

## Engagement Report for Service Specifications

<b>Unique Reference Number</b>	1765
<b>Specification Title</b>	<b>Specialist Burn Care Services (Children)</b>
<b>Lead Commissioner</b>	Carolyn Young
<b>Clinical Reference Group</b>	Major Trauma & Burns
Which stakeholders were contacted to be involved in service specification development?	National Burn Care Operational Delivery Group (ODN Group) Paediatric Critical Care Society Burn Care Patient & Public Voice Representative Major Trauma & Burns Clinical Reference Group (CRG) Paediatric Medicine CRG British Burns Association
Identify the relevant Royal College or Professional Society to the specification and indicate how they have been involved	British Burns Association Paediatric Critical Care Society  Both members of service specification working group
Which stakeholders	British Burns Association Paediatric Critical Care Society

<p>have actually been involved?</p>	
<p>Explain reason if there is any difference from previous question</p>	
<p>Identify any particular stakeholder organisations that may be key to the specification development that you have approached that have yet to be engaged. Indicate why?</p>	<p>All relevant stakeholders were sent the proposed service specification as part of stakeholder engagement.</p>
<p>How have stakeholders been involved? What engagement methods have been used?</p>	<p>Monthly meetings with National ODN Group.</p> <p>Patient &amp; Public Voice (PPV) representation on the specification working group.</p> <p>The specification working group included burn care consultants' nurses, Allied Health Professionals, PPV and patient group representation. The group was chaired by a paediatric intensive care consultant independent of any burn care centre.</p> <p>Stakeholder testing – there were 10 responses received, all of which were supportive of the proposed service specification. Specification Working Group</p>
<p>What has happened or changed as a result of their input?</p>	<p>Minor amendments have been made to the specification as follows:</p> <p>Section 1.5 – pathway amended to reflect early rehabilitation Section 3.1 - The definition of a child and young person has been clarified</p>

	<p>Section 4.1 - revised to reflect the aims of the service</p> <p>Section 4.1 – additional aim included to support injury prevention strategies</p> <p>Section 5.3 – the collaborative working arrangements have been clarified to include ‘shadowing’</p> <p>EHIA amended to reflect the higher incidence of burn injuries in people from racial and ethnic minorities</p>
<p>How are stakeholders being kept informed of progress with specification development as a result of their input?</p>	<p>Through the specification working group, the Major Trauma &amp; Burns CRG and monthly meetings with the national ODN group</p>
<p>What level of wider public consultation is recommended by the CRG for the NPOC Board to agree as a result of stakeholder involvement?</p>	<p>None as there is no material change to the patient pathway or model of care provided. There is no reduction or changes to the number of providers of this service.</p> <p>The change is to support clinical practice for the very small number of severely injured children requiring specialist burn care within a paediatric burn care centre through a national MDT.</p>