

# **NHS England: Equality and Health Inequalities Impact Assessment (EHIA)**

A completed copy of this form must be provided to the decision-makers in relation to your proposal. The decision-makers must consider the results of this assessment when they make their decision about your proposal.

- 1. Plerixafor use in patients with sickle cell disease (SCD) who are eligible for treatment with exagamglogene autotemcel [2348a]
- 2. Brief summary of the proposal in a few sentences

Sickle cell disease (SCD) is an inherited disease that affects around 12,000-15,000 individuals in England (NICE, 2021). SCD often causes a lifelong anaemia, due to increased haemolysis and a lack of sufficient red blood cells to carry oxygen throughout the body. Sickle cell shaped red blood cells do not flow easily throughout the body and this can cause blockages (vaso-occlusion). Episodes of vaso-occlusion are known as vaso-occlusive crises. Chronic complications of SCD include a reduced life expectancy, severe chronic health problems and reduction in quality of life. Sickle cell crises may be extremely painful and often require emergency admission to hospital for oxygen therapy and pain control. Regular blood transfusions are often required in patients with SCD. Medical management with hydroxycarbamide can be used to improve anaemia and reduce vaso-occlusive crises.

Exagamglogene autotemcel is a cell therapy which is given to an individual once only as a blood stem cell transplant. For patients with SCD the aim of treatment with exagamglogene autotemcel is to reduce or improve their symptoms. Plerixafor can be used to mobilise stem cells in patients with SCD who are suitable to receive treatment with exagamglogene autotemcel. Plerixafor is given by injection under the skin (subcutaneous injection) and works by mobilising patients' own blood stem cells from the bone marrow into the blood stream. Patients can then undergo a procedure to have their blood stem cells harvested (apheresis). The patient's stem cells can then be treated with exagamglogene autotemcel. The aim of the NHS England commissioning statements is to allow access to plerixafor for patients with SCD who are eligible for treatment with exagamglogene autotemcel, in accordance with <u>NICE</u> <u>GID-TA11249</u>.

The nature of SCD means that current patients with capacity to benefit from this treatment are likely to already be known to specialist services.

3. Main potential positive or adverse impact of the proposal for protected characteristic groups summarised

Please briefly summarise the main potential impact (positive or negative) on people with the nine protected characteristics (as listed below). Please state N/A if your proposal will not impact adversely or positively on the protected characteristic groups listed below. Please note that these groups may also experience health inequalities.

| Protected characteristic groups  | Summary explanation of the main potential positive or adverse impact of your proposal   | Main recommendation from your proposal to reduce any key identified adverse impact or to increase the identified positive impact   |
|--|---|--|
| Age: older people; middle years;<br>early years; children and young<br>people.                                     | Sickle cell disease is an inherited condition.  | The proposal is for plerixafor to be given to the<br>same patient population as exagamglogene<br>autotemcel.   |
|  | Exagamglogene autotemcel is licensed<br>for the treatment of sickle cell disease in<br>patients 12 years of age and older.  | Providers should analyse routinely collected data at<br>regular intervals (at least annually) to consider the<br>equity of their service arrangements by age.<br>Inclusion of the ( <u>HEAT</u> ) tool during analysis is<br>recommended to consider key demographic factors<br>around of equity   |
| <b>Disability:</b> physical, sensory and<br>learning impairment; mental health<br>condition; long-term conditions. | painful and often require emergency<br>admission to hospital for oxygen therapy<br>and pain control. Regular blood<br>transfusions are often required in patients | Commissioned providers should work with the<br>patient, any carers and other relevant agencies<br>(e.g. GP, Local Authority, charities) to understand<br>the need for support to access specialist services<br>for people living with disabilities.<br>Providing centres need to ensure eligible patients<br>and carers are aware of the <u>NHS Healthcare Travel</u><br><u>Costs Scheme</u> . |

| Gender Reassignment and/or          | Gender reassignment and being   | N/A   |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| people who identify as              | transgender are not known to be risk  |   |
| Transgender                         | factors for SCD. However, help seeking  |   |
|                                     | can be affected by experience of care for   |   |
|                                     | people in this group. This proposal will  |   |
|                                     | promote access to plerixafor regardless   |   |
|                                     | of gender reassignment or being   |   |
|                                     | transgender.  |   |
| Marriage & Civil Partnership:       | Marriage status is not known to be a risk   | N/A   |
| people married or in a civil        | factor for SCD. This proposal will promote  |   |
| partnership.                        | access to plerixafor regardless of  |   |
|                                     | marriage status.  |   |
| Pregnancy and Maternity: women      | There are no adequate data on the use of  | Plerixafor should not be used in pregnancy. Women   |
| before and after childbirth and who | plerixafor pregnancy. It should not be  | of childbearing potential must use effective  |
| are breastfeeding.                  | used in pregnant patients.  | contraception during treatment with plerixafor.   |
| Race and ethnicity <sup>1</sup>     | SCD is mainly seen in those with an   | Plerixafor will be used to mobilise stem cells in   |
|                                     | Asian and Southern Mediterranean heritage.  | patients who are eligible to receive exagamglogene autotemcel.  |
|                                     | This proposal is expected to have a positive impact on this characteristic as part of a new treatment option. | Providers should collect data routinely on age, sex<br>and ethnicity of service users and consider by<br>means of health equity audit ( <u>HEAT</u> ) whether there<br>are any underserved populations.   |
|                                     |   | Commissioners should be able to monitor treatment<br>data by detailed ethnic group and discuss with<br>providers to ensure it is complete and that they are<br>assured that there are no differences in outcomes<br>and retention between different ethnic populations. |

| <b>Religion and belief:</b> people with different religions/faiths or beliefs, or none. | Religion is not known to be a risk factor<br>for SCD. This proposal will promote<br>access to plerixafor regardless of<br>religion.                     | N/A |
|---|---|-----|
| Sex: men; women   | Sex is not known to be a risk factor for<br>SCD. This proposal will promote access<br>to plerixafor regardless of sex.                                  | N/A |
| <b>Sexual orientation:</b> Lesbian; Gay;<br>Bisexual; Heterosexual.                     | Sexual orientation is not known to be a<br>risk factor for SCD. This proposal will<br>promote access to plerixafor regardless<br>of sexual orientation. | N/A |

## 4. Main potential positive or adverse impact for people who experience health inequalities summarised

Please briefly summarise the main potential impact (positive or negative) on people at particular risk of health inequalities (as listed below). Please state **N/A if your proposal will not impact on patients who experience health inequalities.** 

| Groups who face health<br>inequalities <sup>2</sup> |   | Main recommendation from your proposal to reduce any key identified adverse impact or to |  |
|---|---|--|--|
|   | your proposal                               | increase the identified positive impact  |  |
| Looked after children and young                     | There is no identified impact of this       | This treatment is likely to reduce the burden of   |  |
| people  | proposal on this group who face health      | frequent trips to hospital for patients and carers.                                      |  |
|   | inequalities although it is recognised that | Services should include individual level assessment                                      |  |
|   |   | of how they can mitigate the challenges and  |  |
|   | challenging in this group.                  | barriers to accessing treatment services for patients                                    |  |
|   |   | from this group.   |  |
| Carers of patients: unpaid, family                  | This proposal should have a positive        | This treatment is likely to reduce the burden of   |  |
| members.  | impact for carers as the overall treatment  | frequent trips to hospital for patients and carers.                                      |  |
|   | will reduce the frequency and severity of   | Services should include individual level assessment                                      |  |
|   | symptoms and access to emergency            | of how they can mitigate the challenges and  |  |
|   | care. It is recognised that accessing       |  |  |

|   | services may be more challenging in this group.  | barriers to accessing treatment services for patients<br>from this group<br>Providing centres need to ensure eligible patients and<br>carers are aware of the <u>NHS Healthcare Travel Costs</u>   |
|---|--|--|
| <b>Homeless people.</b> People on the street; staying temporarily with friends /family; in hostels or B&Bs. | There is no identified impact of this<br>proposal on this group who face health<br>inequalities although it is recognised that<br>accessing services may be more<br>challenging in this group. | Scheme.<br>This treatment is likely to reduce the burden of<br>frequent trips to hospital for patients and carers.<br>Services should include individual level assessment<br>of how they can mitigate the challenges and<br>barriers to accessing treatment services for patients<br>from this group.<br>Providing centres need to ensure eligible patients and<br>carers are aware of the <u>NHS Healthcare Travel Costs</u><br>Scheme. |
| People involved in the criminal justice system: offenders in prison/on probation, ex-offenders.             | There is no identified impact of this<br>proposal on this group who face health<br>inequalities although it is recognised that<br>accessing services may be more<br>challenging in this group. | This treatment is likely to reduce the burden of<br>frequent trips to hospital for patients and carers.<br>Services should include individual level assessment<br>of how they can mitigate the challenges and<br>barriers to accessing treatment services for patients<br>from this group  |
| People with addictions and/or<br>substance misuse issues  | There is no identified impact of this<br>proposal on this group who face health<br>inequalities although it is recognised that<br>accessing services may be more<br>challenging in this group. | This treatment is likely to reduce the burden of<br>frequent trips to hospital for patients and carers.<br>Services should include individual level assessment<br>of how they can mitigate the challenges and<br>barriers to accessing treatment services for patients<br>from this group  |
| People or families on a<br>low income   | The overall treatment will likely reduce<br>the financial burden on families from<br>frequent trips to hospital.   | This treatment is likely to reduce the burden of frequent trips to hospital for patients and carers.<br>Services should include individual level assessment of how they can mitigate the challenges and  |

|   | There is no identified impact of this policy proposition on this group who face health   | barriers to accessing treatment services for patients<br>from this group.<br>Providing centres need to ensure eligible patients and<br>carers are aware of the <u>NHS Healthcare Travel Costs</u><br><u>Scheme</u> .<br>This treatment is likely to reduce the burden of<br>frequent trips to hospital for patients and carers.<br>Services should include individual level assessment<br>of how they can mitigate the challenges and<br>barriers to accessing treatment services for patients |
|---|--|--|
| De en la livin e in dennis e denne                                  |  | from this group  |
| People living in deprived areas                                     | proposition on this group who face health<br>inequalities although it is recognised that<br>accessing services may be more<br>challenging in this group. | This treatment is likely to reduce the burden of<br>frequent trips to hospital for patients and carers.<br>Services should include individual level assessment<br>of how they can mitigate the challenges and<br>barriers to accessing treatment services for patients<br>from this group.<br>Providing centres need to ensure eligible patients and<br>carers are aware of the <u>NHS Healthcare Travel Costs</u><br><u>Scheme</u> .  |
| People living in remote, rural and island locations                 | and island locations as the overall<br>treatment will reduce the frequency and<br>severity of symptoms and access to                                     | This treatment is likely to reduce the burden of<br>frequent trips to hospital for patients and carers.<br>Services should include individual level assessment<br>of how they can mitigate the challenges and<br>barriers to accessing treatment services for patients<br>from this group.<br>Providing centres need to ensure eligible patients and<br>carers are aware of the <u>NHS Healthcare Travel Costs</u><br><u>Scheme</u> .  |
| Refugees, asylum seekers or<br>those experiencing modern<br>slavery | proposition on this group who face health  | This treatment is likely to reduce the burden of<br>frequent trips to hospital for patients and carers.<br>Services should include individual level assessment<br>of how they can mitigate the challenges and  |

|                                  | accessing services may be more<br>challenging in this group.              | barriers to accessing treatment services for patients<br>from this group.<br>Providing centres need to ensure eligible patients and<br>carers are aware of the <u>NHS Healthcare Travel Costs</u><br><u>Scheme</u> . |
|----------------------------------|---|--|
| Other groups experiencing health | There are no further direct negative or                                   | N/A  |
|                                  | positive impacts of this proposal on any other groups experiencing health |  |
|                                  | inequalities.   |  |

References:

### 5. Engagement and consultation

a. Have any key engagement or consultative activities been undertaken that considered how to address equalities issues or reduce health inequalities? Please place an x in the appropriate box below.

| Yes | No X | Do Not Know |
|-----|------|-------------|
|     |      |             |

b. If yes, please briefly list up the top 3 most important engagement or consultation activities undertaken, the main findings and when the engagement and consultative activities were undertaken.

|   |  | Summary note of the engagement or consultative activity undertaken | Month/Year |
|---|--|--|------------|
| 1 |  |  |            |
|   |  |  |            |
| 2 |  |  |            |
|   |  |  |            |
| 3 |  |  |            |
|   |  |  |            |

| Evidence Type                         | Key sources of available evidence  | Key gaps in evidence |
|---------------------------------------|--|----------------------|
| Published evidence                    | Esrick, E.B. et al. (2018) 'Successful<br>hematopoietic stem cell mobilization and<br>apheresis collection using plerixafor alone in<br>sickle cell patients', Blood Advances, 2(19),<br>pp. 2505–2512.<br>doi:10.1182/bloodadvances.2018016725. |                      |
|                                       | Frangoul, H. et al. (2024) 'Exagamglogene<br>autotemcel for severe sickle cell disease',<br>New England Journal of Medicine, 390(18),<br>pp. 1649–1662.<br>doi:10.1056/nejmoa2309676.  |                      |
|                                       | Leonard, A. et al. (2021) 'Disease severity<br>impacts plerixafor-mobilized stem cell<br>collection in patients with sickle cell disease',<br>Blood Advances, 5(9), pp. 2403–2411.<br>doi:10.1182/bloodadvances.2021004232.                      |                      |
|                                       | NICE CKS Sickle Cell Disease: Prevalence<br>(2021) NICE. Available at:<br>https://cks.nice.org.uk/topics/sickle-cell-<br>disease/background-information/prevalence/<br>(Accessed: 28 February 2024).   |                      |
| Consultation and involvement findings | None   |                      |
| Research                              | No pending research is known   |                      |

## 6. What key sources of evidence have informed your impact assessment and are there key gaps in the evidence?

| Participant or expert knowledge     | A Policy Working Group was assembled        |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| For example, expertise within the   | which included paediatric and adult         |
| team or expertise drawn on external | haematology specialists, a public health    |
| to your team                        | specialist, pharmacists and a patient and   |
|                                     | public voice representative. This group was |
|                                     | supported by the Haemoglobinopathies        |
|                                     | Clinical Reference Group and the Blood and  |
|                                     | Infection Programme of Care.                |
|                                     |   |

7. Is your assessment that your proposal will support compliance with the Public Sector Equality Duty? Please add an x to the relevant box below.

|  | Tackling discrimination | Advancing equality of opportunity | Fostering good relations |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| The proposal will support?                   |                         |                                   |                          |
| The proposal may support?                    | Х                       | X                                 |                          |
| Uncertain whether the proposal will support? |                         |                                   | X                        |

8. Is your assessment that your proposal will support reducing health inequalities faced by patients? Please add an x to the relevant box below.

|                            | Reducing inequalities in access to health | Reducing inequalities in health outcomes |  |  |
|----------------------------|---|--|--|--|
|                            | care                                      |  |  |  |
|                            |   |  |  |  |
| The proposal will support? | Х   | Х  |  |  |
|                            |   |  |  |  |
| The proposal may support?  |   |  |  |  |

| Uncertain if the proposal will |  |
|--------------------------------|--|
| support?                       |  |

9. Outstanding key issues/questions that may require further consultation, research or additional evidence. Please list your top 3 in order of priority or state N/A

| Key i | ssue or question to be answered | Type of consultation, research or other evidence that would address the issue and/or answer the question |
|-------|---------------------------------|--|
| 1     |                                 |  |
| 2     |                                 |  |
| 3     |                                 |  |

#### **10.** Summary assessment of this EHIA findings

This proposal aims to make plerixafor available for mobilisation of stem cells in patients with SCD who are eligible to receive treatment with exagamglogene autotemcel. Stem cell mobilisation will ensure that a sufficient quantity of a patient's own blood stem cells can be harvested and treated with exagamglogene autotemcel.

The overall treatment with exagamglogene autotemcel has the potential to significantly improve the quality of life of patients with SCD by relieving the symptoms of disease and preventing acute hospital admissions.

No adverse impacts of this proposal have been identified.

### 11. Contact details re this EHIA

| Team/Unit name: | Blood and Infection Programme of Care |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| Division name:  | Specialised Commissioning             |

|                                     | CFO |
|-------------------------------------|-----|
| Date EHIA agreed:                   |     |
| Date EHIA published if appropriate: |     |

# Internal decision-making not for external circulation

12. Do you or your team need any key assistance to finalise this EHIA? Please delete the incorrect responses. If you require assistance please submit this EHIA and the associated proposal to EHIU (england.eandhi@nhs.net).

### 13. Assistance sought re the completion of this EHIA:

If you do need assistance to complete this EHIA, please summarise the assistance required below.

### 14. Responsibility for EHIA and decision-making

| Contact officer name and post title:                     | Zoe Hamilton                                |                       |  |
|--|---|-----------------------|--|
| Contact officer e: mail address:                         | zoe.hamilton6@nhs.net                       |                       |  |
| Contact officer mobile number:                           |   |                       |  |
| Team/Unit name: Blood and Infection<br>Programme of Care | Division name: Specialised<br>Commissioning | Directorate name: CFO |  |
| Name of senior manager/ responsible<br>Director:         | e Post title:                               | E-mail address:       |  |

### 15. Considered by NHS England or NHS Improvement Panel, Board or Committee<sup>3</sup>

| Yes:  | No:                            | Name of the Panel, Board or Committee: |                       |             |        |                                  |                             |
|---|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------|-------------|--------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|   |                                |  |                       |             |        |                                  |                             |
| Name o  | of the proposal (policy        | , proposition                          | , programme, proposa  | l or initia | tive): |                                  |                             |
|   |                                |  |                       |             |        |                                  |                             |
| Decisior<br>Commit  | n of the Panel, Board o<br>tee | r Rejected<br>proposal                 | Approved proposal una | mended      |        | osal with amen<br>health inequal | dments in relation to ities |
|   |                                |  |                       |             |        |                                  |                             |
|   |                                |  |                       |             |        |                                  |                             |
| Proposal gave due regard to the requirements of the PSED? |                                | ts of the PSED?                        | Yes:                  | No:         |        | N/A:                             |                             |
| Summa   | ry comments:                   |  |                       |             | 1      |                                  |                             |
| Proposal gave regard to reducing health inequalities?     |                                | qualities?                             | Yes:                  | No:         |        | N/A:                             |                             |
| Summa   | ry comments:                   |  |                       |             |        |                                  |                             |

## 16. Key dates

| Date draft EHIA completed:                    |  |
|---|--|
|   |  |
| Date draft EHIA circulated to EHIU:4          |  |
|   |  |
| Date draft EHIA cleared by EHIU:⁵             |  |
|   |  |
| Date final EHIA produced:                     |  |
|   |  |
| Date signed off by Senior Manager/Director:6  |  |
|   |  |
| Date considered by Panel, Board or Committee: |  |
|   |  |
| Date EHIA published, if applicable:           |  |
|   |  |

| EHIA review date if applicable 7: |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
|-----------------------------------|--|