

Engagement Report for Service Specifications	
Unique Reference Number	1739
Service Specification Title	Spinal Cord Injury Service Specification
Clinical Reference Group	Spinal Services
Which stakeholders were contacted to be involved in service specification development?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Multidisciplinary Association for Spinal Cord Injury Professionals • British Association of Spinal Cord Injury Specialists • Spinal Injuries Association • Backup (Patient Group) • Spinal CRG chair • Spinal Services CRG members • Spinal Cord Injury CRG Sub-Group
Identify the relevant Royal College or Professional Society to the service specification and indicate how they have been involved	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Multidisciplinary Association for Spinal Cord Injury Professionals • British Association of Spinal Cord Injury Specialists <p>Members of the working group who developed the specification</p>
Which stakeholders have actually been involved?	As above plus the registered stakeholders for the Spinal Services CRG
Explain reason if there is any difference from previous question	Stakeholders were asked to comment on whether the draft specification requires consultation and whether it changes the current service based on the published specification
Identify any particular stakeholder organisations that may be key to the service specification development that you have approached that have yet to be engaged. Indicate why?	All appropriate stakeholders were engaged

<p>How have stakeholders been involved? What engagement methods have been used?</p>	<p>Sharing of the draft, extended working group for comments, sent for two weeks feedback to registered stakeholders</p>
<p>What has happened or changed as a result of their input?</p>	<p>Some change in wording to document.</p>
<p>How are stakeholders being kept informed of progress with service specification development as a result of their input?</p>	<p>Working group informed of feedback and next steps.</p>
<p>What level of wider public consultation is recommended by the CRG for the NPOC Board to agree as a result of stakeholder involvement?</p>	<p>A recommendation not to undertake public consultation was considered and assured by PPVAG. This was because the revised specification will not result in a change to the service model.</p>