

Engagement Report for Clinical Commissioning Policies

| Uniona | 1862 |
|--|--|
| Unique Reference Number | |
| Policy Title | Vedolizumab for refractory ulcerative colitis in pre-pubescent children |
| Clinical Reference Group | Paediatric Medicine |
| | |
| Which stakeholders were contacted to be involved in policy development? | A policy working group was established in line with NHS England's standard methods. |
| | The draft policy proposition was sent to the following groups for comment: |
| | Clinical Reference Group (CRG); and |
| | Registered stakeholders for the CRG. |
| | After a review of the CRG Stakeholder List the following organisations were identified as 'missing' and were contacted and invited to take part in stakeholder testing: Crohn's and Colitis UK (CCUK), Circa, British Society of Paediatric Gastroenterology Hepatology and Nutrition (BSPGHAN), Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health (RCPCH), ECCO and Takeda. |
| Identify the relevant Royal College or Professional Society to the policy and indicate how they have been involved | BSPGHAN members are on the PWG and BSPGHAN and RCPCH were included in stakeholder testing. |
| Which stakeholders have actually been involved? | Policy working group members and CRG stakeholders. In addition, all the stakeholders identified 'missing' from the list (see above) were invited to take part in stakeholder testing. |
| Explain reason if there is any difference from previous question | Not applicable. |

| | 1 |
|---|--|
| Identify any particular stakeholder organisations that may be key to the policy development that you have approached that have yet to be engaged. Indicate why? | None. |
| How have stakeholders been involved? What engagement methods have been used? | Stakeholders have been involved in the policy working group. The draft policy proposition was distributed to stakeholders via email for a period of 2 weeks of stakeholder testing between 9 th to 23 rd August 2019, in preparation for public consultation. |
| | Stakeholders were asked to submit their responses via email, using a standard response and in line with NHS England's standard processes for developing clinical commissioning policies. There were 7 individual respondents making a total of 19 responses. |
| What has happened or changed as a result of their input? | Of the 7 individual respondents, 4 stakeholders actively supported the draft policy proposition. |
| | Respondents stated several papers which in their opinion did not support the policy proposition. These papers have been reviewed by the Public Health Lead, and an evidence review has been completed. These papers have been deemed to be non-material and therefore do not alter the recommended commissioning position. |
| | Consequently, there have been no changes to the policy proposal. |
| How are stakeholders being kept informed of progress with policy development as a result of their input? | All stakeholders (including CRG members and registered stakeholders) will be notified when the draft policy proposition goes out to public consultation and will be kept informed of the policy's progress through NHS England's consultation process and portal website. |
| What level of wider public consultation is | The CRG recommends public consultation for 30 days |

| recommended | |
|----------------|--|
| by the CRG for | |
| the NPOC | |
| Board to agree | |
| as a result of | |
| stakeholder | |
| involvement? | |