

Attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) symptoms	Burton et al. 2015	8/10	Direct study	В	Thisoutcome looks at symptoms of ADHD in adults and children with PKU. Symptoms were measured using the ADHD Rating Scale (ADHD RS) in children and the Adult Self-Report Scale (ASRS) in adults. 38 children and adults with PKU had ADHD symptoms at baseline. After 13 weeks treatment there was no significant difference in change from baseline in ADHD RS/ASRS Total Score for sapropterin compared with placebo (between group difference –4.2, 95% CI –8.9 to 0.6, p=0.085). Analysis of the ADHD RS/ASRS subscales-hyperactivity/impulsivity and inattention found no significant difference between treatments in the hyperactivity/impulsivity subscale (between group difference –1.0, 95% CI –3.4 to 1.4, p=0.396), and a significant difference in favour of sapropterin in the inattention subscale (between group difference –3.4, 95% CI –6.6 to –0.2, p=0.036). These results suggest that sapropterin does not improve overall ADHD symptoms compared with diet alone. Inattention symptoms of ADHD may be improved by sapropterin, although care should be taken when interpreting the positive benefits of secondary outcomes in studies that failed to demonstrate a significant result for the primary outcome.
Executive function	Burton et al. 2015	8/10	Direct study	В	This outcome looks at executive functions, a set of cognitive processes that control behaviour, and are needed for basic cognitive processes including paying attention, planning/organisation and managing tasks. Impaired executive function hasbeen reported in people with PKU. Executive function was measured using the Global Executive Composite (GEC), Metacognition Index (MI) and Behaviour Regulation Index (BRI) scores from the Behaviour Rating Inventory of Executive Function (BRIEF). There wasno significant difference in any measure of executive function for adults treated with sapropterin compared with placebo. Children and adolescents treated with sapropterin had significantly improved GEC (treatment difference -4.1, 95% CI -7.9 to -0.3, p=0.034) and MI (treatment difference -4.4, 95% CI -8.5 to -0.2, p=0.038) scores compared with placebo. An improvement in BRI score was also observed in children and adolescents, however difference between sapropterin and placebo was not statistically significant (-3.4, 95% CI -6.8 to 0.0, p=0.053) These results suggest that children and adolescents treated with sapropterin may have improvements in elements of executive function. The authorsnote that improvements were driven by better scores on the MI scale, which includes initiation, working memory, planning/organising, organizing materials, and monitoring. The results also suggest that initiating sapropterin therapy in adults is unlikely to improve executive function.

Neuro-cognitive function / Intelligence	Longo et al. 2015	5/10	Direct study	С	Thisoutcome looked at neuro-cognitive functioning / intelligence in children with PKU, reported as Full Scale Intelligence Quotient (FSIQ) score. The scoring tool used was dependent on the age of the child. The study reported that at baseline the average FSIQ score was not significantly different to the population average of 100 (numerical results not reported). Over the 2 year follow-up there was no significant change in FSIQ score, leading the authors to conclude that sapropterin preserved neurocognitive function. These results suggest that children treated with sapropterin for 2 years did not have a statistically significant reduction in neuro-cognitive function. These results should be interpreted with caution, since there was no control group it is not clear whether people treated with diet alone would have a significant reduction in neuro-cognitive function during the 2 year study period. The study is further limited by the authors not reporting numerical results for all neuro-cognitive scoring tools.
Neuro-motor development	Muntau et al. 2017	7/10	Direct study	В	This outcome looked at neuro-motor development, covering 4 developmental milestones: personal-social function, language, fine motor skills and gross motor skills. At week 26 there was no significant difference between sapropterin and diet only for any of the developmental milestones. Results only presented diagrammatically. These results suggest that sapropterin does not improve neuro-motor development compared with diet alone. These results should be interpreted with caution as the study only had a 6 month follow-up period, the longer term effects on development are not reported. It is also not clear from the published paper how the individual developmental milestones were assessed, and whether validated methods were used.
Global function	Burton et al. 2015	8/10	Direct study	В	 This outcome looks at global functioning, assessed using the Clinical Global Impression of Improvement (CGI-I) scale. The CGI-I scale involves a person's clinician scoring how much their condition has changed from baseline. The scale is scored from 1 (very much improved) to 7 (very much worse). There was no significant difference in the proportion of people 'much improved' (score 2) or 'very much improved' (score 1) in the sapropterin group (21.7%) compared with the placebo group (26.3%, p=0.670). These results suggest that sapropterin does not improve global function (as assessed by a clinician) compared with placebo. These results should be interpreted with caution as the double-blind phase of the trial was short (13 weeks), and the long-term effect of sapropterin on global function is not known.

	Feldmann et al. 2017	5/10	Direct study		This outcome looked at the impact of sapropterin treatment on quality of life. Both studies investigated patient quality of life, with Feldmann et al. (2017) also reporting on parent quality of life. Different scoring tools were used to assess quality of life.
Health-related quality of life	Cazzorla et al. 2014	5/10	Direct study	В	The studies report conflicting results, with no improvements in quality of life observed for children with PKU or their parents in the study by Feldmann et al. In Cazzorla et al., people with mild PKU treated with sapropterin reported significantly better quality of life compared with people with classical PKU treated with diet alone. It is not clear whether sapropterin improves quality of life in children and adults with PKU.
	Levy et al. 2007	7/10	Direct study		
	Trefz et al. 2009	8/10	Direct study		
Adverse events	Burton et al. 2015	8/10	Direct study		This outcome looked at the number of people reporting adverse events (side effects) while taking sapropterin. Across the 6 studies the incidence of reported adverse events was high, although the majority of events were mild or moderate in severity, and few people withdrew from studies due to adverse events. The most frequently reported
Auverse events	Muntau et al. 2017	7/10	Direct study	A	adverse events in the clinical trials included upper respiratory tract infections, headache, vomiting, rhinorrhoea, up abdominal pain, dizziness, diarrhoea and pyrexia.
	Lee et al. 2008	7/10	Direct study		
	Burton et al. 2011	8/10	Direct study		

9. Literature search terms

Search strategy

Which intervention, treatment or approach should be used? Dietary control without sapropterin What is/are the main alternative/s to compare with the intervention being considered? Dietary control without sapropterin O-Outcomes Critical to decision-making: What is really important for the patient? Which outcomes should be used? Halt disease progression and maintain good quality of life measured through; • Improvements in phenylalanine control • Prevention of loss of IQ function What is really important for the patient? Which outcomes should be considered? Examples include intermediate or short-term outcomes; mortality; morbidity and quality of life; treatment complications; adverse effects; rates of relapse; late morbidity • Improvement in cognitive profile (e.g. executive functions). • Increase in dietary protein intake • Quality of life • Quality of life • Long term outcomes (neuro-psychiatric outcomes in adults) • Adverse effects • Cost effectiveness	P – Patients/ Population Which patients or populations of patients are we interested in? How can they be best described? Are there subgroups that need to be considered?	Patients with PKU who are not pregnant
What is/are the main alternative/s to compare with the intervention being considered? Detaily control without sapropterin O - Outcomes Critical to decision-making: What is really important for the patient? Which outcomes should be considered? Examples include intermediate or short-term outcomes; mortality; morbidity and quality of life; treatment complications; adverse effects; rates of relapse; late morbidity and re-admission Important to decision-making: • Improvement in cognitive profile (e.g. executive functions). • Improvement in cognitive profile (e.g. executive functions). • Improvement in cognitive profile (e.g. executive functions). • Increase in dietary protein intake • Quality of life • Long term outcomes (neuro-psychiatric outcomes in adults) • Adverse effects • Cost effectiveness	I – Intervention Which intervention, treatment or approach should be used?	Sapropterin
O - Outcomes Halt disease progression and maintain good quality of life measured through; What is really important for the patient? Which outcomes should be considered? Examples include intermediate or short-term outcomes; mortality; morbidity and quality of life; treatment complications; adverse effects; rates of relapse; late morbidity and re-admission Important to decision-making: Important to decision-making: Important to decision-making: Impo	What is/are the main alternative/s to compare with the	Dietary control without sapropterin
Accumultions / limits complicate controls	What is really important for the patient? Which outcomes should be considered? Examples include intermediate or short-term outcomes; mortality; morbidity and quality of life; treatment complications; adverse effects; rates of relapse; late morbidity	 Halt disease progression and maintain good quality of life measured through; Improvements in phenylalanine control Prevention of loss of IQ function Important to decision-making: Improvement in cognitive profile (e.g. executive functions). Increase in dietary protein intake Quality of life Long term outcomes (neuro-psychiatric outcomes in adults) Adverse effects

Inclusion and exclusion criteria e.g. study design, date limits, patients, intervention, language, setting, country etc.

- Study designs: systematic reviews, randomised controlled trials, comparative studies and case series (n>5)
- Non-English language studies will be excluded.
- Letters and other non-peer reviewed publications will not be included.
- Papers published longer than 20 years ago will be excluded.



10. Search strategy

Database search strategies

Database: Medline

Platform: Ovid

Version: <1946 to July 17, 2018>

Search date: 18/7/18

Number of results retrieved: 349

Search strategy:

- 1 sapropterin.ti,ab. (94)
- 2 tetrahydrobiopterin.ti,ab. (2994)
- 3 bh4.ti,ab. (1511)
- 4 thb.ti,ab. (587)
- 5 kuvan.ti,ab. (19)
- 6 phenoptin.ti,ab. (2)
- 7 or/1-6 (4021)
- 8 Phenylketonuria*.ti,ab. (5039)
- 9 PKU.ti,ab. (2353)
- 10 "folling* disease".ti,ab. (33)
- 11 ((pah or "phenylalanine hydroxylase") adj2 deficien*).ti,ab. (299)
- 12 "oligophrenia phenylpyruvica".ti,ab. (9)
- 13 hyperphenylalaninaemia.ti,ab. (263)
- 14 Phenylketonurias/ (6548)
- 15 or/8-14 (7533)
- 16 7 and 15 (550)
- 17 limit 16 to english language (503)
- 18 limit 17 to yr="1998 -Current" (390)
- 19 limit 18 to (letter or historical article or comment or editorial or news) (18)
- 20 18 not 19 (372)

- 21 animals/ not humans/ (4441716)
- 22 20 not 21 (351)
- 23 remove duplicates from 22 (349)

Database: Medline in-process

Platform: Ovid

Version: <July 17, 2018>

Search date: 18/7/18

Number of results retrieved: 41

Search strategy:

- 1 sapropterin.ti,ab. (16)
- 2 tetrahydrobiopterin.ti,ab. (124)
- 3 bh4.ti,ab. (323)nct
- 4 thb.ti,ab. (83)
- 5 kuvan.ti,ab. (4)
- 6 phenoptin.ti,ab. (0)
- 7 or/1-6 (467)
- 8 Phenylketonuria*.ti,ab. (279)
- 9 PKU.ti,ab. (212)
- 10 "folling* disease".ti,ab. (0)
- 11 ((pah or "phenylalanine hydroxylase") adj2 deficien*).ti,ab. (25)
- 12 "oligophrenia phenylpyruvica".ti,ab. (0)
- 13 hyperphenylalaninaemia.ti,ab. (5)
- 14 Phenylketonurias/(0)
- 15 or/8-14 (326)
- 16 7 and 15 (41)
- 17 limit 16 to english language (41)
- 18 limit 17 to yr="1998 -Current" (41)

Database: Medline epubs ahead of print

Platform: Ovid

Version: <July 17, 2018>

Search date: 18/7/18

Number of results retrieved: 9

Search strategy:

- 1 sapropterin.ti,ab. (6)
- 2 tetrahydrobiopterin.ti,ab. (26)
- 3 bh4.ti,ab. (32)
- 4 thb.ti,ab. (12)
- 5 kuvan.ti,ab. (2)
- 6 phenoptin.ti,ab. (1)
- 7 or/1-6 (55)
- 8 Phenylketonuria*.ti,ab. (48)
- 9 PKU.ti,ab. (33)
- 10 "folling* disease".ti,ab. (0)
- 11 ((pah or "phenylalanine hydroxylase") adj2 deficien*).ti,ab. (3)
- 12 "oligophrenia phenylpyruvica".ti,ab. (0)
- 13 hyperphenylalaninaemia.ti,ab. (1)
- 14 Phenylketonurias/(0)
- 15 or/8-14 (52)
- 16 7 and 15 (10)
- 17 limit 16 to english language (10)
- 18 limit 17 to yr="1998 -Current" (9)

Database: Medline daily update

Platform: Ovid

Version: <July 17, 2018>

Search date: 18/7/18

Number of results retrieved: 1

Search strategy:

- 1 sapropterin.ti,ab. (0)
- 2 tetrahydrobiopterin.ti,ab. (1)
- 3 bh4.ti,ab. (2)
- 4 thb.ti,ab. (2)
- 5 kuvan.ti,ab. (0)
- 6 phenoptin.ti,ab. (0)
- 7 or/1-6 (4)
- 8 Phenylketonuria*.ti,ab. (2)
- 9 PKU.ti,ab. (0)
- 10 "folling* disease".ti,ab. (0)
- 11 ((pah or "phenylalanine hydroxylase") adj2 deficien*).ti,ab. (0)
- 12 "oligophrenia phenylpyruvica".ti,ab. (0)
- 13 hyperphenylalaninaemia.ti,ab. (0)
- 14 Phenylketonurias/(1)
- 15 or/8-14 (2)
- 16 7 and 15 (1)
- 17 limit 16 to english language (1)
- 18 limit 17 to yr="1998 -Current" (1)

Database: Embase

Platform: Ovid

Version: <1974 to 2018 July 17>

Search date: 18/7/18

Number of results retrieved: 414

Search strategy:

- 1 sapropterin.ti,ab. (258)
- 2 tetrahydrobiopterin.ti,ab. (4150)

- 3 bh4.ti,ab. (3034)
- 4 thb.ti,ab. (924)
- 5 kuvan.ti,ab. (91)
- 6 phenoptin.ti,ab. (2)
- 7 sapropterin/(503)
- 8 or/1-7 (6219)
- 9 Phenylketonuria*.ti,ab. (6644)
- 10 PKU.ti,ab. (3862)
- 11 "folling* disease".ti,ab. (25)
- 12 ((pah or "phenylalanine hydroxylase") adj2 deficien*).ti,ab. (462)
- 13 "oligophrenia phenylpyruvica".ti,ab. (3)
- 14 hyperphenylalaninaemia.ti,ab. (321)
- 15 phenylketonuria/ (9036)
- 16 or/9-15 (10108)
- 17 8 and 16 (962)
- 18 limit 17 to english language (895)
- 19 limit 18 to yr="1998 -Current" (778)
- 20 19 not (letter or editorial).pt. (762)

21 20 not (conference abstract or conference paper or conference proceeding or "conference review").pt. (455)

- 22 nonhuman/ not human/ (4196047)
- 23 21 not 22 (426)
- 24 remove duplicates from 23 (414)

Database: Cochrane Library – incorporating Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR); DARE; CENTRAL; HTA database; NHS EED

Platform: Wiley

Version:

CDSR - Issue 7 of 12, July 2018

DARE – Issue 2 of 4, April 2015

CENTRAL – Issue 6 of 12, June 2018 HTA – Issue 4 of 4, October 2016

NHS EED – Issue 2 of 4, April 2015

Search date:

```
Number of results retrieved: CDSR - 1; DARE - 1; CENTRAL - 36; HTA - 1; NHS EED - 0
Search strategy:
#1
       sapropterin:ti,ab
                             38
#2
       tetrahydrobiopterin:ti,ab
                                    91
#3
       bh4:ti.ab
                     64
#4
       thb:ti.ab
                     52
#5
       kuvan:ti.ab
                     12
                            0
#6
       phenoptin:ti,ab
#7
       {or #1-#6}
                     180
#8
       Phenylketonuria*:ti,ab
                                    239
#9
       PKU:ti,ab
                     199
#10
       "folling* disease":ti,ab
                                    0
#11
       ((pah or "phenylalanine hydroxylase") near/2 deficien*):ti,ab
                                                                        9
#12
       "oligophrenia phenylpyruvica":ti,ab 0
```

- #13 hyperphenylalaninaemia:ti,ab 12
- #14 [mh Phenylketonurias] 129

#15 {or #8-#14} 315

#16 #7 and #15 Publication Year from 1998 to 2018 39

11. Evidence selection

A literature search was conducted which identified 519 references (see <u>search strategy</u> for full details). These references were screened using their titles and abstracts and 39 references were obtained and assessed for relevance. Of these, 11 references are included in the evidence summary. The remaining 28 references were excluded and are listed in the following table.

Study reference	Reason for exclusion
Burlina A and Blau N (2009) Effect of BH(4) supplementation on phenylalanine tolerance. Journal of inherited metabolic disease 32(1), 40–5	Study not prioritised (not the best available evidence)
	Data on phenylalanine tolerance reported in higher quality studies
Burton BK, Bausell H, Katz R et al. (2010) Sapropterin therapy increases stability of blood phenylalanine levels in patients with BH4-responsive phenylketonuria (PKU).	Study not prioritised (not the best available evidence)
Molecular genetics and metabolism 101(2-3), 110–4	Data on mean phenylalanine concentrations reported in higher quality studies
Christ SE, Moffitt AJ, Peck D et al. (2013) The effects of tetrahydrobiopterin (BH4) treatment on brain function in individuals with phenylketonuria. NeuroImage. Clinical 3,	Study not prioritised (not the best available evidence)
539–47	Data on cognitive function reported in higher quality studies
Couce ML, Boveda MD, Valerio E et al. (2012) Long-term pharmacological management of phenylketonuria, including patients below the age of 4 years. JIMD reports 2, 91–6	Study not prioritised (not the best available evidence)
	Data on phenylalanine tolerance reported in higher quality studies
Demirdas S, Maurice-Stam H, Boelen Carolien CA et al. (2013) Evaluation of quality of life in PKU before and after introducing tetrahydrobiopterin (BH4); a prospective multi-	Study not prioritised (not the best available evidence)
center cohort study. Molecular genetics and metabolism 110 Suppl, S49–56	Data on quality of life reported in higher quality studies
Douglas TD, Ramakrishnan U, Kable JA et al. (2013) Longitudinal quality of life analysis in a phenylketonuria cohort provided sapropterin dihydrochloride. Health and	Study not prioritised (not the best available evidence)
Quality of Life Outcomes 11, 218	Data on quality of life reported in higher quality studies
Gokmen OH, Lammardo AM, Motzfeldt K et al. (2013) Use of sapropterin in the management of phenylketonuria: seven case reports. Molecular genetics and metabolism 108(2),	Study not prioritised (not the best available evidence)
109–11	Data on phenylalanine tolerance and mean phenylalanine concentrations reported in higher quality studies

Hennermann JB, Roloff S, Gebauer C et al. (2012) Long- term treatment with tetrahydrobiopterin in phenylketonuria: treatment strategies and prediction of long-term responders.	Study not prioritised (not the best available evidence)
Molecular genetics and metabolism 107(3), 294–301	Data on phenylalanine tolerance reported in higher quality studies
Huijbregts SCJ, Bosch AM, Simons Quirine A, et al. (2018) The impact of metabolic control and tetrahydrobiopterin treatment on health related quality of life of patients with	Study not prioritised (not the best available evidence)
early-treated phenylketonuria: A PKU-COBESO study. Molecular genetics and metabolism S1096-7192(18)30274- 9. doi: 10.1016/j.ymgme	Data on quality of life reported in higher quality studies
Humphrey M, Nation J, Francis I et al. (2011) Effect of tetrahydrobiopterin on Phe/Tyr ratios and variation in Phe levels in tetrahydrobiopterin responsive PKU patients.	Study not prioritised (not the best available evidence)
Molecular genetics and metabolism 104(1-2), 89–92	Data on mean phenylalanine concentrations reported in higher quality studies
Keil S, Anjema K, van Spronsen et al. (2013) Long-term follow-up and outcome of phenylketonuria patients on sapropterin: a retrospective study. Pediatrics 131(6), e1881–	Study not prioritised (not the best available evidence)
8	Data on phenylalanine tolerance, mean phenylalanine concentrations and quality of life reported in higher quality studies
Lambruschini N, Perez-Duenas B, Vilaseca MA et al. (2005) Clinical and nutritional evaluation of phenylketonuric patients on tetrahydrobiopterin monotherapy. Molecular genetics and	Study not prioritised (not the best available evidence)
metabolism 86 Suppl 1, S54–60	Data on clinical outcomes reported in higher quality studies
Leuret O, Barth M, Kuster A et al. (2012) Efficacy and safety of BH4 before the age of 4 years in patients with mild phenylketonuria. Journal of inherited metabolic disease	Study not prioritised (not the best available evidence)
35(6), 975–81	Data on phenylalanine tolerance and mean phenylalanine concentrations reported in higher quality studies
Lindegren M, Krishnaswami S, Reimschisel T et al. (2013) A Systematic Review of BH4 (Sapropterin) for the Adjuvant Treatment of Phenylketonuria. JIMD reports 8, 109–19	Systematic review – individual studies considered for inclusion
Longo N, Arnold GL, Pridjian G et al. (2015) Long-term safety and efficacy of sapropterin: the PKUDOS registry experience. Molecular genetics and metabolism 114(4),	Study not prioritised (not the best available evidence)
557–63	Data on phenylalanine tolerance reported in higher quality studies
Moseley KD, Ottina MJ, Azen CG et al (2015) Pilot study to	Study not prioritised (not

evaluate the effects of tetrahydrobiopterin on adult individuals with phenylketonuria with measurable maladaptive behaviors. CNS spectrums 20(2), 157–63	the best available evidence) Data on mean phenylalanine concentrations reported in higher quality studies
Scala I, Concolino D, Della C et al. (2015) Long-term follow- up of patients with phenylketonuria treated with tetrahydrobiopterin: a seven years experience. Orphanet journal of rare diseases 10, 14	Study not prioritised (not the best available evidence) Data on phenylalanine tolerance and mean
	phenylalanine concentrations reported in higher quality studies
Shintaku H and Ohura T (2014) Sapropterin is safe and effective in patients less than 4-years-old with BH4- responsive phenylalanine hydrolase deficiency. The Journal of pediatrics 165(6), 1241–4	Study not prioritised (not the best available evidence) Data on mean
	phenylalanine concentrations reported in higher quality studies
Singh RH, Quirk ME, Douglas TD et al. (2010) BH(4) therapy impacts the nutrition status and intake in children with phenylketonuria: 2-year follow-up. Journal of inherited	Study not prioritised (not the best available evidence)
metabolic disease 33(6), 689–95	Data on phenylalanine tolerance and growth reported in higher quality studies
Somaraju UR and Merrin M (2015) Sapropterin dihydrochloride for phenylketonuria. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (3)	Systematic review – individual studies considered for inclusion
Tansek MZ, Groselj U, Kelvisar M et al. (2016) Long-term BH4 (sapropterin) treatment of children with hyperphenylalaninemia - effect on median Phe/Tyr ratios.	Study not prioritised (not the best available evidence)
Journal of pediatric endocrinology & metabolism : JPEM 29(5), 561–6	Data on phenylalanine tolerance and mean phenylalanine concentrations reported in higher quality studies
Thiele AG, Rohde C, Mutze U et al. (2015) The challenge of long-term tetrahydrobiopterin (BH4) therapy in phenylketonuria: Effects on metabolic control, nutritional	Study not prioritised (not the best available evidence)
habits and nutrient supply. Molecular genetics and metabolism reports 4, 62–7	Data on phenylalanine tolerance and mean phenylalanine concentrations reported in higher quality studies
Trefz FK, Muntau AC, Lagler FB et al. (2015) The Kuvan Adult Maternal Paediatric European Registry (KAMPER) Multinational Observational Study: Baseline and 1-Year Data in Phenylketonuria Patients Responsive to Sapropterin.	Study not prioritised (not the best available evidence) Data on long-term safety
JIMD reports 23, 35–43	reported in higher quality studies

Trefz FK, Scheible D, Frauendienst-Egger GKH et al. (2005) Long-term treatment of patients with mild and classical phenylketonuria by tetrahydrobiopterin. Molecular genetics and metabolism 86 Suppl 1, S75–80	Study not prioritised (not the best available evidence) Data on mean phenylalanine concentrations and growth reported in higher quality studies
Trefz FK, van Spronsen FJ, MacDonald A et al. (2015) Management of adult patients with phenylketonuria: survey results from 24 countries. European journal of pediatrics 174(1), 119–27	Study not prioritised (not the best available evidence) Data on long-term safety reported in higher quality studies
Unal O, Gokmen-Ozel H, Coskun T et al. (2015) Sapropterin dihydrochloride treatment in Turkish hyperphenylalaninemic patients under age four. The Turkish journal of pediatrics 57(3), 213-8	Study not prioritised (not the best available evidence) Data on phenylalanine tolerance and mean phenylalanine concentrations reported in higher quality studies
White DA, Antenor-Dorsey JV, Grange DK et al. (2013) White matter integrity and executive abilities following treatment with tetrahydrobiopterin (BH4) in individuals with phenylketonuria. Molecular genetics and metabolism 110(3), 213–7	Study not prioritised (not the best available evidence) Data on clinical outcomes reported in higher quality studies
Ziesch B, Weigel J, Thiele A, et al. (2012) Tetrahydrobiopterin (BH4) in PKU: effect on dietary treatment, metabolic control, and quality of life. Journal of inherited metabolic disease 35(6), 983–92	Study not prioritised (not the best available evidence) Data on phenylalanine tolerance and quality of life reported in higher quality studies

12. Related NICE guidance and NHS England clinical policies

NHS England has published Clinical Commissioning Policies on:

The use of Sapropterin in children with Phenylketonuria (2015)

Sapropterin For Phenylketonuria: Use in Pregnancy (2013)

13. Terms used in this evidence summary

Abbreviations

Term	Definition
ADHD	Attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder
BH4	Tetrahydrobiopterin
FSIQ	Full Scale Intelligence Quotient
KINDL ^R	Fragebogen zur Erfassung der gesundheitsbezogenen Lebensqualität bei Kindern und Jugendlichen;
	In English: Questionnaire to assess the health-related quality of life of children and adolescents
PKU	Phenylketonuria
PKU-QOLQ	PKU quality of life questionnaire
RCT	Randomised controlled trial
ULQIE	Ulm Quality of Life Inventory for Parents

Medical definitions

Term	Definition
Amino acid	Building blocks of protein
Hyperphenylalaninaemia	Raised phenylalanine concentration in the blood and body fluids
Phenylalanine	An essential amino acid provided by protein in the diet
Phenylalanine hydroxylase	Enzyme responsible for the conversion of phenylalanine
Phenylketonuria	An autosomal recessive genetic disorder characterised by an increase of phenylalanine in the blood and body fluids

14. References

Aldámiz-Echevarría L, Bueno MA, Couce ML et al. (2013) <u>Tetrahydrobiopterin therapy vs</u> <u>phenylalanine-restricted diet: Impact on growth in PKU</u>. Molecular genetics and metabolism 109(4), 331–8

Aldamiz-Echevarria L, Bueno MA, Couce ML et al. (2015) <u>6R-tetrahydrobiopterin treated</u> <u>PKU patients below 4 years of age: Physical outcomes, nutrition and genotype</u>. Molecular genetics and metabolism 115(1), 10–16

Burton BK, Nowacka M, Hennermann JB et al. (2011) <u>Safety of extended treatment with</u> <u>sapropterin dihydrochloride in patients with phenylketonuria: results of a phase 3b study</u>. Molecular genetics and metabolism 103(4), 315–22

Burton B, Grant M, Feigenbaum A et al. (2015) <u>A randomized, placebo-controlled, doubleblind study of sapropterin to treat ADHD symptoms and executive function impairment in children and adults with sapropterin-responsive phenylketonuria. Molecular genetics and metabolism 114(3), 415–24</u>

Cazzorla C, Cegolon L, Burlina AP et al. (2014) <u>Quality of Life (QoL) assessment in a cohort</u> of patients with phenylketonuria. BMC Public Health 14, 1243

Feldmann R, Wolfgart E, Weglage J et al. (2017) <u>Sapropterin treatment does not enhance</u> <u>the health-related quality of life of patients with phenylketonuria and their parents</u>. Acta Paediatrica 106(6), 953–959

Lee P, Treacy EP, Crombez E et al. (2008) <u>Safety and efficacy of 22 weeks of treatment with</u> <u>sapropterin dihydrochloride in patients with phenylketonuria</u>. American Journal of Medical Genetics. 146A(22), 2851–9

Levy HL, Milanowski A, Chakrapani A et al. (2007) <u>Efficacy of sapropterin dihydrochloride</u> (tetrahydrobiopterin, 6R-BH4) for reduction of phenylalanine concentration in patients with phenylketonuria: a phase III randomised placebo-controlled study. The Lancet 370(9586), 504–10

Longo N, Siriwardena K, Feigenbaum A et al. (2015) <u>Long-term developmental progression</u> in infants and young children taking sapropterin for phenylketonuria: a two-year analysis of <u>safety and efficacy</u>. Genetics in Medicine 17(5), 365–73

Muntau AC, Burlina A, Eyskens F et al. (2017) <u>Efficacy, safety and population</u> <u>pharmacokinetics of sapropterin in PKU patients <4 years: results from the SPARK open-</u> <u>label, multicentre, randomized phase IIIb trial</u>. Orphanet Journal of Rare Diseases 12(1), 47

Trefz FK, Burton BK, Longo N et al. (2009) <u>Efficacy of sapropterin dihydrochloride in</u> <u>increasing phenylalanine tolerance in children with phenylketonuria: a phase III, randomized,</u> <u>double-blind, placebo-controlled study</u>. The Journal of Pediatrics 154(5), 700-7