

Technology Enabled Care (TEC) Referral Guidance

Direction on how TEC providers are to establish referral pathways into Urgent Community Response (UCR)

Developed in partnership with



The voice of technology enabled care



Quality · Safety · Innovation

Linking UCR and TEC provision

- There are over 200 Technology Enabled Care (TEC) providers nationally, providing:
 - Equipment such as social (pendant) alarms and reactive sensors which are linked to a 24-hour monitoring centre to respond and make onward referrals e.g. to 999 emergency services when the equipment is triggered;
 - TEC responder services which will attend the location of triggered equipment.
- Many local authorities commission TEC providers, but some provision is private. There is also variability in the size and footprint of provision; some is local to one place (regional), whereas other TEC providers provide a nationwide service.
- The Top 10 national TEC providers account for approximately 1 million alarm connections across the country, which is 50% of all alarm connections and deal with over 93% of alarm calls without further referral to ambulance or emergency services. The [TEC Services Association \(TSA\) Quality Standards Framework \(QSF\)](#) is a UKAS accredited scheme for TEC providers which aligns with the standards of a regulated service.
- Ambulance services across the country receive around 2,600 calls every day from TEC providers, equating to around 3% of all calls. Redirecting a proportion of these calls into Urgent Community Response (UCR) services can ease pressure on ambulance services, and most importantly, ensure people receive the rapid response they need to remain well, and in the place they call home.
- Since 2022 all ICBs have been asked to consider deploying QSF-certified TEC responder services to people who fall, as clinically appropriate, and suggested that onward referral shifted from a 999-first model, to one which referred direct into UCR.
- Whilst a proportion of calls will always require an emergency response for life-saving treatment, some areas have already seen massive benefits in connecting their UCR services and TEC provision such as providing an alternative pathway to avoid unnecessary ambulance dispatch or conveyance and hospital admissions. Dudley has seen the number of ambulance callouts for injured fallers reduced by 85%, with an audit by North West Ambulance Service (NWAS) showing 68% of callouts remaining at home.

A six-month audit by the North West Ambulance Service showed:

3000

calls from telecare services to North West Ambulance Service

32%

(959) required conveyance to ED

45%

(1347) were seen and treated

23%

(694) 'hear and treat' disposition (referred elsewhere), or closed by the Emergency Operations Centre

(Audit, Oct 2020-April 2021)



Maturity indicators for UCR and TEC pathways

Indicators to self-assess the maturity
of your local provision



5 'gold standard' indicators for areas to work towards

1

There are direct referral routes in place from QSF-certified TEC responder services into the UCR service, which don't rely on clinician-to-clinician referral

2

Only activity which is inappropriate for UCR response is directed to 999, with responsibility being maintained by the TEC provider until this transfer of care occurs

3

The UCR service has open lines of communication into its QSF-certified TEC services, which limit the amount of rejected referrals due to capacity limitations.

4

Training on appropriate referral reasons is available to local QSF-certified TEC services, with the UCR service having an 'accept all' approach to referrals from providers who've completed this training.

5

Induction and refresher training for TEC to UCR pathway is co-designed and co-delivered frequently, with at least quarterly PDSA (Plan-Do-Study-Act) approaches to understand the reason for and mitigate against future rejected referrals.

Guiding pathway principles

How to establish and maintain pathways from TEC providers into UCR

Identify and understand

Whether in operation already or in set-up stage, UCR services and the QSF-accredited TEC services should undertake a baseline assessment identifying the current pathway as a foundation for accepting referrals from national TEC Providers as well.

Points for consideration are detailed opposite.

Identify:

Who your local TEC providers and UCR services are, using NHS Service Finder and TSA website

- Ensuring TEC providers are certified to [TSA Quality Standards Framework](#)
- Establish point of contacts in both, and start to build relationships
- Some TEC providers cover large footprints – it may be helpful to work across larger than place footprints to design the pathway, including having consistent referral criteria where possible

What data is obtainable, using it to understand the size of the opportunity a pathway presents. Suggested data, which may come from community services data set, ambulance services and TEC providers, could include:

- the overall volume of calls, split by the amount currently passed to 999 / other services
- the time of day calls are made
- the acuity of the calls, and general reasons for calls (e.g., falls, generally unwell)
- geographical coverage of alarm users (and calls)
- any health inequalities which might be exacerbated

What existing arrangements and relationships are in place between TEC services and other local services

- Such as falls services, in line with [going further on our winter resilience plans](#) and self-referral routes to falls response services, in line with NHS operational guidance
- If not in place, then begin to develop

Understand:

What is already in place by undertaking a mapping exercise, where appropriate, to determine:

- what UCR and Community/Falls Responder and Falls services are available locally, and the services they provide
- their hours of operation (if not the standard 8am – 8pm, 7 days a week UCR offer) along with the hours they accept referral, and any 'acceptance criteria'
- capacity of the services to a) accept referrals from TEC providers and b) any training needs in both the UCR services and the TEC providers to ensure a safe, effective pathway
- how referrals are made to them, confirming they will take direct calls from locally operating QSF-certified TEC providers

The gaps and opportunities to develop the offer of local responder services, if not in place

Information governance barriers and how to overcome them

- Such as information flow of patient data from TEC to UCR

Opportunity to enhance or expand pathways, including exploring joint commissioning of services or alternative financial models

Cultural barriers, such as between clinicians and non-registered colleagues, working to identify how these can be overcome.

Develop and implement

Once the current pathway is identified, UCR services and the QSF-accredited TEC services should collaboratively start to develop and implement the referral routes and pathways

Develop:

A project team

- Bring the right people together to further develop relationships including UCR, Responder Services and any relevant local commissioners.
 - It's suggested this is led by regional/place-based leadership to ensure scale of pathway.
- Determine the dedicated project resource needed to help establish the pathway and manage then project
- Develop and deliver a shared understanding and buy-in of what needs to be achieved

The pathway

- Clarify the pathways in place to connect these services, ensuring clear onward referral processes are in place and **where possible have a single point of access (SPoA)**
- Develop a shared understanding of the services that are being connected
- Understand any barriers to implementation, how to overcome them, and who can help
- Determine use of the TEC Quality Decision Support Tool (DST) and **understand the triage process undertaken by the TEC provider to establish the appropriate response.**
- Develop process for direct referral including telephone numbers, a minimum information standard, referral acceptance criteria, etc. with the ambition of making referral straightforward and minimising rejections

Training

- Develop modules collaboratively so that each service understands how the other works, any standard operating procedures processes and communication requirements
 - This should include a focus on integration and collaboration between both providers, creating a “better together” culture
- Determine the training requirements of both workforce

- Service development indicators to measure success (examples below), which its suggested are collated by the UCR services
 - number and type of referrals from TEC providers
 - time to respond from initial call
 - outcome of response
 - service user experience
 - number of rejected referrals and reason

Requirements for NHS Service Finder

- As a minimum, UCR services (and others identified as part of the mapping exercise) are represented on the Directory of Service (DoS), flagged for NHS Service Finder and accepting referrals from all health and social care providers, including TEC providers. **All TSA members who are QSF accredited can access NHS SF.**
- NHS Service Finder / DoS is up to date including the hours referrals are accepted, clear instructions on how to access the service, clear inclusion and exclusion criteria (though this should be kept to a minimum in line with ‘accept all’ policy) and the geography the service covers

Implement:

The pathway as agreed by the project team through the development stage, carrying out:

- Implementation of any phased approach etc.
- Ensuring referral routes are active and all staff are aware
- Any new software or systems are in place and tested

Training

- Deliver training to all the workforce on new processes and pathway including DST, where applicable
- Ensuring all the workforce **(including new starters)** are aware of relevant processes and pathways

Measure and review

When appropriate, UCR services and the QSF-accredited TEC responder services should jointly measure the impact of the service, adopting a continuous improvement approach.

Measure:

The service development indicators (SDIs) established in the development stage:

- Measure these SDIs on a regular basis
- Provide updates to workforce on the progress of the SDIs
- Regularly review the SDIs to add/remove any

The impact of the service on the patient

- Through Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs), Patient Reported Experience Measures (PREMs) and Clinical Outcome Measures (CLOMs).

Through submissions to the Community Services Data Set (CSDS)

- Currently TEC isn't a standalone referral source, but could be supported through any local data collection

Review:

Be explicit about the idea to be tested

Undertake a Plan, Do, Study, Act (PDSA) approach to constantly understand how the pathway can be refined and improved

- Implement changes collaboratively

Each stage of the implementation process

- Ensure issues identified are resolved and improvements made
- Highlight successes and learn from these

Continual reviews should be undertaken

- Ensuring sustainable improvements are being delivered
- Lessons identified are implemented where appropriate

Implement regular reviews of NHS Service Finder and Directory of Service

- Ensure information provided is always up-to-date and accurate

Case studies

Examples of gold
standard pathways

Many areas already have a mature pathway in place

Warrington

Overview

- Connecting TEC providers, UCR and Responder services together to develop blueprint for integrated services to ensure speedier response when someone is at home and in need of support.

Outcome

- Services are available 24/7 with attendance in usually less than 60 minutes on average reducing pressure on ambulance services.
- Outcomes are positive with 80% of people remaining at home following a visit.

Dudley

Overview

- The project involved the monitoring centre of Dudley Telecare who take the alarm calls. Their non-clinical operators then assess and refer to appropriate pathways. This includes using their TEC Responder Service and where necessary collaboration with the UCR team who would arrange a joint meet at the property

Outcome

- In the space of little more than a month, a collaboration between Dudley Telecare and Urgent Community Response teams has seen the number of ambulance callouts for injured fallers reduced by 85%.
- To date, all callouts alongside UCR teams have been reached within 45 minutes.

Lancaster

Overview

- TEC providers, Responder, UCR and Ambulance Services working together to ensure vulnerable people get the most appropriate support in the right place whilst reducing pressure on frontline services

Outcome

- **Between January and November 2025, Progress responded to more than 2,400 call-outs in Lancaster.**
- **Across Lancashire, the service completes 73% of fall-related lifts. South Cumbria joined in 2024 and continues to receive a reliable service.**
- **Ongoing collaboration continues with the Lancashire and South Cumbria ICB, including involvement in their Admission Avoidance and Promoting Independence workshop (Aug 2025).**

Thank You



[@nhsengland](#) [@NHSEnglandCHS](#)



[company/nhsengland](#)



[england.nhs.uk](#)