

Engagement Report for Service Specifications

Unique Reference Number	1738
Specification Title	Complex Spinal Surgery (all ages)
Clinical Reference Group	Spinal Services
Which stakeholders were contacted to be involved in service specification development?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Spinal Services CRG members including spinal surgery GIRFT lead • All stakeholders registered with the NHS England Specialised Commissioning Spinal Services CRG • Regional commissioning leads • Regional Spinal Networks
Identify the relevant Royal College or Professional Society to the specification and indicate how they have been involved	<p>The CRG includes representatives from the</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • British Association of Spine Surgeons (BASS) • British Scoliosis Society (BSS)
Which stakeholders have actually been involved?	All of the above
Explain reason if there is any difference from previous question	N/A
Identify any particular stakeholder organisations that may be key to the specification development that you have	All of the appropriate stakeholders were engaged

<p>approached that have yet to be engaged. Indicate why?</p>	
<p>How have stakeholders been involved? What engagement methods have been used?</p>	<p>The Specialised Commissioning Engagement Team sent the draft service specification and EHIA documents to all registered stakeholders with a response form to gather feedback.</p> <p>In line with published methods, stakeholders were asked to respond within a period of 14 days (14-28/10/20)</p>
<p>What has happened or changed as a result of their input?</p>	<p>A total of 9 responses were received.</p> <p>The Specification Working Group (SWG) reviewed and gave due consideration to all of the feedback received.</p> <p>The majority of comments related to issues outside of the scope of the service specification. In summary this related to services commissioned by CCGs, the structure and function of the Regional Spinal Networks, rehabilitation services and standards of competency/training requirements for nursing/AHP staff.</p> <p>The draft service specification was amended where the SWG agreed it was necessary and/or appropriate.</p> <p>This resulted in some minor changes to the wording to provide greater clarity in sections relating to key requirements.</p>
<p>How are stakeholders being kept informed of progress with specification development as a result of their input?</p>	<p>Via email and through the CRG where the relevant societies are represented.</p>
<p>What level of wider public consultation is recommended by the CRG for the PoC to agree as a result of stakeholder involvement?</p>	<p>The PoC will complete a 13q assessment. PPVAG will be asked to provide assurance that public consultation is not required in this instance, as service provision will remain unchanged as a result of this service specification for complex spinal surgery which updates the existing version first published in 2013.</p>