

**SPECIALISED COMMISSIONING – RESPONSE TO AMENDMENTS REQUESTED TO EVIDENCE REVIEW DURING ENGAGEMENT OR CONSULTATION**

<b>URN</b>	1909
<b>POLICY TITLE</b>	Stereotactic ablative radiotherapy (SABR) for patients with previously irradiated, locally recurrent primary pelvic tumours (All ages)
<b>CRG:</b>	Radiotherapy
<b>NPOC:</b>	Cancer
<b>Date</b>	29 July 2020

<b>Description of comments during consultation (If studies have been suggested please provide a list of references)</b>	<p>Stakeholders asked for the findings and relevance of the following study to be considered in the development of the policy proposition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Jereczek-Fossa B, Rojas D, et al. Reirradiation for isolated local recurrence of prostate cancer: Mono-institutional series of 64 patients treated with salvage stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT). Br J Radiol. 2019; 91: 20180494.</i></li> </ul>
<b>Action taken by Public Health lead</b>	The paper was reviewed against the original PICO criteria for the evidence review that informed the policy proposition.
<b>Outcome for studies suggested during consultation</b>	
<b>1. Evidence already identified during the evidence review</b>	The evidence did not meet this criterion.
<b>2. New evidence identified by stakeholders that does not fall within PICO and search methodology</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Jereczek-Fossa B, Rojas D, et al. Reirradiation for isolated local recurrence of prostate cancer: Mono-institutional series of 64 patients treated with salvage stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT). Br J Radiol. 2019; 91: 20180494.</i> Some patients received more than 5 fractions.</li> </ul>

<b>3. New evidence identified by stakeholders that falls within PICO and search methodology but does not materially affect the conclusions of the existing evidence review</b>	The evidence did not meet this criterion.
<b>4. New evidence identified by stakeholders that falls within PICO and search methodology, that does materially affect the conclusions of the existing evidence review. Updated evidence review to be undertaken (agreed with CET)</b>	The evidence did not meet this criterion.